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## ABSTRRACT

Most of the Chemical Products Manufacturing Plants in Bangladesh are loosing concerns due to competitions they are facing from the similar private sector enterprises. It is believed that one of the main reasons for such failure is the absence of proper utilization of resources and manower.

A study was conducted in Kohinoor Chemical Company (Bangladesh) Limited, an old organization of Bangladesh Chemical Industries Corporation. The production lines, manpower and production methods were set long before. It was not possible for the. management to apply latest possible tools and techniques to determine manpower requirement and working methods. Standards of production were not set properly. Over the years different work procedures came into practice.

The main objective of this research was to carry out an Ergonomic Analysis for the cosmetic and soap processing plants of KCCL and determine the manpower requirement to improve productivity. In conducting this study the basic principles of (a) Production Method Study, (b) Work/Activity Sampling Technique, (c) Time and Motion Study and (d) Ergonomics Methodology were utilized.

From the Production. Method Study and Ergonomic analysis, various improved/modified production methods and layout and applications of ergonomics on the design of existing work places, handing loads, hand tools and devices, working environment and motion economy were suggested. From Work Sampling and Time Study technique the required manpower requirement was determined. It was found that about $37 \%$ and $48 \%$ excess manpower were being used in cosmetic and soap processing plants respectively. It was also found that about $41 \%$ and $44 \%$ less production were being produced in cosmetic and soap processing plants respectively.

It was suggested that the application of the present investigation will contribute in improving in productivity and profitability of this enterprise.

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS



```
I_N. T
```


### 1.1 BACRGROUND

It has taken a long time for industries to fully recognise, the extent of interdependence between working conditions and productivity. The first move in this direction began when management started to realise the ultimate effect of occupational accidents and diseases.

Working condition and improper way of handing jobs have great effect on productivity. Research studies have shown that human bodies are very productive under optimal working condition. The effects of health and safety on productivity , can not be properly discussed without the concept of ergonomics. The last of ergonomics is to develop the most comfortable conditions for the worker as regards to workplacc and posturc, lighting, climate, noise, vibration, humidity, etc. to reduce the physical work load.

There are many Chemical Products manufacturing plants in Bangladesh under public and private sector. These industries play a great role in industrial sector of the country. BCIC is the largest Chemical Corporation in Bangludesh under public sector. There are about 23 (twenty three) Chemical

Products Manufacturing Enterprises under BCIC. Some of them are profitable while others are loosing concerns. KCCL is one of them. which are enlisted as loosing concern enterprise. The authority has been concerned with the productivity of this plant. Therefore it was decided to conduct an ergonomic analysis of the plant with the aim to increase its productivity and thus to help KCCI, to go one step forward towards a profitable organization.

KCCL produces different kinds of soap, detergents, cosmetics, ctc. The products of cosmetic section are: Tibet snow, Tibet Tooth Paste, J-5 Tooth Pastic, 1 - 5 Gel Tooth Paste, Tibet Shaving Cream, Honey Dew Shaving Cream, Tibet. Pomade Cream, Tibet Powder, Various types Hair 0il, Tibet Chandan Atar, Tibet Perfume, etc. The products of Soap Section are: Tibet Blue Bar, Tibet 570 Washing, Tibet Ball, Tibet Bar, Tibet Toilet Soap, Lemon Dew, Tibet Flora, Tibet Glycerine Soap ctc.

To conduct the study smoothly, is a befitting manner the entire cosmetic manfuacturing process was divided initially into two major groups and then onc of those groups was subdivided into two sub-groups. Snow, Tooth Paste and Shaving Cream which are being marketed in tube are in one group and Snow, Cream (Pomade) which are being marketed in bottle are in another group. The base manufacturing process of these items are same. Only mixing, filling and sealing procedures are different. The other group is powder section. Here the
manufacturing process as well as mixing, filling, sealing and packing procedures are different from the other two groups.

Among other products soap is a main item of this factory. The Soap Processing unit is divided into three plants for the current study purpose which are as follows:
i) Plant Pl/P2 for blue bar soap production
ii) Plant P3 for toilet soap production
iii) Plant P4 for, 570 washing soap production.

The study was confined only in the above Pl/P2, P3 line of Mazzoni plant and $P 4$ line of soap processing unit. Soap is manufactured in full boiled procedure in KCCI. out of several types of soap 570 and blue bar are marketed as loundry soap and Tibet, Sandalina, Glycerinc are marketed as toilet soap. Glycerine soap is manufactured in different sheds in diflerent procedures. After mixing melting tallow, salt, coconut oil and other ingredicnts are pumped in saponification cattle. This is knwon as soap boiling unit. Neat soap is pressurised after washing, resting and removal of glycerine. Neat soap is fed to sonp processing plant as per requirement of production. The finished product is produced in this plant. Manufacturing nnd packing of soap is done in the soap processing plant in semi automatic manner.

This study was under taken to ergonomically analyse the work methods and working conditions and hence to determine. the manpower requi.rement for the present production level of cosmetic and" soap manufacturing plants to improve its productivity.

### 1.2 OBJECTIVBS OF THB STUDY

The main ob.jectives of the study were:
a). To study the present work method and working conditions and to suggest a better method of work by applying ergonomics and method study.
b) To apply Time and Motion Study and Activity Sampling in determining standard time of each job and manpower respectively.
c) To calculate manpower requirement on the basis of Time Study through production line balancing.
d) To determine expected output by existing manipower through Time Study method.
e) To suggest some applications of ergonomics in cosmetic andisoap processing plantis in KCCL considering human abilities, characteristics, expectations, motivation and behaviours in the design of the things workers use in their work places.

## Chapter - IX

## LTTERATURE SURVEY

### 2.1 WORK STUDY


#### Abstract

Work Study is a generic term for those techniques particularly method study and work measurement, which are used in the examination of humen work in all its contexts, and which lead systematically to the investigation of all the factors which affect the efficiency and economy of the situation being reviewed in order to effect improvement (5).


Work study therefore has a direct relationship with productivity. It is most frequently used to increase the amount produced from a given quantity of resources with little or no further capital investment.

Work study was widely known for years as. "time and motion study", but with the development of the technique and its npplication to a very wide range of activities it wns felt by many people that the older titile was both too narrow and insufficientiy descriptive.

There are eight steps in performing a complete work study.

1. Select the job or process to be studied.
2. Record every things from direct observations.
3. Bxamine the recorded facts critically.
4. Develop the most economic method.
5. Measure the quantity of work involved in the method selected.
6. Define the new method and the related time.
7. Install the new method as agreed standard practice with the time nllowed.
8. Maintain the new standard practice by proper control procedures.

Steps 1,2 and 3 occur in every study, whore the technique being used is method study or work measurement. Step 4 is a part of method study practice,' while step 5 calls for the use of work measurement.

Work study consists of two complementary techniques - Method Study and Work Measurement. The relationship of method study and work measurement are shown in figure-2, l.


Work study : a direct means of raising productivity.

Figure-2.1 : The relationship of method study to work measurement.

### 2.1.1 Human Factors Application in Work Study:

Good relations with the persons concerned must be established before work study is applied. Work study is not a substitute for good managoment and never can bé. It is one of the "tools" in the manager's tool kit. By itself it will not make bad industrial relationship good, although, if wisely applied, it may often improve them. This has been the common experience of ILO manggement development and consultancy missions everywhere.

If work study is to contribute seriously to the improvement of productivity, relations between the management and the workers must be reasonably good before any attempt is made to introduce it, and the workers must have confidence in the sincerity of the management towards them; otherwise they will regard it as another trick to try to get more work out of them without any benefits for themselves (1).

### 2.2 METHOD STUDY

Method study is the systematic recording and critical examination of existing and proposed ways of doing work, as a means of developing and applying easier and more effective methods and reducing costs (5).

The objectives of applying method study in KCCL ware: (3)

- to improve process and procedures in Snow (tube), Snow (bottle), Powder section and Soap Processing Plant.
- to improve workplace layout in Snow, Powder and Soap production.
- to recommend better methods by reducing unnecessary fatigue and economy in human effort.
- to improve the use of materials, machines and manpower.
- to develop better physical. environment.
- to ascertain as accurately as possible time allowances necessary for doing the job.

The manufacturing process of cosmetic and soap is a systematic, step by step process. Naturally the scope of implementation of developed and effective modifications are limited. In this study some modifications concerning manufacturing process and material handling have been suggested. These recommendations are expected to improve material/product handling, working condition and above all to improve productivity.

Details of these present and proposed modified layout and methods are given in Chapter-4.

### 2.3 HORK MBASUREMBNT

Work Measurement is the application of techniques designed to establish the time for a qualified worker to carryout a specified job at a defined level of performance (5).


#### Abstract

Work Measurement, as the name suggests, provides the management with means of measuring the time taken in the performance of an operation or series of operations in such a way that inéffective time is shown up and can be seperated from effective time. It can also be used to set standard time for carrying out the work. Work measurement is more likely to show up the management itself and the behaviour of the workers (L).


The purposes of work measurement are to reveal the nature and extent of ineffective time, from whatever cause, so that action can be taken to eliminate it; and then to set standards of performance of such a kind that they will be attainable only if all avoidable ineffective time is eliminated and the work is performed by the best available method and by personnel suitable in training and ability to their, tasks.

The techniques of work measurement:

The following are the principal techniques by which work measurement is carried out: (2)
i) Work/Activity Sampling
ii) Stop-Watch Time Study
iii) Predetermined Time Standard (PTS)
iv) Standard Data.

The steps and the techniques which are necessary for the systematic carrying out of work measurement are shown diagramatically in figure-2.3


Figure-2.3 : The steps and the techniques necessary for the work measurement

### 2.3.1 Work Sampling:

Work sampling is a method of finding the percentage occurence of $\dot{a}$ certain activity by statistical sampling and random observation. It is also known as Activity Sampling Technique (5).

In order to obtain a complete and accurate picture of the productive time and idle time of the machines in a specific production area, it would be necessary to observe continuously all the machines in that area and to record when and why any of the machines were stopped. It would of course be quite impossible to do this unless a large number of workers spent. the whole of their time on this task alone, an unrealistic proposition.

In this technique fixing the number of observation is a precondition for a perfect study. The more will be the number of observation more perfect will be the result of the study. The following universal formula is used to calculate the minimum number of observations (7).
$N=\frac{4 P(100-P)}{L^{2}}$
Valid for 95\% Confidence Limit.
where, $N=$ number of observation $P=$ occurance of an event (\%)
$L$ = accuracy limit.
For this study $L= \pm 7 \%$ is considered.

The ealculation of minimum number of observation is shown in Appendix-L.

When the sample size is large enough and the observations made are indeed at random, there is a quite high probability that these observations will reflect the real situation, plus or minus a certain margin of error.

One con have the complete accuracy nchicved by continuous observation and, at the other end, every doubtful result is derived from a few observations only. The size of the sample is therefore important, and one can express his confidence in whether or not the sample is representative by using a certain confidence level.

### 2.3.2 Time Study

Time Study is $n$ work measurement technique for recording the times and rates of working for the clements of a specified job carried out under specificd conditions and for analysing the data so as to obtain the time necessary to carry out the job at a defined level of performace. The following steps were followed in making the Time Study: (2)
i) To obtain and record all the information available about the job, the operative and the surrounding : conditions, which is likely to affect the work in. Snow, Powder, and Soap production.
ii) To record the complete description of the method/process, breaking down the operation into various work stations.
iii) To examíne the detailed break down to ensure that the most effective methods and motions are being used in the said process.
iv) To measure with a timing device (a stop watch) and recording the time taken by the operators to perform each work station of the Snow, Powder and Sonp production processes in filling and packing parts.
v) At the same time, assessing the effective pace of the worker/operator relative to the observers concept of the rate corresponding to standard rating.
vi) To convert the observed times to 'Basic Times' by applying the mathematical formula.
vii) To detcrmine the relaxation allowances by adding variable allowances, personal need allowances and basic fatigue allowances.
viii) To determine the standard $T i m e$ for the operation of filling, sealing and packing part of Snow, Powder and Soap production.

The essential Time Study equipments used were: (5)

- the stop watch
- the time study board
- the time study forms.

A form of general purpose study board with a stop watch arrangement is shown in Figure-2.3.2.

The top and continuation sheet of a general purpose time study form is shown in Appendix-R.

In addition, the following accessoreis are used in time study:

- calculator
- a reliable clock, with a seconds hand
- measuring instruments such as a tape measure, steel rule, micrometer, spring balance and tachometer.


Figure.2.3.2 : General purpose Time Study Board with stop watch

### 2.3.3 Standard Rating:

Rating and allowances are the two most controversial aspects of time study. Rating is the assessment of the workers rate of working pace relative to the observer's concept of the rate corresponding to standard pace.

This standard level is the average rate at which qualified workers will naturally work at a job, when using the correct method and when motivated to apply themselves to their work. This rate of working corresponds to what is termed the standard rating, and is denoted by 100 on the rating scale recommended. If the standard pace is maintained and the appropriate relaxation is taken, a worker will achieve standard performance over the working hour (5).

Some of the factors affecting the working pace of the operator:
-. Variations in the quality or other characteristics of the material used.

- Minor and unavoidable changes in methods or conditions of operation.
- Variations in the mental attention necessary for the performance.
- Changes in" climatic and other surrounding conditions such as light, temperature, etc.
- Variations due to ability and training
- Variations due to attitude of mind, especially attitude to the organization.
- The pattern of his movements.

Table-2.3.3 : Bxamples of Various Rates of Working on the Principal Hating Scales.

| Scales |  |  |  | Description . . | Comparable walking speed |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 60-80 | 75-100 100-13 |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 33 & 0-100 \\ \text { Standard } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | (mi/ |  |  | ( $\mathrm{Km} / \mathrm{h}$ ) |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | No activity |  |  |  |
| 40 | 50 | 67 | 50 | Very slow; clumsy, fumbling movements; operative appears half asleep, with no interest in the job. |  | 2 | 3.2 |
| 60 | 75 | 100 | 76 | Steady, delibernte, unhurried performance, as of a worker not on piecework but under proper supervision; looks slow, but time is not being intentionally wasted while under observation. |  | 3 | 4.8 |
| 80 | 100 | 133 | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & \text { (Standard } \\ & \text { Rating) } \end{aligned}$ | Brisk, business-like performance, as of an average qualified worker on piecework necessary standard of quality and accuracy achieved with confidence. |  | 4 | 6.4 |
| 100 | 125 | 167 | 125 | Very fast; operative exhibits a high degree of assurance, dexterity and coordination of movement, well above that of an average trained worker. |  | 5 | 8.0 |
| 120 | 150 | 200 | 150 | Exceptionally fast; requires intense effort and concentration, and is unlikely to be kept up for long periods; a "virtuoso" performance achieved only by a few outstanding workers. |  |  |  |

There are scverai scales of rating in use. The most common of which are designated by the 100-133, the 60-80, the 75100 scales and the British Standard the 0 - 100 scale.

Table-2.3.3 shows examples of various rates of working on the scales mentioned.

### 2.3.4 Standard Time:

```
Standard time is the total time in which a job should be
completed at standard performance.
```

The standard time for the job will be the sum of the standard times for all the elements of which it is made up, due regard being paid to the frequencies with which the elements recur, plus the contingency allowance (with its relaxation allowance increment). The contingencies and relaxation allowances are still percentages of the basic time. The standard time is expressed in standard minutes.

The work content of a job or operation is defined as: basic time + relaxation allowance + any allowance for additional work - e.g. that part of contingency allowance which represents work (5).

Basic time is the time for carrying out an element of work at standard rating. i.e.

Basic Time $=-\frac{\text { Observed Time } x \text { Observed Rating }}{\text { Standard Rating }} \quad \ldots$

Extension is the calculation of basic time from observed time.

Basic formula which is used in calculation of standard time is shown below:

```
    Standard time
= Observed Time x Observed Rating
The standard time for a simple manual job may be represented graphically as shown in figure.
```



Figure-2.3.4 : The standard time for a simple manual job.

The basic work content is the irreducible minimum time theoretically required to produce one unit of output. This is obviously a perfect condition which never occurs in practice, although it may sometimes be approached, especially in processing industries.

The time taken by a man or a machine to carry out an operation or to produce a given quantity of product may be considered as made up in the following manner, which is presented. in figure-2.3.5.



Work Content Added by defects in design or specification of product.

Work content added by inefficient methods of manufacture or operation

Ineffective Time due to shortcomings of the management.

Figure-2.3.5 : Manufacturing time of a job.

If we decrease the above cycle, then the productivity of the processing industry will increase. We can decrease all element of the above cycle except basic work content of the job.

### 2.4 BRGONOMICS:

Over the centuries simple tools were developed to aid human activities. Such developments were the beginning of what we now call "Human Factor Engineering" or "Ergonomics". The task under consideration involves design of things and facilities so that they can reasonably serve human needs in a better way.

Many experts define ergonomics as the study of human's behaviour in relation to his work. It is the adaptation of work conditions to the Physical and Psychological nature of human and this results in most important principle of ergonomics. The central focus of ergonomics is the systematic application of relevant information about human abilities, characteristics, behaviour, and motivation in the execution of such functions. In simple terms, human factors has been referred to as designing for human use (2).

The objectives of ergonomics or human factor engineering are as follows:
i) to enhance the effectiveness and efficiency with which work and other human activities are carried out.
ii) to maintain or enhance certain desirable human values like health, safety, sanitation, etc.

The central approach to ergonomics relates to the consideration of human beings in carrying out such functions as follows:
i) fitting the demand of work to the efficiency of human in order to reduce stress;
ii) designing machines, equipment, and installations so that they can be operated with great efficiency, accuracy and safety;
iii) working out proportions and conditions of the work place to ensure correct body posture;
iv). adapting lighting, air-conditioning, noise, etc. to suit humans physical requirements.

In this study efforts were made to maximise machine utilization with minimum human intervention., Human comfort and satisfaction were considered in KCCL. On the basis of study some modifications, recommendations were made and are presented in Chapter-5.
$\qquad$

### 3.1 INTRODUCTION

Before fixing the subject of investigation/study, the whole existing cosmetic and soap manufacturing processes in KCCL was carefully observed. Since the study was confined only in cosmetic and soap manufacturing sections and the manufacturing procesises are arranged in a respective manner, the following methods were adopted considering the disaduantages of conventional method:
a) To simplify the job and : Method Study Procedure. develop more economical methods.
b) To determine how long it : Work Measurement Technique. will take to carry out the jobs.
c) Percentage of working time : Work Sampling Jechnique.
d) To determine time : Method defined by ILO (value, allowances. table and charts (5).
e) To determine working ability : Time Study (stop watch method) and manpower requirement. and Activity Sampling Technique.
f) To determine manpower : Production line balancing method. requirement for different work stations.
g) To determine standard time : Time Study ( (stop watch method). and expected output (Production Study).
h) The systematic applications : Ergonomic Methodology. of relevant information about workers abilities,
characteristics, behaviour, and motivation while executing such functions.
i) To suggest improved production: Ergonomic Methodology. method, sitting arrangement of worker, equipment layout, equipment colour, working atmosphere, etc.
j) To suggest improved material : Ergonomic Methodology. handling procedure.
k) Further Research on the . : : Human Factors Engineering. basis of Work Study and Ergonomics.

### 3.2 DATA COLLBCTION ACTIVITIBS

```
The data collection activities started in June, 1991. All
equipments required for time study were arranged before
starting the study.
```

KCCL is an old factory. Production method and process technology which are used in KCCL are also old. Moreover, the workers are not well qualified and trained. Naturally, the workers were first taught about the work study techniques, the functions and ob,jectives of ergonomics. Being fully aware of work study techniques, the workers finally co-operated to participate in the study.
A problem arised when the workers union (CBA) of KCCl
interupted in the study. It could be mentioned here that the
CBA of KCCl is very strong organization. As the CBA never
heard of such study, they tiried to stop the study
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immediately. After giving assurance to the CBA that the purpose of data collection is only for study purpose and it will not creat any adverse effect on them from the management side, they started cooperating. Besides, discussions about the benefits of the study and the, procedures of the study were held with the management of KCCL several times.


The first step of data collection activity was to observe the soap and cosmetic processes. All data and informations available about the manufacturing processes and also relevant to the processcs were recorded. After examining these data and informations, the soap and cosmetic plants were divided into three sections in order to carryout the study and then divided cach section into several elements of work (Hork Stations). Thus the process flow chart which are shown in Appendix-S was prepared.

The existing manufacturing processes of cosmetic and soap plants were carefully observed and ineffective methods/parts were eliminated from the processes before carrying out the work measurement study (Work Sampling and Time Study). After conducting method study, some improved and modified methods for every sections were suggested.

For work activity sampling, data were taken in three groups on different days, one group for each section. In this regard some personnels of KCCl helped in the study. Workers were
divided into three categories during taking activity sampling data: (i) Present in the job and Working (W), (ii) Present but not Working i.e. Idle (NW) and (iii) Not present at work station i.e. Absent (A).

The observed time for workers recorded by stop watch time study was recorded in general purpose time study Top and continuation sheet which are shown in Appendix-R. For time study, average observed time was taken for calculation. The working pace rating of each worker was done in accordance with the ILO standard, shown in Table-2.3.3. It tooks about six months. time for completion of data collection activities.

Work environment, work place layout, manual material handling, various working equipment design (such as table, bench, chair,.etc.) hand tools and devices were carefully studied in soap and cosmetic scctions. The analysis was made from the Ergonomics point of vicw. Some modifications and suggestions on present production system and ergonomics npplications nre shown in Chnpter-4 and 5.

### 3.3 CONDUCTING TIIB STUDY (Cosmetic Processing Plant)

For the purpose of the study the manufacturing product of cosmetic section was divided in three groups on the basis of process similaritics, such ns tube packing products, bottle packing products and powder groups. There are two production lines in each group.

Products of these groups are tooth paste in tube, Snow in tube, Shaving Cream, etc. This process was divided into two parts. One is base mixing manufacturing part and the other is filling, sealing and packing. part. In base part no data concerning activity sampling and observed time were collected. Only total timelwas recorded. Total time for base part is shown in Appendix-M.

Mixing material of base part is carried in filling and packing section manually and pumped to hopper for filling and sealing in tube. After that packing is done on packing table.

In filling and packing part the following obscrvations were recorded:
i) Round wise filling machine capacity (Appendix-K)
ii) Activity sampling of filling machine operator (Appendix-F).
iii) This whole process was divided into several work stations.
iv) Observed lime of various workers werc recorded at every station (Appendix-J).
v) Aetivity sampling datn was also recorded at every work station (Appendix-E).
vi) Relaxation allowances were calculated (Appendix-B).
vii) Leave and absenteeism rate was calculated (Appendices-O and P).
viii) Calculated manpower requirement on the basis of time study through production line balancing (Appendix-G).

Example of these products are snow in bottle and cream (Pomade) in bottle. Base part of these group is same as above mention group. Only filling, sealing and packing part is different. Here filling is done in a bottle and bottle cap fitting is done on a seperate machine. In this group the above mentioned studies were conducted which are shown in appendices.

## Powder groups

This group differs from the groups mentioned above. In base part raw materials are crushed and mixed and then sieved and transferced manually to filling and packing section in drums. Filling in a container is done by the filling machine. Powder is fed to filling machine by vacuum pump (22
inch of Hg ). Each production line has two filling machines. One is single nnd other is double contniner filling. - Container sealing is done manually by hammering. After this $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*}$ operation all containors are clicancd by towel and then final. packing is done in six dozon cartoons. Here also the same stidies were conducted as above.

Leave and Absentecism statement, for previous year was collected from the KCCLNathority to determine the percentage of leave and Absentceism which was found to be 16:99\% (Appdneix-0).

Process flow chart for cosmetic and Soap manufacturing process are prepared which is shown in Appendix-S.

### 3.3 CONDUCTING THंB STUDY (Soap Processing Plant)

There are four production lines in soap processing plant. During the study three production lines were in running in three shifts. $P_{1} / P_{2}$ Line was engaged in producing Blue Bar while $P_{3}$ lines were producing Lemon Dew and 570 soap respectively. Generally pay/wages roll workers work in $A$ and B shifts, C shift is fully run during overtime hours.

Stamping and packaging machines are not required in manufacturing Blue Bar soap. During extrusion process stamping the soap is done by a dye at the same time and is marketed in paper cartoon ( 72 pcs. in one cartoon) or in wooden patty box ( 180 pes in one petty) No additional wrapper is used on the soap. The same procedure is also followed for marketing 570 washing soap. But in case of 570 washing soap, trade mark is stamped on the soap by stamping machine. During manufacturing of this sonp (570) two soaps are extruded together through extrusion process.

Lemon Dew (toilet soap) is wrapped with additional paper by wrapping machine: This soap is marketed in paper cartoon contain 72 pcs. in each cartoon.

According to the manpower list of KCCL , different number of
workers are engaged in different work stations of a production line. But in practice the workers do not work accordin'g to the prescribed manner. Obviously, for the sake of simplicity of observations, work descriptions and terminology were used as per existing condition during activity sampling. For example packaging work is divided into filling, caping and packing group and separate manpower is allocated to each group of packaging work. But in practice the workers accomplish the full task in one work station. Therefore, the whole process was considered as packaging work and was noted in activity sampling sheets.

In wastes romoval and cleaninf, separate manpower has been allocated for each plant by KCCL Authority. But in practice a single group is engaged in the jots serving all plants. The work was considered as a common job of the whole plant. Similarly different types of service works such as storing, laboratory, etc. were also considered as Utility Service.

Data for nctivily sampling were collected which are shown in Appendices - $E$ and $F$. Data of Time Study were analysed and are presented in concise form in Appendices- G, H, I, and J.

The main objective of analysing activity sampling data was to calculate manpower requirement. It has been mentioned already that activity sampling was conducted in three plants and for Utility Plant separately. All the required data are

```
shown in Appendix-E. After observation, percentage of
activity of every work station and group was calculated
separately. These percentages are also shown in data table
of Appendix-E.
```

Basic formulae which were uscd in calculation are shown
i) No. of workers/operators required to perform work content
ii) No. of workers required considering relaxation allowance
iii) No. of workers required considering leave and absenteeism
iv) Recommended No. of workers per shift
$=$ (No. of workers allocated $x \%$ of working) : 100
$=$ (No. of workers/operators required to perform work content) $x$ ( $1+\%$ relaxation allowances)
$=$ (No. of workers required considering relaxation allowances). $x \quad(1+\%$ of leave and absenteeism)
$=$ (No. of workers required considering leave and absenteeism rounded to next higher figure).

Leave and Absenteeism statement for previous year was collected from the KCCL to determine the percentage of Leave and Absenteeism which was found to be $19.83 \%$ (Appendices - 0 and $P$ ).

```
Details of manpower determination of P
Utility Services are presented in Appendices - C, D and A.
While determining the recommended manpower all decimals were
converted into next upper full number. So if this number of
required manpower is implemented, the worker will enjoy more
relaxation than allowable relaxation allowances.
```

In each plant one supervisor is allowed to supervise the plant. To supervise the workers and employees of washing soap and toilet soap section, foremans are allocated separately. A concise list of calculated required manpower for each plant is presented in Appendix-A. Allowable manpower per plant or per shift is also presented. In a definite time total required manpower could be determined from the plant while it is running.
lt is seen from the analysis that the results of recommended manpower fully satisfies the present production demand.

### 3.4 DETBHMINATION OF TIME ALLOWANCK:

It is very difficult to determine precisely the allowances needed for a given job. Working procedures of different work places of cosmetic and soap section in KCCL were carefully observed. Specially, emphasis was given on working conditions, such as sound, temperature, humidity, light, ventilation, dust, fume, noise, colour, physical weight, cleanliness, vibration, air conditioning, etc.

The basic model for the calculation of allowances is shown in figure-3.4. It will be seen from this model that relaxation allowance is the only time added to the basic time. Other allowances, such as contingency, policy and special allowances are applied under certain conditions only.

Relaxation allowance is an addition to the basic time intended to provide the worker with the opportunity to recover from the physiological and psychological effects of ${ }^{\circ}$ carrying out specified work under specified conditions and to allow attention to personal needs. The amount of allowance will depend on the nature of the job (5).

On the basis of these informations points were counted from charts and tables constituted by International Labour Organization (ILO). Fxamples of charts and. tables used in calculating relaxation allowances are given in Appendix-N.

Percentage of variable allowances at every work station in the process were calculated on the basis of highest point of all factors affecting the task.

The allowance for personal needs was taken to be io\% considering the environmental condition of the factory. Though IJO suggests 5\% - 7\% time allowances for personal needs.

Bvery work crentes fatixue. Jhe allowance was considered to be $5 \%$, though 11.0 suggests $4 \%$ fatigue allowances. Relaxation allowances is determined by adding variable allowance, personal needs allowance and basic fatigue allowance.


Figure-3.4 : The basic model for the calculation of allowances

Relaxation allowances of different working otations in the cosmetic and soap processing plants are presented in Appdneix-B.

## Chapter - IV

## SUGGESTED IMPIROVID/MODIEXED PRODUCTXON METHODS


#### Abstract

Some suggested improved/modified methods with sketches are presented in this chapter for the following process plants considering method study and Frgonomic analysis.


## Name of the Plant

4.1. Snow (in tube)
4.2 Snow (in bottle)

Improved/Modified Methods Proposal
i) to modify in conveyor system.
ii) to provide a vertical discharge hopper.
i) to provide a scraper and conveyor system.
ii) to modify manual capping machine to continuous capping machine.
iii) to provide. an Automatic Levelling Machine.
4.3 Powder Plant
i) to provide a conveyor system.
ii) to provide Dust Collector.
iii) to provide an Automatic Rotex Cap Fixing Machine.
4.4 $\mathrm{P}_{1} / \mathrm{P}_{2}$ (Blue Bar Soap)
$4.5 \quad \mathrm{P}_{3}$ (Toilet Soap)
$4.6 \quad \mathrm{P}_{4}$ (570 Washing Soap)
i) to modify packing layout and method.
ii) to modify extrusion procedure.
i) to modify Packing Table and Layout.
i) to modify Packing Table and Layout.

### 4.1 PACEING IN PLANT SNOW (IN TUBE)

## i) Proposed Modification in Conveyor Syster

The present method of packing is batch type. It must be modified to continuous and systematic process. Material flow should be stream lined by using conveyor. In the present process the materials are haphazardly handed by many workers on a table for packing.. In the proposed process the conveyor is extended up to the final packing. The intermediate packing is conducted on the conveyor belt which will eliminate the above problems.

* 3



## ii) Providing a Vertical Discharge Hopper

## Present Method

Packing, is done manually by the workers. Some workers are engaged in carrying packing materials from materials store to packing work station while others pack the tube in


Figure- 4.2.


Number of workers engaged in packing could be decreased by using this method. A vertical discharge hopper is to be installed near the packing work station. Supply of packing waterials i.e. feeding of packing boxes will be
automatically done if it is charged before starting the work. Subsequently those workers who are engaged in supplying packing boxes will be replaced by this discharging hopper. Details of the hopper is given above.

### 4.2 PACKING IN PLANT SNOW (IN BOTTİE)

## i) Proposed Scraper and Conveyor System:

The present method of packing is batch type. Snow is filled by filling machine. The excess Snow which is left on the bottle above the bottle mouth level is scraped manually by hands. This operation can be done by providing ascraper in a suitable place on the machine.
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Figure - 4.3

A continuous and systematic process can be sugested to replace the present method. In the snow production line the material should be stream lined for easy and smooth process flow. To achieve this the material should be transfered from one operation to another by conveyor belt.
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ii) Proposed Continuous Capping Machine

## Present Method

In the present method the snow in bottles are taken to manual capping machine, where the workers do the work manually and after capping they put the bottles on the packing table.

## Proposed Method

It is suggested to use continuous Automatic Capping Machine, which will increase the production rate. Also the use of a. conveyor belt to shift the bottle into the packing table is suggested. The conveyor may.be in two rows along the packing table depending upon the production rate, which is shown in Figure.


## iii) Proposed Automatic levelling Machine

## Present Method

In existing method snow filling is done by manual filling machine and products are stocked manually on a table. Caping is done by capping machine through hand feeding and after capping products are placed on a table for next operation (levelling the bottle). On" levelling table, the levclling is accomplished manually by. four operators.

## Proposed Method

Productivity could be increased by instaling an Automatic Levelling Machine. Only one worker will be required for automatic levelling according to the proposed method.

### 1.3 PACRING IN POWDER PLANT

## i) Proposed conveyor system

Fi.lling and packing of powder are conducted in abatch, although the filling is a continuous process. The present process can be replaced by continuous process by introducing a conveyor belt, in place of long tables for batch preparations.

Bach operation can be conducted on the conveyor, Additional hand press for cap fitting in. place of hammering the cap can change the present process to a continuous process. The cleaning of containers can be done using air inplace of cleaning by towels.


Figure-4.5

## ii) Proposed Dust Collector

## Present Method

All the raw materials of powder manufacturing are given in ball mills for better mixing. and crushing to the proper grain size. After crushing and filtration processes, the mixture is collected into the drum for taking to the next operation. The powder mixture is fed into the hopper, from which the containers are filled.

The work place is contaminated by powder dusts. It happens because the powder grain size is very small and light. Due to powder dust every worker of the area become fatigue and sick very easily. The powder dusts contain chemicals which may deposit inside the lung walls. It is very harmful for the workers. It is very difficult for a worker to continuously work under such unhygienic condition. The workers loss their working efficiency. Also the workers who are working in this scction for a long time frequently become sick and can not use their maximum working efficiency.

In the present system there is no provision for removal of such dust from the powder manufacturing area to make the working place hygenic.


Figure- 4.6.

## Proposed Method

For the improvement of such unhygienic condition the following suggestions have been made:
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Use a dust collector as shown in drawings in every section (ball mill, filter section and final filling section). Also use suction device to collect all the dust from the floor and passes by exhaust fans.


By using the dust collector the dust fume will be reduce and fresh air circulation will ensure the working area more hygienic and comfortable. The worker can perform their work continuously and efficiently and can use their maximum of working capacity. It will also reduce fatigue.

## iii) Proposed Automatic Rotex Cap Fixing Machine

## Present Method

The present method of final cap sealing is done manually by using hammers. The system is: first the workers put caps on the containers, then they tighten it by impact hammering. By using the manual system the disadvantages are as follows:

1. Wastage of containers, caps and powder due to break of containers and caps.
2. Due to nonuniform impact the pressure over the cap is not uniform, which may keep loose contact and may result in deterioration of the quality of powder.

## Proposed Method

For removing this problem, it is suggested to use an Automatic Rotex Cap Fixing Machine which will make the processes, easy.

### 4.4 PACKING OF BLUR BAR SOAP (Plant $\mathbf{P}_{\underline{1}} \angle \underline{P}_{\underline{2}}$ )

## i) Modification of Packing Layout and Method

## Present Layout and Method

Soaps from the Dryer are picked up by hands and placed in paper cartoons/boxes ( 72 pcs in each box). The boxes are kept on bench at $a$ height of 63 cm from the floor. After filling, the boxes/cartoons are put on the floor and dragged to another place for taping. After taping the packed boxes are kept aside waiting to be picked up by trolley an.


## Proposed layout and Method

It is suggested to replace the bench with a roller table of the same height and 400 cm long. Workers will fill the boxes as usual placing the boxes on the roller table. After filling, the boxes will be pushed along the roller table to the extended part where a worker will tape it and wilikeep on the waiting trolley.


Figure-4.7(b)

## ii) Improved Method of Blue-Bar Extrusion Procedure

Operating procedure of $P_{1} / P_{2}$ plant is just like $P_{4}$ line. Washing soap is produced in both plants. There is a system of extrusion of two bars with extrusion dye in automatic reducer. As a result production of 570 washing soap is much more than the production of BlueBar. To increase the production of Blue-Bar soap, two
bar extrusion method could be introduced by changing the dye.

In two bar extrusion method, printing could be done by setting two print dyes from both sides. Thin plastic roller or plastic plate could be placed between two bars to prevent contact between them due to pressure.


### 4.5 PACKING IN PLANT P $\mathbf{P}_{3}$ (TOILET SOAP)

i) Modification of Packing Table and Layout

## Present Method

The height of the machine bed is 120 cm . and delivers soap at the same height on a $123 \mathrm{cm}$.x 58 cm . table. The workers sitting on one side of able fills the cartoons which are kept by their sides resulting in awkward twisting motions of their bodies and limbs.


## Proposed Method



Figure-4. 8

Existing table may be replaced by a nariow inclined slide, along which the soap will slide to a height of 75 cm . and reach a table at that height. The operator will pick up the cartoons and fill the cartoons on that table.

### 4.6 PACKING IN ${\underset{q}{4}}^{4}$ PRODUCTION LINB ( 570 Washing Soap)

i) Modification in Packing Table and Layout

## Present Method


(Height from floor $=66 \mathrm{~cm}$ )

> Figure-4.9(a)

At present the patty/cartoons are filled on able which are then slided on the floors where it is nailed, wired and marked.

Proposed Method


The table may be extended up to 360 cm . Packaging workers may stand along the sides of the Fillers and may wrap, nail, wire and mark the patty/cartoon which may be kept on a waiting trolley.

## Chapter - $V$

## APPKICATIONS OF ERGONOMICS

### 5.1 INTRODUCTION

Brgonomics is the science of people at work. It involves the application of life science knowledge about human characteristics to benefit well-being and performance. Frgonomics measures go beyond the mere protection of the worker's physical integrity and aim at ensuring his wellbeing through the attainment of optimal working conditions.

Any where we care to look, we will find people trying to adjust to difficult situations. Sometimes the difficulties are minor, sometimes serious. In many instances, the reason for the difficulty is that too little thought has been given to matching the task to human capacities. Tables are too high, boxes are too heavy, doors are too norrow, instructions are confusing, shelves are too high, hand tools are awkward, etc. Sometimes with only slight frustration, discomfort or loss of specd or accuracy people adapt to these situations. Adaptability is a great human asset. However, there is always some cost.

In the industrial context this cost can accumulate rapidly to assume significant proportions. Postural discomfort can
lead to distraction and absenteeism in the short term and chromic health problems in the longer term. Small errors accumulate and can affect performance. All too often trivial effects combine to creat accidents. Ergonomics tries to minimize these problems ( $\mathcal{Y}$ ).

In view of the above an ergonomical study was conducted in different sections of KCCl, and some modifications/ improvements were suggested to improve the present working condition. The purpose of these suggestions was to help developing comfortable conditions for the workers. These will reduce the physical work-loed, improve working postures and reduce the effort of certain movements to make handing load easier and smooth.

### 5.2 THE DESIGN OF WORK PLACES

### 5.2.1 Working Heights:

In KCCL most of packing, filling, wrapping, folding, cleaning, levelling and tapping jobs are done on tables in different work stations. The workers stand by the side of the tables and perform their jobs. The height of the working tables of soap and cosmetic sections are as follows:

Table 5.2.1 : Existing working heights of tables while standing

| Sl.No. | Section | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Table } \\ & \text { Height (CM) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ```Snow (in tube) Tooth Paste``` | 66 |
| 2 | Snow (in bottle) Packing (one dozen) Levelling bottle | 66 |
| 3 | Powder | 78 |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{P}_{1} / \mathrm{P}_{2} \text { Plant } \\ & \text { (Blue Bar soap production) } \end{aligned}$ | 63 |
| 5 | $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ Plant (Toilet soap production) belt height | 120 |
| 6 | $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ Plant <br> 570 Washing soap production | . 70 |

Ergonomically, the most favourable working height for handwork while standing is $5-10 \mathrm{~cm}$ below elbow level. Unfortunately; the present working height of these tables are not compatible.

The problems that are faced by the workers while working on these tables are:
i) Excessive stress is developed in legs
ii) Back pain due to inclination of spinal cord
iii) Muscular fatigue, etc.

These factors reduce worker's working capability. To boost up production and to make the job easy and smooth, all working täble height of KCCL should be modified depending on the nature of the job as per Figure No.5.2.1 (a) (4).

Ergonomically it is often desirable to be able to adjust the working height to suit the individual as per figure 5.2.1 (b) (4).


Figure-5.2.1 (a): Recommended heights of bench for standing work

$\begin{aligned} \text { Figure-5.2.1 (b) : } & \text { Working heights for light work while } \\ & \begin{array}{l}\text { standing in relation to human body } \\ \\ \text { length. }\end{array}\end{aligned}$

### 5.2.2 Seating at Work

A. In many work stations, some jobs are performed by the workers in sitting position. Workers usually use bench for sitting arround a table. Given below are the name of the sections and the height of the benches that are presently in use.
*

Table-5.2.2 (a) : Existing working heights of tables while sitting.

Table
Sl.No.
1
Section
Height (in cm)
Snow (in tube)
74 Tooth Paste

2 Snow (in bottle)
Packing (one dozen) 78
Levelling bottle 80
3 Powder 78
$4 \quad \mathrm{P}_{1} / \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Plant} \quad 63$
(Blue Bar soap production)
$5 \quad \mathrm{P}_{3}$ Plant (Toilet soap production) 59
$6 \quad \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{Plant} \quad 70$
570 Washing soap production
Table-5.2.2 (b) : Existing heights of benches while sitting

Table
Sl.No.
Section

1. Snow (in tube) 56 Tooth Paste

2 Snow (in bottle)
Packing (one dozen) 56
Levelifig bott'le 63
3 Powder 56
$4 \quad \mathrm{P}_{1} / \mathrm{P}_{2}$ Plant 56
(Blue Bar soap production)
$5 \quad P_{3}$ Plant (Toilet soap production) 59
$6 \quad \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{Plant}$. 56
570 Washing soap, production
It is clear from the above tables that the working tables/ benches heights are not compatible with the standard heights. It is observed from the above data that the table height while working in standing position and table height while working in sitting position is almost same. In some
section where jobs are performed in standing position the required table height is lower than the table height for sitting position.

These unusual heights of table/benches causes spinal pain and excessive muscle stress. To improve the sitting position these fixed height type table/bench should be replaçed by adjustable height type bench so that each worker can select his most comfortable height for seated work.

The ideal table heights for assembly work and comfortable inclination of vision when standing and when sitting down are shown in Figure~5. 2.2(a) and (b) (4).


Figure-5.2.2(a) Recommended table heights for sedentary work.


Figure-5.2.2(b) Comfortable inclination of vision when standing (left)\& when sitting down(right). $S=$ standard deviation.
B. In soap section tapping and wrapping jobs are performed on the floor. Workers seated on the floor for tapping and wrapping of six dozen cartoons continuously. This type of working position causes back pain. This working position should be reorganised to make the job comfortable for the workers. For this purpose the job should be done on a standard table (Ergonomic Standard) which will certainly increase the working ability of the worker and thus will improve the productivity of the Soap Processing Section.
C. In 570 soap section, a worker has to bend for doing nailing job. This position of working causes excessive stress in the spinal cord as well as energy consumption of the body increases.

If the packing table of 570 washing soap manufacturing is extended to do the nailing job on the same table then these problems for the workers could be eliminated.
D. In Toilet Soap Processing Section ( $P_{3}$ ), the workers fill six dozen cartoons which are kept by their sides sitting on one side of the table resulting in awkward twisting and mótions of his body and limb. Existing table may be replaced by a narrow inclined slide for avoiding workers body and limb motion problems.

### 5.3.1 Bxisting Loads Handling Methods

(a) Most of the transportation of Raw Materials and products during production are transported manually from one work station to another. In mixing section raw materials and drum handling are done manually in a improper way. Workers do not follow the right procedure of lifting products. They bend their back and keep their. knees straight. This body posture of lifting weight put a much greater stress on the discs in the lumber region than keeping the back as straight as opossible and bend the knees. The ideal manual material handling procedures are shown in Figurc-5.3.1; 5. 3. 2 and 5.3.3: (4).

To avoid physical problems during work and material handling, the workers should follow the correct procedures. An ideal manunl material handling procedure is presented in figure-5.3.4 for different type of transportations (5).
(b) In powder processing section fecding of raw materials into crusher's hopper is done manually. The worker has to climb a wooden strair case carrying'thc raw materials over his herd and then pour i.t into the hopper as it is beyond the reach of a worker. it should be mentioned hére that the height of the hopper is 3 meter. Such loading of hopper is very dangerous and may cause accident at any time. This present method of feeding of raw material could be avoided if a conveyor belt is installed for feeding. This will certainly decrease the loading time as well as, it will ensure safety to the worker.


Figure-5.3.1. LIFT LOADS AS CLOSE TO THE BODY AS POSSIBLE


## Figure-5.3.2. Handling casks. Left: tilting and rolling, with the upper part of the body held upright. Right: lightening the work by using a trolley.



Figure-5.3.3. How the pressures on the intervertebral discs are distributed when a load is being lifted, with bent, and with straight back.

Figure-5.3.4.: OPTIMAL USE OF PHYSICAL EFFORT
A. ASPECTS OF WEIGHT DISTRIBUTION

To apply a downward force
To lift on a trolley

B. LIFTING AND CARRYING

(c) In Snow and Tooth paste section, the mixed raw materials 4 are transported from mixing to filling section in a container by manual transportation. Two workers lift the heavy container together and then carry it to the required place. This carrying process requires much greater efforts as well as physical stress and results in back and hand pain of the worker.

From ergonomical point of view, such lransportation of raw materials should be avoided. The present method of transportation could be improved if a trolley is used for transportation.
(d) In general, for work requiring frequenct lifting, it is advisable to use a well trained worker. The correct technique is shown in Figure-5.3.4.

### 5.3.2 Manual Material Handling (MMH)

Manual Material Handing alone is the cause of about 25-30\% of all industrial injuries. Related injuries include overexertion, crushing, dropping, sprains and falls.

To minimize the risks and severity of the MMH over-exertion injuries, it is essential to pursue an ergonomic approach to the design of MMH tasks. In the design of such tasks, ergonomics gives utmost consideration to the human anatomical, physiological and psychological capabilities and limitations (10).

There are many factors involved in MMH. Consequently no one approach will ever solve the whole problem but four general approaches can be considered. Any specific solution will involve a mixture of these. These four approaches are to modify or make allowances for:
i) The object:

ii) The task:

Height : To keep all repetitive lifts between knuckle and shoulder height.

Duration : Keen carrying to a minimum
Rate : To avoid high lifting rates.
Upper Body: To minimize upper body movement. Movement

Accuracy : Accurate -placement increases static of placement muscular demands.
iii) The Bnvironment:

Temperature: To avoid heat and extreme cold.
Friction : To avoidschanges in surfaces such as sticky or slippery floors.

Lifting : To avoid constrioting spaces.
space

Footing : To avoid awtward footing areas such as pallets.
iv) The Operator:

Screening : To avoid stressing previous injuries.
Training : To teach correct lifting.
To make allowances for inexperience. To teach personal limits in strength and endurance.

Fitness : To encourage physical fitness. To make allowances after illness or absence.

Age : Older pcople are more vulnerable to heat and fatigue.

The first priority should be given to modify the object, the task or the environment. Even quite simple modifications can have far reaching effects. For example (Figure-5.3.5) putting handholds on containers and boxes will: (9).

- make them less likely to be dropped
- demand less static muscle activity when carrying.
- make grasping easier and quicker
- reduce the amount of upper body lifting and lowering.

The upper body weights a great deal - 35 kg or more. Every time this weight is lifted or lowered it costs energy and stresses the spine, just like any other weight. Small reductions in the frequency or the range of upper body movement can mean appreciably less fatigue and less stress (10).


Figure-5.3.5. Manual material handling procedure.

### 5.4 HAND TOOLS. AND DBVICBS

The hand tools. (like hammer, screw driver, cutting pliers, wrench, knife, etc.) that are being used by the technical staffs for last 32 years are not designed ergonomically. While designing these tools some factors like tissue compression stress, repetitive finger action, design for safe operation, maintain of atraight wrist, etc: were not considered. So, for smooth performance and for safe operation these tools should be replaced by new ergonomicaliy designed tools as shown in figures (8).

Wrist joint movement and elbow joint of human hand are shown in figure-5.4.1 and 5.4.2. The short-comings of the old tools may be described as follows:
i) They are hard to hold in hand
ii) Handles are too far apart to squecze
iii) Too heavy to handle
iv) To hard to squeeze and
v) Awkward.

Figure-5.4.3; 5.4.4; 5.4.5; and 5.4.6 show the differences between old tools and new tools (8).


Figure-5.4.1.: Movements of the wrist joint about two axes.
(b)

Figure-5.4.2.: The elbow joint showing the connection of the bicep to the redius.


(a) Broom handle

(b) Hammer handle

Figure-5.4.3 : Bxamples of the Bennett handle that helps the user keep the wrist straight while using the tool.

(a) Conventional design

(b) Redesigned pliers

Figure-5.4.4 : X-rays of hand using conventional pliers in a wiring operation, (a) and in using a redesigned model (b), The redesigned model is more anatomically correct.

Due to improper design of hand tools injuries occur frequently. Other more incidious consequences of improper tool design are cumulative trama such as tenosynovitis, "trigger finger", ischemia, vibration-induced white finger, and even tennis elbow. These conditions usually do not show up on accident injury reports but of ten lead to reduced work output, poor quality work, increased absenteeisy and single incident traumatic injuries.

(a) Coventional handle

(b)Modified handle

Figure-5.4.5.: A conventional paint scraper that presses on the ulnar artery and a modified handle which rests on the tough tissues between thumb and index finger, thus preventing pressure on the critical areas of the hand.

(a) Thumb switch
(b.) Recessed finger strip

Figure- 5.4.6. : Thumb-operated and finger-strip-operated pneumatic tool. Thumb operation results in overextension of the thumb. Finger-strip control allows all the fingers to share the load and the thumb to grip and guide the tool.

### 5.5 WORKING ENVIRONMENT

(a) Lighting and Ventilation

The KCCL was constructed about 32 years ago. During designing little care was taken for lighting and ventilation. As a result every section suffer from insufficient light as well as poor ventilation. This problem is very serious in powder section. Due to poor lighting excessive stress is put on their eyes which causes eye fatigue. In sufficient light may cause accident. Improper ventilation causes breathing problems and make the atmosphere unhealthy for the workers. This two factors certainly decreases workers efficiency. Standard lighting and ventilation system has to be maintained in every production section according to industrial law of Bangladesh.

Some modification is needed for natural lighting and ventilation specially in powder and snow sections. Generally it could be said that the roof of all sections should be redesign or replaced for natural lighting and exhaust fans should be installed for proper ventilation.
(b) Dust

Musk should be provided to the workers of powder section as the atmosphere of this section is hazardous to workers health. Due to crushing, filling and air cleaning, the
atmosphere of this section is always dusty. Inhaling such dusty air, causes lungs problem. As the workers of this section works without musk, most of them suffer from asthma.

## (c) Humidity

Humidity also has a great affect on workers. In snow, tooth paste and powder section, walls and floors are wet due to poor ventilation and humidity: To maintain a hyegenic. and good working condition normal humidity should be maintained in every section.
(d) Colour

The equipments, machineries and vessels are not appropriately coloured. The colours of some vessels and equipments put stress on workers eyes who work on them. So, these machineries, equipments and vessels should be repainted keeping in mind the ergonomical suggestions of colouring equipment and machinery.

### 5.6 MOVEMENTS OF HUMAN BODY AT TIE WORKPLACF

a) In most of the work stations various jobs are done on tables. Several workers stand or sit around a table/bench and perform their jobs. The existing table length, number of workers', recommended number of workers as per ergonomical approach are presented in the following table:
Table－5．6（a）：Existing working table length for seated
works and recommended number of workers as
per ergonomical approach．

1 Snow（in tube） 488
Tooth Paste
Snow（in bottle）
Cleaning and 512 capping，etc．

Levelling and Packing

Powder

Table Length （c⿴囗⿰丨丨⿰⿴囗⿱一一儿，

No．of workers （both side）


It was found that the working space on the table is very inadequate for the worker．Some workers sit idle while others work due to insufficient working space．

An ideal working space is shown in Figure－5．6（a）（4）．


Figure－5．6（a）
Horizontal arc of grasp，and working area at table top height． The grasping distance takes account of the distance from shoulder to hand；the working distance only elbow to hand． The values include the 5 percentile and so apply to wen and women of less than average size．

From ergonomics point of view the recommended number of workers for each table are determined and are presented in table-5.6(a). This step will certainly increase the working efficiency.
(b) While working on a table, workers move their hands not symmetrically. They make excessive perallel movement, twist their hands unnecessarily which result in excessive energy loss. These unnecessary hand and body movement make them tired faster and sometime causes muscle pain. These unnecessary movements are not in favour of motion economy and so these should be avoided. The normal and maximum working area as well as the hand movements of a worker should be as shown in diagrams 1 and 2 of Fig. 5.6 (b) (5).

NORMAL WORKING AREA Diagram 1.

Finger, Wrist and Elbow Movements

-

Diagram 2.
MAXIMLM WORKING AREA Shoulder Movements


Figure-5.6 (b). Normal \& maximum working areas.

Some points are given below from the motion economy point of view to over-come these problems:
A. Use of Human body

When possible;
i) the two hands should begin and complete their movements at the same time.
ii) the two hands should not be idle at the same time except during. periods of rest.
iii) motions of the arms should be symmetrical and in opposite directions and should be made simutaneously.
iv) hand and body motion should be made at the lowest classification at which it is possible to do the work satisfactorily.
v) momentum should be employed to help the worker but should be reduced to minimum whenever it has to be overcome by muscular effort.
vi) continuous curved movements are to be preferred to straight line motions involving sudden and sharp changes in direction.
vii) free-swinging movements are faster, easier and more accurate then restricted or controlled movements.
viii) rhythm is essential to the smooth and automatic performance of a repetitive operation. The work should be arranged to permit easy and natural rhythm whenever possible.
ix) work should be arranged so that eye movements are confined to comfortable area, without the need for frequent changes of focus.
B. Arrangement of the Workplace
i) definite and fixed stations should be provided for all tools and materials to permit bait formation.
ii) tools and materials should be pre-positioned to reduce searching.
iij) tools, materials and controls should be located within
.. the maximum working area and as near to the worker as possible.
iv) materiuls and tools should be arranged to permit the best sequence of motions.
v) provision should be made for adequate lighting and a chair of the type and beight to pernit good posture should be provided. The height of the workplace and seat should be arranged to allow alternate standing and seating.
vi). the colour of the workplace should contrast with that of the work and thus reduce eye fatifue.
C. Design of Tools and Equipments
i) the hands should be relieved of all work of 'holding.' the workpiece where this can be done by a jig, fixture or foot-operated device.
ii) two or more tools should be combined whenever possible.
iii) where each finger performs some specific movements the load should be distributed in accordance with the inherent capacities of the fingers.
iv) handles such as those on cranks and large screw divivers should be so designed that as much of the surface of the hand as possible can come into contact with the handle.
v) levers, crossbars and handwhecls should be so placed that the operative can use them witiht the least change in body position.

### 5.7 CONCLUDING RKMARKS

The conclusions of the above investipation are stated below:

1) Ergonomic approach towards works goes beyond the mere protection of the workers physical integrity. It ensures workers wel-being through the attainment of optimal. working condition. In view of this, some applications of ergonomics are suggested int this chapter. 'lhese suggestions should be considered and eliminate existing methods. This will certainly ensure safety and wel-being of workers as well as it will lead to higher productivity.
2) An ergonomic approach to the design of MMH jobs and workplace appears to be the most promising engineering solution to minimize the incidence of occupational injuries. Such an approach wj.ll ensure that the MMH tasks are performed within human tolerance limits.

## Chapter - VIT

CALCULATIONS OF MANPOWER REQUIREMENT

### 6.1 ACTIVITY SAMPLING TBCHNIQUB

Activity Sampling Technique was used to determine the required manpower in the cosmetic and soap manfacturing sections, because in these plants workers are engaged in groups. Activity sampling technique is very applicable in determining work volume accomplished by a group.

As a part of this technique workers are carefully observed at different work stations. After observing them in working condition or in idle condition during working time, workers activity ratio was calculated.

By using universal formula of sample size calculation, the minimum number of observation was calculated and is shown in Appendix-L. The next step was to calculate the percentage of relaxation allowances and leave and absentecism rate of last one year and thus the correct manpower requirement at every work stations was determined.

Basic informations of manpower calculations are:
i) Present manpower allocation (shown in Appendix-Q).

```
    ii) Percentage of working time (shown in
        Appendices - E and F).
iii) Relaxation allowances (shown in Appendix-B);
iv) Percentage of leave and absenteeism (shown in
        Appendices - O and P);
    v) Manpower requirement of every work station
        (Appendix-D);
    vi) Summary of recommended manpower per shift
        (Appendix-C);
vii) Final statement of existing and proposed manpower
    requirement and expected output (shown in
        Appendix-A).
```


### 6.2 TIME STUDY TECHNLQUE

To determine the manpower requirement, balance and expected output of different work stations of step by step manufacturing process, measuring productivity of different steps (for machine controlled work)/workers productivity (for manual work) is required.This was done by applying time study technique. In this method accomplishing time of different works by workers or output rate of different machines were determined. Also expected output for possible work stations were determined after calculation of cycle time.

Example : Time required by a worker to fill a cartoon or quantity of products produced by a worker per minute.

First, all the production lines were carefully observed and ineffective elements in the methods were eliminated from the process by method study. Then all the informations available about the jobs were recorded. Essential time study equipments were used for time study. Obseryed times by stop watch time study method were recorded. All data concerning time study technique of cosmetic processing plant are shown in Appendices - J and K. Existing base part mixing times were also recorded and are shown in Appendix-M.

After observation, the observed time was converted to basic time by applying basic mathematical equation No.[2].

The British standard scale was used in this study which is the 0 - 100 scale. In calculat the standard time, the standard rating of the scale was considered to be 100 , which meant by the operators/workers work briskly/business like performance, all through over the working hour.

Standard time was calculated by adding percentage of relaxation allowances with the basic time for every work stations and groups in the processes (equation No.[3]). Finally cycle time for a complete process was also calculated which are shown in Appendix-1.

Basic informations of manpower calculations are:

```
    i) average observed time; (shown in Appendices -
                J and K);
ii) basic time and standard time (shown in
                                Appendices - I and J);
iii) complete cycle time of the process (shown in
        Appendix-I);
    iv) output rate of each section (shown in
        Appendix-H);
v) required manpower calculation (shown in Appendix-G).
Calculation of manpower requirement on the basis of time study through production line balancing is given in Appendix-G. For the above, output rate of each section was calculated considering \(5 \%\) average down time for electrical and maintenance failure and 7.5 hours working time per shift.
```


### 6.3 SUMMARY:

It may be mentioned here that in recommending the requirement of actual number of manpower, the activity Sampling Technique results were considered since this gives better results than time study technique. Since the current manpowr is much higher, the number of manpower calculated by the Work Sampling method would be better acceptable by the management. Therefore, manpower requirement in KCCL may be recommended from the Work Sampling Technique. As a result the workers are supposed to work at a steady rate and unhurried performance with time not being intentionally wasted.

## Chapter - VII

PROCESSING OF DATA AND PESUITIS

### 7.1 PROCBSSING OF DATA.

The main purpose of analysing activity sampling data and time study through production line balancing was to calculate required manpower. It has been, already mentioned that activity sampling technique was applied on three groups separately in cosmetic and soap processing plants. All data concerning these techniques are shown in Appendices - E and E. After observation percentage of activity of every work station and group was calculated separately. Calculation of manpower requirement at every work station of cosmetic and soap processing plants work sampling technique adding relaxation allowances and leave and absenteeism rate are shown in Appendix-D. Calculations were also made seperately for production and technical workers:

The summary of recommended manpower per shift are shown in Appendix-C.

Einal statement of existing and proposed employement of manpower and expected output of cosmetic and soap processing plants are shown in Appendix-A.

Analysis of data for calculation of required manpower and expected output rate by time study technique through production line balancing (manpower balance) are shown cronologically in Appendics - G, H, $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{J}$ and K .

### 7.2 LIMITATIONS OF THB STUDY

The main limitations of the study were:
i) this study was confincd only in cosmetic section (allowable area). No investigation was conducted in Perfume area. ln Soap Processing Unit the study was confined only in $P_{1} / P_{2}, P_{3}$ line of Mazzoni Plant and $P_{4}$ line.
ii) for determining manipower only Time Study (Stop Watch) and Work Sampling Techniques were used.
iii) to calculate a Standard Time, only the standard rating was considered.
iv). minimum number of observation was considered in between 60-70.
v) to determine manpower requirement by time Study, output rate of various sections were fixed.
vi) to calculate output rate considering $5 \%$ average down time for electrical and maintenance failure and. 7.5 hours working time per shift.
vii) in recommending the requirement of actual number of manpower, the Activity Sampling Technique results were considered as it was found higher.

### 7.3 SUMMARLZED RBSULTS

i) Ergonomics Applications

Some applications of ergonomics on the design of existing work places, handling loads, hand tools and devices, working environment and motion economy in cosmetic and soap processing plants have been suggested in Chapter-5.
ii) Production Method Study

About twelve improved/modified production methods and layout in whole cosmetic and soap processing. plants have been suggested in Chapter-4.
ii.i) Manpower
a) About $37 \%$ of existing manpower was found to be in excess in cosmetic processing plant (Appendix-A).
b) About 48\% of existing manpower is found to be excess in soap processing plant (Appendix-A).
iv) Relaxation Allowances
a) Cosmetic Processing Flant:
(Appendix-B)
'Icchnical. Side $=.4 \% \%$
Packing Side $\quad \dot{=} 28-31 \%$
Other Side $=26 \%$
b) Soap Procossing Plant (Appendix-B)

Technical Side $=26 \%$
Packing Side $=25 \%$ other Side $=25 \%$
v) Leave and Absenteeism:

Leave and Absentecism rate was found $16.99 \%$ and $19.83 \%$ in cosmetic and soap processing plants respectively (Appendix-0).
vi) Output Per Shift : (Appendices - G and H)

122 Gross of Snow (in tube) by the existing manpower 115 Gross of Snow (in bottle) by the existing manpower. 126 Gross of Powder by the existing manpower.

In cosmetic section the average current output is $41 \%$ less than expected output by the existing manpower.

335 Gross of Blue Bar soap ( $\mathrm{P}_{1} / \mathrm{P}_{2}$ ) by the existing man power 335 Gross of Toilet soap ( $P_{3}$ ) by the existing manpower. 590 Gross of 570 Washing Soap ( $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ ) by the existing manpower

In soap section the average current output is 43\% less than expected output by the existing manpower.
vii) Cycle time for filling and packing part : (Appendix-I).
Snow (in tube) $=2.975$ minutes

Snow (in bottle) $=4.362$ minutes
Powder $=4.061$ minutes.
viii) Observed Machine Capacity for full 8 hours operation: Snow (in tube) production $=122$ Gross where as present production is 70 Gross

Snow (in bottle) production $=115$ Gross where as present production is 80 Gross.
$\begin{aligned} \text { Powder production } \quad= & 126 \text { Gross where as } \\ & \text { present production is }\end{aligned}$ 60 Gross.

Blue Bar soap production $=335$ Gross where as present production is 190 Gross.

Tioler soap production $=335$ Gross where as present production is 170 Gross.

570 washing soap production $=590$ Gross where as present production is 360 Gross.

## Chapter - ViII

## CONCLUSTONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

### 8.1 CONCLUSIONS

Tbe conclusions of the present investigation are stated. below:

1) The requirement of actual number of manpower obtained by the work "sampling and time study methods were about $58 \%$ and $41 \%$ respectively. Thus the result of work sampling method was about $17 \%$ higher than the time study method.
2) From time study and activity sampling, it was found that the existing manpower is almost $42 \%$ excess of what is required at the present time. Excess manpower could be utilized elsewhere.
3) The expected output of each section was recommended. The current output of each section is about 43\% less than the expected output.
4) Some modifications/improvements concerning production method and material handing have been made. These modifications are expected to improve material/product handing, working condition and above all to improve productivity.
5) Some ergonomical analysis regarding design of work places, handling loads, hand tools and devices, working environment, motion economy etc. will increase job satisfaction of workers, reduce the rate of accidents and raise productivity of the plant.

### 8.2 RECOMMENDATIONS

On the basis of the experimental results the following recommendations are made:

1) The suggested improved and modified production methods in cosmetic and soap processing plants should be implemented for easy and smooth operation. This will improve the productivity.
2) For improving worker wel-being, safety and efficiency ergonomic principles should be applied.
3) From the study it was clear that in cosmetic and soap section existing manpówer is much more than required. Manpower requirement must be calculated using time study/work sampling method.
4) Authority of KCCL must take long term and appropriate measures so that any problem of too much relaxation time allowance does not arise.
5) Day by day working efficiency of workers, technicians, operators are going down as they are not motivated to do the work. For avoiding this there should be provision for various types of training, participation and upgrading of workers, technicians and operators skills.
6) It is recommended that a committee should be formed with personnel from various departments in KCCL to undertake the responsibility of applying Ergonomics to enhance productivity.

## 8. 3 FUTURB RESEARCH

To obtain maximum benefit, research work through Ergonomics Methodology and Work Study Technique should be conducted in the following areas:

1) Redesign of whole process layout and old equipments and machineries according to the principle of Ergonomics to maximise productivity
2) There is a need to improve and standardize the method of mixing, filling and packing works with the aim to maximise job satisfaction and workers attitude towards the job.
3) Use of computer in information processing activities in order to reduce percentage of error, to decrease stress on human.
4) Therc is a need to develop MMll stundurds by employing proper performanco/capacity evaluation method and such standurds should give due consideration to the weight, size, frequency and the position of the load handled.
```
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STATEMENT OF EXCESS AND REQUIRED MANPOWER


## APPENDIX - A <br> Page 2 of 5

STATENENT EHOMIN EUISTINE ANE EROROSED EMPLOMNENM OF NANPOWER ANE EYPECTET OUTPUT.

## ( COSMETIC PROCESSING PLANT)

| ELANT/ FRJこESS | MLEDCATED MANPOMEP PEF SEITE/PARTV / DAY. | KECOMVEDED MEXPGEER. FER S: | ETFM/ExTM/ス | EEOMEND | $\sqrt{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | SupERilios | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (Single Lins)for } \\ & \text { fuly } \varepsilon \text { hours } \\ & \text { (operatior. } \\ & \text { Gros } \\ & \text { ( } 12-\text { Dozer. ) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  | llll | ${ }^{1} 122$ | ㅎout |
|  |  |  | i | 115 | $37 \%$ of existing manpon: Is Founc to be excess. |
|  |  |  | $\dagger$ | 125 crest |  |
|  | (TECANTCAI, EmAFF) |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | \% |  |

- NTESE ARE NOT STURIED.
* OESERVATON: \%E NOT LONE. THE PZCOMHDDATION ON THE BKSIS OE OMN UNLERSMANENE AEOUT THE LOE.

STATEMENT SHOWTNG EXISTING \& PROPOSED EMPLOYMENT OF MANPOWER
( SOAP PROCESSING PLANT )

| DIANT/PROCESS |  | ATIOCATED MANPOWERPER SHIPT |  | RECOMMENDED MANPOWERPER SHIPT |  |  |  | PEMARES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | CARTOON | PETTY | CABTOON | PETITY | SUPERVISOR | FCREMAN |  |
| $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{PZ}$ | OPERATICLTAT WOREER | 24 | 24 | $12+3$ | $15+3$ | 1 | WASEING SOAF: 1 TOIIET SOLF: 1 | About 48\% of exist-L-E manpower is found to be excess. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TECE. } \\ & \text { STAFT } \end{aligned}$ | 5 | 6 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |
| Р3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OPGRATIC- } \\ & \text { WAL WORKER } \end{aligned}$ | 37 | - | 17 | - | 1 |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{TECE} \\ & \mathrm{STAFF} \end{aligned}$ | 12 | - . | 6 | - |  |  |  |
| P4 | OFERATICN NAI WORGER | 37 | 47 | 17 | 19 | 1 |  |  |
|  | TECH. $S T A F F$ | 6 | 6 | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |
| COMMON FOR ATT PLANTS | - | 5 (WORKER) |  | PERFUME/ <br> CHEMICAI: 2(Tech.Staf 4 ) <br> OTEERS : 2 (WOTYEI) |  | 1 |  |  |

STATEMENT OF MANPOWER REQUIREMENT BY TIME STUDY METHOD

| Sections i | Allocated Manpower | Total <br> \|Allocated Manpower | : Recommended | Total <br> Recommended Manpower | Percentage of excess Manpower |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COSMETIC PLANT |  |  |  | . |  |
| Snow (in tube) | 19 |  | 10 |  |  |
| Snow(in bottle) | 40 | 70 | 14 | $33+2$ | 50\% |
| Powder | 11 |  | 9 |  |  |
| SOAP PIEANT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blue $\operatorname{Bar}(\mathrm{P} 1 / \mathrm{P} 2)$ | 24 |  | 8 |  |  |
| Toilet (P3) | 37 | 98 | $10^{\circ}$ | $29+2$ | 68\% |
| 570 Washing(P4) | 37 |  | 11 |  |  |

STATEMENT OF OUTPUT PER SHIFT BY EXISTING MANPOWER

| Sections | Present output (gross) | Expected output (gross) | Percentage of present output less than expected output | Average percentage of output |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cosmetic <br> Plant |  |  |  | * |
| Snow <br> (in tube) | 70 | 122 | 42\% |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Snow (in } \\ & \text { bottle) } \end{aligned}$ | 80 | 115 | 30\% | 41.3\% |
| Powder | 60 | 126 | 52\% |  |
| Soap Plant |  |  |  |  |
| Blue Bar <br> (P1/P2) | 190 | 335 | 43\% |  |
| Toilet (P3) | 170 | 335 | 49\% | 43:79\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & 570 \text { Washing } \\ & \text { (P4) } \end{aligned}$ | 360 | 590 | 39\% |  |

A. SHEAVING CREAM PIANT

B. CALCULLTED LEAVE \& ABSENTEEISM RATE FOR COSMETIC PROCESSING PLANT IN $1989 \mathbf{- 9 0}=\mathbf{1 6 . 9 9 \%}$

CADCULATION OF TIME ALIOWHNES FOR SNOW: (IN BOTITE) PIANT

|  | MIXING, FILIING \& STORAGE TANK (TECE. SIDE) | PACKIIN | UTHERS | FEMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FERSONAI: NEFDS: <br> BASIC FATIGUE : <br> VARIABIE ALLOWAIOES FOR: <br> - Fosture <br> - Physical strair <br> - Vibration <br> - Concentration <br> - Eye Strain <br> - Noise <br> - Temperature and humidity <br> - Ventiletion <br> - Fumes <br> - Dust \& dirt <br> - wet |  $10 \%$ <br> POINTS <br> 4 <br> 43 <br> 1 <br> 5  <br> 5  <br> 2  <br> 2  <br> 3  <br> -  <br> 2 $34 \%$ <br> 66  |  |  | ALIONANCES WERE BETERMINED ON IHE <br> SHSIS UE ILO <br> RECOMITHDETIONE <br> gOR VAFIADLE <br> FELOWANOES I! <br> FOTNT SESTM: <br> CONVERTET TO <br> PERCENTAGE OF <br> TIME. <br> (Ref: Introduction to Work Study, IIO. Geneva, 3rd Revised Edition). |

CALCUTATION OF THME ALIONANOES FOR POWDER PLGNT


## DETERMITATION OF ALIOWANCES FOR SOAP PROCESSING PTANTT

A.

B. CAICULATED LEAVE \& $\angle B S E T E E I S M$ RATE FCR SOAP FROCESSING PLANT IN $1989=19.83 \%$

SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDEU MANPOMF PEK SHIFT PEF. PARTV (DAY) COSMETIC PROCESSING PLANT

|  |  | SNOW ( TUB | PLANT | SNOW (BOT | ) PLANT | POWDER PI |  | COMMON |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NO. | (BRIEF DESCRIPTION). |  <br> Packing <br> Part | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Base } \\ & \text { Part } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Filling \& } \\ & \text { Packing } \\ & \text { Part } \end{aligned}$ | Base <br> Part | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Filing \& } \\ & \text { Packing } \\ & \text { Part } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Base <br> Part | ALL <br> PLANTS |
| A | E | C | D | $\overline{\mathrm{E}}$ | F | G | H | I |
| 1. | Filling \& Selt cleaning/Filling \& Cap. Flacing/Filling, weigning \& Inspection | 2 | - | 4 | - | 2 | - |  |
| 2. | ```Packet ready & stamping/straighting and cartoon ready/container cieaning & petty opening``` | 2 | - | 3 | - | 2 | - |  |
| 3. | Inserting tube/cap putting/cap fitting | 2 | - | 3 | - | 2 | - |  |
| $\stackrel{1}{4}$ | Facket closing \& arranging/levelling | 3 | - | 5 | - | - | - |  |
| 5. | Zopper, tube forming, cartoon preparetion hopper \& cleaning bottle | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | * |
| $\epsilon$. | Packing 1 doz./single packet making | 2 | - | 5 | - | - | - |  |
| 7. | Einal packiñ/packing i doz. anc 6 doz. | 1 | - | 4 | - | 2 | - |  |
| 8. | Vixing \& Transpering to filling section | - | 6. | - | * | - | 6 |  |
| 9. | Transportation \& waste cartoon disposal | - | - | - | - | - - | - | 2 |
| 10. | Other utility services (carpenter, store, lá., etc. | - | - - |  | - | - | - | 2 |
| 11. | Tech. Staff (From base part to packing) | 2 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |
| 12. | Supervisors | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |
| 13: | Foremar. | - |  |  |  | - |  | 1 |

* Base'part for snow (In tube) \& snow (In bottle) section is common.

SUMMERY CF RECOMENDED MATYOWER FER SHIPM
SOAF PROCESSING PLANT

| SI. | WORE STAMICN / IOCATION <br> (3rief Description) | ILANT EILANT P1/P2 IF |  | FIANTM 24 IF |  | PIANT P3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (SINGLE RCW } \\ & \text { EXTKUSICN) } \\ & \text { PAFER CAFTOON } \\ & \text { PTTJTNG } 72 \text { DCS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (SINGLE ROW } \\ & \text { EXTRUSION) } \\ & \text { Woden(Petty) } \\ & \text { peling } 180 \text { pcs } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (DCUSLE ROW } \\ & \text { SXMRUSION) } \\ & \text { PAPER CARTOON } \\ & \text { FIIIING } 72 \text { pCS } \\ & \text { DEI bOX. } \end{aligned}$ | (DUUBLE ROW EXTRUSION) WOODEF CARTON FIIIING180PCS PER BOX | TOILEI SCAF SINGIE EXNRU- SION WRAFFING. DAFING | CCNHON FOP AII, PLMFTS |
| A | 3 | C | D | E | $F$ | G | H |
| 1 | FEEDING/LOADING DRYER/DRY CEAMBER | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | - |  |
| 2 | RECEIVING/UFiCRDING FROM DRYER(\& FIIIING BOXES YBECEIVING \& STAPTING | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  |
| 3 | WRAFPING | - | - | - | - | 5 |  |
| 4 | EUIJING | 1 - | + - | - | - | 2 |  |
| 5 | MAFING | - | - - | - | - | 2 |  |
| 5 | FITHING 3CXES\&EEMTY (AFNER STAMITNG | G) 3 | 3* | 4 | 4 | - - | , |
| 7 |  | 2 | 5 | 3 | 5 | - |  |
| 8 | MAFING \& DELEVENF OF MPNY CARMOONS 30XES (Tillipg =0iythene,Prep, \&Suppl | Sy | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| 9 | OICCLCOOLS | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | - |
| 10 | WASTE COITECMTCN/CIEANING/RECYCLING | $G \quad 1$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 11 | OTEER UnIITMy SERVICSS(Campenter, Store, Lab. etc. |  | , |  | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 12 | SURERVISORS | 1 | 1 | - 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| $13:$ | NECE STAFF: CNUNEER/FEED TANX AIM | $1$ | $!$ | 2 | 2 | 14 | 2** |
|  | O.STAMPING OPERATOR/WRAF | $\text { FING } 1$ | 1 $1= \pm$ | , $1 * * *$ | 1 | 2 |  |
|  | C. ECREPAN |  |  | 1 | - | 1 |  |

* mhis mapower is admissible if 570 Wasing Soap is produced in this line.

** mís manpower is admissible only if stampins m/c is in operation in inis line (in case of 570 washine soap).



## APPENDIX - D


PLANT SHOW (TUEE) PROLUOTION (TEVEMGAL STAFF)


CAICULATION OF NAKN POWER REqLIREMENT
PLANI SNOW (IN BOTHLE) FILLING AND
PACXING FART (PRODUCTION STAFF)


## CAICULAMION OF MANPOWER REQUIREMTNT

PLANT SNOW (BOTTLE) - TECHNICAL STAFF

| WCEE STAMION | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HO.OP WOEnTRS/ } \\ & \text { OESAMORS } \\ & \text { AOCAEDD } \end{aligned}$ | $\%$ HOREING(AS Obtained from - <br>  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NO.OR WORZERS/ } \\ & \text { PEQUIRED TO } \\ & \text { PEPRCRN WES } \\ & \text { CONTENT. } \end{aligned}$ | AILOWZBLIE <br> DEIAXAMION <br> ATHOKT:NDS |  | KO. OP WONEES REQURED COKSI DERNE INAVE \& ABENTEEISM. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FECOMETDED } \\ & \text { NO. CR WOEKESS } \\ & \text { DER SEIPT. } \end{aligned}$ | PETis? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | $\bigcirc 3$ | $140001.2 \times 001.31$ | 1005 | $16=001.4 \times 1.49$ | $7=001.6 \times 1.16991$ | 8 | 0 |
| Nixing, filling and Storage tank | $4 \quad$ - | 19.51\% | 0.7804 | 49\% | 1.163 | 1.36 | 2 | - |
| Foreman | . | . |  | . | . | . | 1 | One foreman for whole cosmetic inne is recommendec் |

```
CALCULATION OF NLNFO:EER REQUIREMENT
DLANT POWDER - FIILING AND PACKING PART (PRODUCTION STAFF)
```



## CAICUILATION OF MANPOUER REQUIRRTENT

PLANT PO:DER - FILIING \& PACKING PART (NECHNICAL STAFF).


CALUULATION OF MANPO: FR REGUIREMENT
COMYON FOR ALİ PLANTS OF COSMETICS.

| WCES Smamtor $\mid$ |  | $\%$ Worime as Obtaized flox Activity Sam- | PEAUTRED. TO <br> PERPCEM WOES <br> - |  |  |  |  | REMAETS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | $14=C 01.2 \times C 0103+$ | + 5 | $5=001.4 \times 1.26$ | $17=C 01.6 \times 1.1699$ | ) | 9 |
| ```Transportation & waste cartoon cisposal``` | $4 *$ | 21.59\% | 0.8635 | - $26 \%$ | $1.088$ | $1.273$ | 2 | For convenience of operation 2 workers are recommenced for all plants |
| Other Uitility <br> Services | - | - | - | - | - | - - | 2 | - ..... |
| Sase part for Snow, Toothpeste \& sheaving credm |  | - | - | - | - |  | 6 | Base part for snow'(tupe) anà snow( sot tl e) is same. |
| Base part for Powder | - | - | - | - | - - | - | 6 | Separate base part |
| Foreman |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | One foremar for all plants is recommended. |

* Activity sampling data sheet for Transportation \& waste cartoon disposal were prepared by allocating 4 workers. :

CATCULATION OF MANPOWER REQUIREMENTI
PIANT P1/F2 FORBLUE BAR SOAD PRODUCTION (WOEKERS)


CAICULATION OF MANPOWER REQUIREMENT
PLANT P1/P? FOR BLUE BAR SOAP FRODOCTION(TECHILCAL STAFF)


## CALCULATTON OF MANPOWER REQUIREMENT PLANT P4,FOR 570 WASHING SOAP PRODUCTION (WORKERS)



CAICULATION OF MANPOUER REQUIREMENT
PLANT P4,570. WASHING SOAP PRODUCTION(TECHNICAL STAFF)

| WORE STATION | NO.OF YORKERS/ OFERATORS ATHOCATED | \% WORKING(As Obtained from Activity Sampling nate) | NO.OF WORKERS/ REQUIRED TO PFRPORM WOEK CONTENT. | LALIOWABLE RELAXATION ALIOWENCES | NO.OP WORKERS REQUIRED CONSI DERING R.ATIC NHNCES. | NO.OF NORKERS - Requined comsi DERTNG TEAYTF \& | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RECOMMENDED } \\ & \text { NO.OF WORKERS } \end{aligned}$ | RTMAPSS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | H=Col.2xC01.3:10 | po 5 | 6=C01.4×1.25 | $7=C 01.6 \times 1.1983$ | 8 | $\bigcirc$ |
| CRUTCEER, FEED TANE, CUTMER. | 4 | 18.85\% | $0.75$ | 25\% | 0.94 | 1.13 | 2 |  |
| STAMPING | 2 | 24.43\% | 0.688 | 25\% | 0.86 | 1.03 | 1 |  |
| PORMAN |  |  |  | - |  | . | 1 | Cne Foreman <br> for whole <br> Washing Soap <br> line is <br> recommended. |



CAICULATION OF MANPOWER REQUIREMENT
COMMON FOR ATL PLARTS OF SOAP PROCESSING.
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W: Present \& Working
HW: Present \& not working i.e. idle
A: Not present at work station.
activimy samipline data sheit - 1
SNOW (IN TUBE) SECIION - FIIIING \&
pACKING PART (FRODUCTION STAFF)

| -ROUND | FILLING \& SELT CLEANING <br> (4) |  |  | FACKET READY AND STAMPING (2) |  |  | $\underset{(2)}{\text { INSERMING TUBE }}$ |  |  | PACKET CLOSE AND ARRANGE ( 4 ) |  |  | MAKING ONE DOZ. PACKET (2) |  |  | FINAL FACKING <br> (2) |  |  | HOPPER, TUBE FORMING, CARTOON PREPRRTION AND WASTE COLEETHON |  |  | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | w | Nw | A | W | NW | A | k' | Ni | A | \% | N4 | A. | W | NW | A | W! | NW | A | W | Nw' | A |  |
| 09.20 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | 2 |  |
| 09.25 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 2 |  |
| 09.30 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | 2 |  |
| 09.35 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | i | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 2 |  |
| 09.40 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 2 |  |
| 09.45 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | . 1 | - | 1. | - | 7 | - | - | 3 |  |
| 09.50 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 3 |  |
| 09.55 | 1 | 1 | 2 | १ | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 3 |  |
| 10.00 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 2 |  |
| 10.05 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 2 |  |
| 10.10 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | 7 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 10.15 | 1 | - | 3 | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 3 | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 10.20 | 1 | - | 3 | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 3 | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 2 |  |
| 10.25 | 1 | - | 3 | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 3 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 9 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 2 |  |
| 10.30 | 1 | - | 3 | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 3 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | - |  |
| 10.35 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 3 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 2 | 7 |  |
| 10.40 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 1. | - | 1 | 3 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 3 |  |
| 10.45 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 3 | - | 1 | 7 | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 3 |  |
| 10.50 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 7 | 3 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | $\cdots$ | 3 |  |
| 10.55 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 3 |  |
| 11.00 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | - | - | 1 | - - | 1 | - | - | 3 |  |

Date: 10.9.1991

| Rouid | FILLING \& EEUT CLEAKING <br> (4) |  |  | PACIET READY and ETamping (2) |  |  | INSERTINE TUEE <br> (2) |  |  | FACKET CLOSE AKD ARRG:GE (4) |  |  | NAKING SNE DOZ. Packet <br> (2) |  |  | FiNAL FACKINE (2) |  |  |  |  |  | FDunzK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | W | NK' | A | W | Nw | A. | W' | NW | A | Wi | NW | A | Wi | NW | A | W | Niv | A | ${ }^{\prime}$ | N | $\stackrel{\text { A }}{ }$ |  |
| 11.05 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 3 |  |
| 11.10 ${ }^{-1}$ | 7 | - | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 2 | 1 | - | 7 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 3 |  |
| 11.15 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | - | $\overline{2}$ | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | ? |  |
| 11.20 | 1 | - | 3 | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | i | - | $\overline{2}$ |  |
| 1 1. 25 | - | - | 4 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | - | 2 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 2 |  |
| 11.30 | - | - | 4 | - | - | 2 | - | - | c | - | 2 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 2 |  |
| 11.35 | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | c |  |
| 11.40 | 1. | - | 3 | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 2 |  |
| 17.45 | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | 2 | ? | - | 1 | 3 | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 2 |  |
| 11.50 | 2. | - | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 3 | - | 7 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 2 | $i$ | - | 2 |  |
| 11.55 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 3 | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 2 |  |
| 12.00 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 3 | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 2 |  |
| 12.05 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 2 |  |
| 12.10 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 3 |  |
| 12.15 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - | 7 | 7 | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | I | - | 7 | - | - | 3 |  |
| 12.20 | 1 | - | 5 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 2 | 1 | - | 7 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 12.25 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | ' | - | 1 | $\overline{2}$ | - | 2 | 1 | - | 7 | 7 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 12.30 | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 3 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | 1 | 7 | 1 |  |
| 12.35 | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 3 | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 2 |  |
| 12.40 | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 3 | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 2. | 7 | - | $\hat{\varepsilon}$ |  |
| TOTAL | 40 | 13 | 111 | 43 | 2 | 37 | 37 | 2 | 43 | 79 | 34 | 51 | 42 | 9 | 31 | 24 | 6 | 28 | 24 | 12 | 87 |  |
| \% | 24. |  |  | 52.4 |  |  |  |  |  | 48. |  |  | 51. |  |  | 29.4 |  |  | 19. |  |  |  |
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ACRIVITY SAMPLING.DATE SHEET - I
SNOW(IN BOTILE) SECTION - FILIINE \&
PACKING PART (PRCDUCTIOR STAFE)
Date: 17.9.9991.


Contd. Data Sheet - 2 .


APPENDIX - E

AOTIVITY SAMPLING DÃTA SURET - I
POWDER PLANT - FILIING AND
PACKING PART (PRODUCTION STAFF)
Date: 18.9.1991.


AOTVITV SAMPING DATA SZETM -II
TODER FINTI - FILIIN AD

Date: 18.9.1991.


ACTIVITV SAMPLING DETA SHEET - I
TECHNICAL SAEFF OF SNOW(TUBE), SNOM (BOTILE) AND PONDEF PLANT

Date: 21.9.1991.
Date: 22.9.1991.

| ROUND | SNO: (TUSE), TOOTS PASTE, ShEtVIN GREAN PLINT <br> (4) |  |  | ROUND | SNOW(IN BOTTLE) PLANT <br> (4) |  |  | RCOMD | POWDEAR PLANT (4) |  |  | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | W' | NW | A |  | W | NW' | A |  | W | N4: | A |  |
| 10.15 | 1 | - | 3 | 09.20 | 1 | - | 3 | 08.50 | 1 | - | 3 |  |
| 10.20 | 1 | - | 3 | 09.25 | 1 | - | 3 | 08.53 | 1 | - | 3 |  |
| 10.25 | 1 | - | 3 | 09.30 | 1 | - | 3 | 08.56 | 7 | - | 3 |  |
| 10.30 | 1 | - | 3 | 09.35 | 2 | - | 2 | 09.00 | 1 | - | 3 |  |
| 10.35 | 1 | - | 3 | Q9.40 | 2 | - | 2 | 09.03 | 1 | - | 3 |  |
| 10.40 | 2 | - | 2 | 09.45 | 1 | - | 3 | 09.05 | 2 | - | 2 |  |
| 10.45 | 2 | - | 2 | 09.50 | 1 | - | 3 | 09.10 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |
| 10.50 | 1 | - | 3 | 09.55 | - | 1 | 3 | 09.15 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |
| 10.55 | - | 1 | 3 | 10.00 | - | 1 | 3 | 09.20 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |
| 11.00 | - | 1 | 3 | 10.05 | - | 1 | 3 | 09.25 | - | 2 | 2 |  |
| 11.05 | - | 1 | 3 | 10.10 | 1 | - | 3 | 09.30 | - | 2 | 2 |  |
| 11.10 | - | 1 | 3 | 10.15 | 1 | - | 3 | 09.35 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |
| 11.15 | - | 1 | 3 | 10.20 | 1 | - | 3 | 09.40 | 1 | 1 | 12 |  |
| 11.20 | - | 1 | 3 | 10.25 | 1 | - | 3 | 09.45 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |
| 11.25 | - | - | 4 | 10.30 | 1 | - | 3 | 09.50 | 1 | - | 3 |  |
| 11.30 | - | - | 4 | 10.35 | - | 1 | 3 | 09.55 | 1 | - | 3 |  |
| 11.35 | 1 | - | 3 | 10.40 | 1 | - | 3 | 10.00 | 1 | - | 3 |  |
| 11.40 | - | - | 4 | 10.45 | 1 | - | 3 | 10.03 | 1 | - | 3 |  |
| 11.45 | - | 1 | 3 | 10.50 | - | 1 | 3 | 10.06 | 1 | - | 3 |  |
| 11.50 | - | - | 4 | 10.55 | 1 | - | 3 | 10.09 | 1 | - | 3 |  |
| 11.55 | - | 1 | 3 | 11.00 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 10.12 | 1 | - | 3 |  |
| 12.00 | - | - | 4 | 11.05 | - | 1 | 3 | 10.15 | 2 | - | 2 |  |
| 12.05. | - 1 | - | 3 | 11.10 | - | 1 | 3 | 10.18 | 2 | - | 2 |  |

ACTVITY SANFING DPMR EEEET－II

STO：（ 30 PTHE）AND PONEE FLMB
ここさヒ：21．9．1991．
Dãte：22．9．1991．

| 2000 | SNO：（TUSE），TOOT：ZASTE， semvia cejan pion <br> （4） |  |  | zoune | $\operatorname{sNON}(\mathrm{IN} \equiv \mathrm{OHTH})$, <br> PLitit <br> （4） |  |  | PGum | Powice pinit（4） |  |  | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\cdots$ | NiN | $\wedge$ |  | $\because$ | Niv | $\dot{\lambda}$ |  | H | N | A |  |
| 12.10 | 2 | － | 2 | 11.15 | － | 1 | 3 | 10.22 | 2 | － | 2 |  |
| 12.15 | 1 | － | 3 | 11.20 | － | － | 4 | 10.25 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |
| 12.20 | － | 1 | 3 | 17.25 | － | － | 4 | 10.28 | 1 | － | 3 |  |
| 12.25 | － | － | 4 | 11.30 | 1 | $?$ | 2 | 10.32 | 1 | － | 3 |  |
| 12.30 | $?$ | － | 3 | 11.35 | $z$ | － | 2 | 10.35 | 1 | － | 3 |  |
| 12.35 | － | － | 4 | 11.40 | 2 | － | 2 | 10.38 | 1 | － | 3 |  |
| 12.40 | － | － | 4 | 11.45 | － | － | 4 | 10.42 | 2 | － | 2 |  |
| 12.45 | 1 | － | 3 | 11.50 | － | － | 4 | 10.45 | 2 | － | 2 |  |
| 12.50 | 2 | － | 2 | 11.55 | 1 | － | 3 | 10.48 | 1 | － | 3 |  |
| 12．55 | － | － | 4 | 12.00 | 2 | － | 2 | 10.52 | － | 1 | 3 |  |
| 13.00 | 1 | － | 3 | 12.05 | 2 | － | 2 | 10.55 | － | 1 | 3 |  |
| 13.05 | 1 | － | 3 | 12.10 | 1 | － | 3 | 10.58 | 1 | － | 3 |  |
| 13.10 | 1 | － | 3 | 12.15 | 1 | － | 3 | 11.02 | 1 | － | 3 |  |
| 13.15 | 1 | － | 3 | \％2．20 | － | 1 | 3 | 11.05 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |
| 13.20 | 1 | － | 3 | 12.25 | － | 1 | 3 | 11.08 | － | 1 | 3 |  |
| 13． 35 | 2 | － | 2 | 12.30 | － | 1 | 3 | 11.12 | － | 1 | 3 | ， |
| 13.30 | － | 1 | 3 | 12.35 | 1 | － | 3 | 11.15 | － | 1 | 3 |  |
| 13.35 | － | 1 | 3 | 12.40 | $1:$ | － | 3 | 11.18 | － | 1 | 3 |  |
| Total | 26 | 11 | 127 |  | 32 | 13 | 119 |  | 39 | 17 | 108 |  |
| \％ | 15．85\％ | $6.7 \%$ | $77.44 \%$ |  | 19．51\％ | 7．93\％ | 72．55\％ |  | 23．78\％ | 10．37\％ | 65．85\％ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | i |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TRANSPORTATION \& WIASTE CARTOON DISPOSAL OF PIANT SNOW (IN TUBE), SNOW' (IN BOTTLE) AND POWDER
Date: 23.9.1991.
Date:24.9.1991.
Date:25.9.1991.

: Present \& not Working i.e.idie A: Not present at work station.

ACTIVITY SAMPIING DATA SHEEET-1 P1/P2,PLANT BLUE BAR SOAP.
DATE: 06.8.1991.

| ROUNT? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CRUTCEER,FEED } \\ & \text { TANK, CUTTER } \\ & \text { (Tech.Staff) (4) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FEEDING/ } \\ & \text { INADING DKYER } \end{aligned}$(2) |  |  | PIIIING BOXES/UNLOADING DRYER(9) |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PACKAGING } \\ & (10) \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAKING \& DETET: } \\ & \text { VERY OF RMPMY } \\ & \text { CARTOONS (2) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | RGMARMS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | W | NW | A | W | NW | A | W | NW | A | W | NW | A | W | NH | A |  |  |  |
| 1100 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 8 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 1 | - | 1 |  |  |  |
| 1103\| | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 7 | - | 3. | 7 | 1 | - | 1 |  |  |  |
| 1105 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 7 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 1 | - | 1 |  |  |  |
| \|1108 | -1. | - | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 8 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 1 | - | 1 |  |  |  |
| \|1119| | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 8 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 1 | - | 1 |  |  |  |
| 11115 | 2 | - | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 8 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 1 | - | 1 |  |  |  |
| 1118 | - | - | 4 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 8 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 1 | - | 1 |  |  |  |
| 1121 | 2 | - | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 8 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 1 | - | 1 |  |  |  |
| 1125: | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - | 4 | - | - | 9 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 1 | - | 1 |  |  |  |
| 1130: | - | 1 | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 8 | 1 | - | 9 | 1 | - | 1 |  |  |  |
| 1193: | - | 1 | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 8 | 1 | - | 9 | 1 | - | 1 |  |  |  |
| 1137 | - | 1 | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 7 | 1 | - | 9 | 1 | - | 1 |  |  |  |
| 11141 | - | 1 | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 7 | 1 | - | 9 | 1 | - | 1 |  |  |  |
| 1145: | - | 1 | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 8 | 1 | - | 9 | 1 | - | 1 |  | ! |  |
| 1948 i | - | 1 | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 7 | 1 | - | 9 | 1 | - | 1 |  | ! |  |
| 1152! | - | - | 4 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 7 | 1 | - | 9 | 1 | - | 1 |  | I |  |
| 1156! | - | - | 4 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | $1-$ | 7 | 1 | - | 9 | 1 | - | 1 |  | i |  |
| 1200 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | -- | 1 | 2 | - | 7 | 1 | - | 9 | 1 | - | 1 |  | - |  |
| 1206 | - | - | 4 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 7 | 1 | - | 9 | 1 | - | 1 |  |  |  |
| 1209 | - | 1 | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 7 | 1 | - | 9 | - | - | 2 |  |  |  |
| 1212 | - | - | 4 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | - . | 17 | 1 | - | 9 | - | - | 2 |  |  |  |
| 12151 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 1- | 7 | 1 | - | 9 | - | - | 2 |  |  |  |
| Temat | 12 | 7 | 69 | 22 | - | 22 | 35 | - | 1165 | 241 | 13 | 1861 | ! 19 | - | 25 | ; |  |  |

NB: HLSTE COLLECTICN INCLUDED IN F4 STUDY SEEET.
Contd. Sheet- $\dot{2}$.

## ACMIVTY SAMFIING DATAA SESET- 2

## A:Not present in plant \& not working. DATE: 07.8 .1991.

| ROUND | $\underset{\&}{\text { CRDTCERER }}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FEEDING/ } \\ & \text { IOADING DRYER } \end{aligned}$(2) |  |  | FTIIING BOXESFROM DRYER(9) |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PaCEAGING } \\ & (10) \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAKING \& DEVE- } \\ & \text { VERY OF EMPTY } \\ & \text { CARTOONS (2) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | REMARKS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | W | NW | $\Delta$ | W | NW | A | W | NW | A | W | NW | A | W | NW | A |  |  |  |
| 1050 | 2 | - | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7. | 1 | 1 | 8 | 1 | - | - |  |  |  |
| 1055 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 9 | - | 3 | 7 | 1 | - | - |  |  |  |
| 1100 | - | 1 | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 7 | 1 | 1 | 8 | - | - | 2 |  |  |  |
| 1105 | - | - | 4 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 7 | 1 | 1 | 8 | - | - | 2 |  |  |  |
| 1108 | 1 | - | 3 | 1. | - | 1 | 1 | - | 8 | 1 | 2 | 7 | - | - | 2 |  |  |  |
| \$111 | - | - | 4 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 8 | 1 | 2 | 7 | ! - | - | 2 |  |  |  |
| 1114 | - | - | 4 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 8 | 1 | 1 | 8 | - | - | 2 |  |  |  |
| 1117 | 1 | - | 5 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 8 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 1 | - | 1 |  |  |  |
| 1120 | 2 | - | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 8 | 1 | 1 | -8 | 1 | - | 1 |  |  |  |
| 123 | - | - | 4 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 7 | 1 | - | 9 | 1 | - | 1 |  |  |  |
| 1126 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 7 | 1 | - | 9 | 1 | - | 1 |  |  |  |
| 1129 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 8 | 2 | - | 8 | 1 | - | 1 |  |  |  |
| 1132 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - 8 | 1 | - | 9 | 1 | - | 1 | , | , |  |
| 1135 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 7 | 1 | - | 9 | 1 | - | 1 |  |  |  |
| 1138 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 7 | 1 | - | 9 | 1 | - | 1 |  |  |  |
| 11141 | 2 | - | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 7 | 1 | - | 9 | 1 | - | 1 |  |  |  |
| 11944 | - | 1 | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 7 | 1 | - | 9 | 1 | - | 1 |  |  |  |
| 1147 | - | 1 | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 7 | 1 | - | 9 | 1 | - | 1 |  |  |  |
| 1150: | - | 1 | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | $=$ | 8 | 1 | - | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | - | 1 | , |  |  |
| 1153 | - | 1 | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 7 | 1 | - | 9 | 1 | - | 1 | ! |  |  |
| 1157 | - | 1 | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 7 | 1 | - | 9 | 1 | - | 2 | - |  |  |
| 1200 | - | 1 | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 17 | 1 | - | 9 | 1 | - | 2 | \| |  |  |
| TOTAT | 14 | 7 | 67 | 22 | - | 22 | 33. | , | 1164 | 22 | 13 | 1185 | 120 | - | 24 |  |  |  |


| ROUNIE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CRUTCEER \& } \\ & \text { FEED TMANI (Tech }) \\ & \text { (2) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | TEADING/ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FILIING BOXESA } \\ & \text { UNLOADING FROM } \\ & \text { DRYER(STAMP)(9) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { PACKAGING } \\ (10) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\mid$ MAKING \& DELE- <br> VERY OF EMPTIT <br> CARTOONS (2)$\|$ |  |  | PEMARES |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | H | NW | A | W | NW | A | W | NW | A | W | NW | A | W | NW | A |  |  |
| 9040 | - | 1 | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 7 | 1 | - | 9 | - | - | 2 |  |  |
| 1043 | - | 1 | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 7 | 1 | - | 9 | - | - | 2 |  |  |
| 4046 | - | 1 | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 8 | 1 | - | 9 | - | - | 2 |  |  |
| 1049 | - | 1 | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 1. | - | 8 | 1 | - | 9 | - | - | 2 |  |  |
| 1055 | - | 1 | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 8 | 1 | - | 9 | - | - | 2 |  |  |
| 1058 | - | 1 | 3 | 1. | - | 1 | 1 | - | 8 | 1 | - | 9 | 4 | - | 1 |  |  |
| 1902 | 2 | - | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 8 | 1 | - | 9 | 1 | - | 1 |  |  |
| 11106 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 7 | 1 | - | 9 | 1 | - | 1 |  |  |
| 91111 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 7 | 1 | - | 9 | 1 | - | 1 |  |  |
| 1119 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 4 | - | 8 | 1 | - | 9 | 1 | - | 1 |  |  |
| 1118 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 8 | 2 | - | 8 | 1 | - | 1 |  |  |
| 1122 i | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 2. | - | 7 | 1 | - | 9 | 1 | - | 1 |  |  |
| \|1125 | - | - | 4 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 7 | 1 | - | 9 | 1 | - | 1 | , |  |
| 1128 | 2 | - | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 7 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 1 | - | 1 |  |  |
| 1131 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 7 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 1 | - | 1 | - |  |
| 1135 | - | - | 4 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 7 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 1 | - | 1 |  |  |
| 0139 | - | - | 4 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 8 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 1 | - | 1 | ! |  |
| 11145 | 1 | - | 3 | 1. | - | 1 | 2 | - | $\therefore 7$ | 1 | 2 | 7 | 2 | - | - | ! |  |
| 1148 | - | - | 4 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 7 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 1 | - | 1 |  |  |
| 1151 | - | 1 | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 7 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 2 | - | - |  |  |
| 1154 | 3 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 6 | - | 3 | 7 | 2 | - | - | , |  |
| 11157 | 2 | - | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 9 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 2 | - | - |  |  |
| momet | 16 | 7 | 65 | 22 | - | 22 | 33. | 2 | 1163 | 23 | 13 | 184 | 21 | - | 23 | I |  |
| G.TOL | . 42 | 21 | 201 | 66 | - | 66 | 99 | 3 | 1492 | 65 | 39 | 555 | 50 | - | 72 |  |  |
| \% | 16\% | 8\% | 76\% | 50\% | 1- | 50\% | \% 15. | 67\% | 1 | 10\% | 5.9\% | 84 | 45 | 艮 | 4.5 |  |  |



Contd. Sheet-2.


Contd. Sheet-3

TOILET
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| PIME | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CRUCEER, FEED } \\ & \text { TANK \& CUTTING } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RECEIVING FROMT } \\ & \text { THE DRTER \& } \\ & \text { STAMFING }+2(00) \end{aligned}$ |  |  | WRAPPING |  |  | FULIING |  |  | ThPING |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPTON } \\ & \text { PRATARATION } \\ & \text { SUPPIT } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TRANE \& } \\ & \text { DUMYAGE } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | W | NW | 1 | W | NW | 1 | W | NW | A | W | NW | ${ }^{1}$ | W | NW | A | W | NW | A | W | NW | A |
| 1040 | 2 | - | 4 | - | 2 | 4 | - | 2 | 8 | - | 2 | 2 | - | 2 | 2 | - | 1 | 3 | - | - | 4 |
| 1055 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 4 | - | 3 | 7 | - | 1 | 3 | - | 1. | 3 | - | 1 | 3 | - | - | 4 |
| 9105 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 | - | 3 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | 4 |
| 1119 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | $\cdots$ | 1 | $2^{-}$ | --4 | - | 3 | 2 | - | 2 |
| 1117 | 2 | - | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | 4 |
| 4125 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 . | 5 | 1 | 4 | - | 1 | 3 | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | 4 | 2 | - | 2 |
| 4131 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | - | 4 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | 4 | - | - | 4 |
| 1139 | 3 | - | 3 | 3 | - | 5 | 5 | 1 | 4 | - | - | 3 | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | 4 | - | - | 4 |
| 1207 | 3 | - | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 4 | - | - | 3 | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | 4 | - | - | 4 |
| 1213 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | - | 4 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | 4 | 2-1 | - | 2 |
| 4219 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 | - | 3 | 5 | 2 | 3 | - | 1 | 3 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | 4 |
| 4225 | 3 | - | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 4 | - | 1 | 3 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | 4 |
| 4230 | 3 | - | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | 4 |
| 1235 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - | 3 | 2 | - | 2 |
| 4240 | 2 | - | 4 | 2 | - | 4 | 4 | 2 | 3 | - | - | 4 | - | - | 4 | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | 4 |
| Total | 35 |  |  | 33 |  |  | 64 |  |  | 7 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 8 |  |  |
| G. Tota | 1105 |  |  | 126 |  |  | 218 |  |  | 31 |  |  | 44 |  |  | 32 |  |  | 34 |  |  |
| \% | 36\% |  |  | 43\% |  |  | 36\% |  |  | 16\% |  |  | $22 \%$ |  |  | 20\% |  |  | 18\% |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

NB: WASTE COITECTION INCIUDED IN P4 STUDY SHEET.


Contd. Sheet-2.

W: Present \& Working Nin: Present but not Working A: Rot Present at Work Station.


Contd. Sheet-3
: Present \& Workins
WW: Present \& not working i.e.idle
N : Pres Present at Work station.

ACTIVITY SAMFIING DATA SEEMT-3 570-WASKING SOAP PRODUCTION ITNE

DATE: 26.8.1991.

rỉ: Cutter is cutiing 2 bars in a row.
Cbseaved it. cutting speed: 244 pieces per min i.e. 120 pains per min.

DATE:27.8.1991.
Date:28.8.199?
Date: 29.8.1991.


ACTIVITY SAMPLING DATA SHEET - I.
FILLING NACAINE OE SNUW: (TUBE) SECTION
Date: June 18, 1991.

| ROUND | . Mr. Nur Islam, Operator |  |  | Mr. Shafi Ullah, Gperator |  |  | Femarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | W | Ni* | 4 | Wi | Ni: | A |  |
| 08.30 | 1 | - | - | $/$ | - | A |  |
| 08.40 | 1 | - | - | / | - |  |  |
| 08.50 | 1 | - | - | - | / | - |  |
| 09.00 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - |  |
| 09.10 | 1 | - | - | - | $/$ | - |  |
| 00.20 | - | $/$ | - | - | - | / |  |
| 09.30 | - | 1 | - | - | - | $/$ |  |
| 09.40 | 1 | - | - | - | - | $/$ |  |
| 09.50 | 1 | $\rightarrow$ | - | - | - | $/$ |  |
| 10.00 | 1 | - | - | - | - | $/$ |  |
| 10.10 | 1 | - | - | - | - | $/$ |  |
| 10.20 | 1 | - | - | - | / | - |  |
| 10.30 | - | $\%$ | - | 1 | - | - |  |
| 10.40 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - |  |
| 10.50 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - |  |
| 11.00 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - |  |
| 11.10 | / | - | - | - | $/$ | - |  |
| 11.20 | 1 | - | - | - | - | / |  |
| 11.30 | $/$ | - | - | - | - | 7 |  |
| 11.40 | -'. | / | - | - | - | / |  |
| 11.50 | - | 1 | - | - | - | / |  |
| 12.00 | $/$ | - | - | $\sim$ | - | 1 | . |
| 12.10 | $/$ | - | - | - | - | $/$ |  |
| 12.20 | 1 | - | - | - | - | / |  |
| Total | 19 | 5 | - | 3 | 8 | 13 |  |

ACTIVITY SAMDLING DATA SHEET - II
FILIING MACEINE OF SNO: (TUBE) SECTION
Date: June 19, 1091.

| ROUND. | Mr. Nur Mohammad, Operator |  |  | Mr. Sabu Miah, Operator |  |  | Kemarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | w | Nwi | A | W | NW | A |  |
| 09.20 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - |  |
| 09.30 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - |  |
| 09.40 | 1 | - | - | - | $\%$ | - |  |
| 09.50 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - |  |
| 10.00 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - |  |
| 10.10 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - |  |
| 10.20 | 1 | - | - | - | - | / |  |
| 10.30 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 |  |
| 10.40 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 |  |
| 10.50 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 |  |
| 11.00 | $!$ | - | - | - | - | 1 |  |
| 11.10 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 |  |
| 11.20 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 |  |
| 11.30 | - | - | 1 | - | - | $/$ |  |
| 11.40 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 |  |
| 11.50 | 1 | - | - | $/$ | - | - |  |
| 12.00 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - |  |
| 12.10 | 1 | - | - | - | - | $/$ |  |
| 12.20 | 1 | - | - | - | - | $/$ |  |
| 12.30 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 7 | . |
| 12.40 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 7 |  |
| 12.50 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 |  |
| 13.00 | 1 | - | - | - | - | $/$ |  |
| Total | 22 | - | 7 | 1 | 7 | 15 |  |

APPENDIX - $F$
ACTIVITY SAKPĖING DSTA SHEET - III
FILLING MACHINE OF SNOW (TUBE) SECTION
Date: June 20, 1991.


## DETERMINATION OF MANPOWRR BY TIME STUDY OF COSMETIC PROCESSING PLANT

To determine the manpower requirement by time study, output rate of plant Snow (in tube), Snow (in bottle) and Powder (single and double container filling machine) were fixed. First of all, recorded a complete decription of the method and then breaking down the operation into "elements" - i.e. various operation stages/work stations. Examined the detailed breakdown of the operation to ensure that the most effective method and motions were used.

In different operation stages/work stations line balancing method were followed in determination of manpower requirement which is reasonable with output rate.

Let us take production of plant Snow (in tube) for example. Output rate of different operation stages or work station of this plant is given below:

| SI. No. | Operation stage/ work station | Output | Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Filling and Belt cleaning | 46.pcs. | 1 Min. |
| 2. | Inserting tube | 38 pcs | 1 SMS * |
| 3. | Packet ready and stamping | 24 pcs | 1 SMS |
| 4. | Packe't closing and arranging | 23 pcs | 1 SMS |
| 5. | Making l dozen Packet | 1 Cartoon (72 pcs) | 0.505 |
| 6. | Final Packing | - 1 Cartoon | 0.746 SMS |
| 7. | Tube forming, Cartoon preparation, etc. | 40 pcs | 1 SMS |

[^0]According to above discussed operation the ratio of manpower of these two work stations i.e. Snow (in tube) filling and inserting tube is determined uniformly with their production rate.

Snow (in tube) filling : Inserting tube

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
=-\frac{46}{46} & : & -\frac{46}{38} \\
=1 & : & 1.21 \\
=1 & : & 1.25
\end{array}
$$

Or, we can say that inserting time of that amount of snow (in tube) produced from filling machine in a given time to inserting tube will be 1.25 times. For equalization of two stages, manpower ratio should be fixed at the same rate.

If one technical operator for snow (in tube) filling operation is necessary than two workers for inserting tube operation is required. (As the ratio of worker is 1.25 , excessive relaxation allowances will be available for the inserting tube worker excluding relaxation allowances).

Similarly the rate of manpower should be : Two workers for packet ready and stamping, two workers for packet closing and arranging, one worker for making one dozen packet, one worker for final packing work station. Besides one operator will be required to operate cartoon supply, cartoon preparation, waste cartoon disposal and other work station.

Requirement of manpower for production of snow (in tube) plant could be determined as follows:
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| Sl.No. | Operations | Output | Standard time | Manpower Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Filling and Belt cleaning | 46 pcs | 1 min | 1 Technical operator |
| 2. | Inserting tube | 38 pcs | 1 SMS | 2 workers |
| 3. | Packet ready and stamping | 24 pcs | 1 SMS | 2 workers |
| 4. | Packet closing and arranging. | 23 pcs | 1 SMS | 2 workers |
| 5. | Making 1 dozen packet | 1 cartoon | 0.505 SMS | 1 Worker |
| 6. | Final Packing | 1 cartoon | 0.746 SMS | S worker |
| 7. | Tube forming, cartoon preparation, etc. | 40 pcs | 1 SMS | 1 worker |

In the same way requirement of manpower for production of snow (in bottle) and Powder plant could be determined which are given as follows:

CALCULATION OF MANPOWER REQUIREMENT ON THE BASIS OF TIME STUDY THROUGH PRODUCTION LINE BALANCING

Production line : Snow ( in tube )
Output rate : : 19,682 Pcs/shift.
(Output rate is calculated considering $5 \%$ average down time for Electrical and Mechanical failure and $7 / 2 \mathrm{Hrs}$. working time per shift).

| Sl. No. | Operation | Output | *Standard time | Manpower Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Filling and Belt cleaning | 46 Pcs. | 1 Min. | 1 Tech. Operator |
| 2. | Inserting tube | 38 Pcs. | 1 SMS | 2 Workers |
| 3. | Packet ready and stamping | 24 Pcs. | 1 SMS | 2 Workers |
| 4. | Packet closing and arranging | 23 Pcs. | 1 SMS | 2 Workers |
|  | Making 1 doz. Packet | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { Cartoon } \\ & (72 \text { Pcs. }) \end{aligned}$ | 0.505 SMS | 1 Worker |
| 6. | Final Packing | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { Cartoon } \\ & (72 \text { Pcs. }) \end{aligned}$ | ) 0.746 SMS | 1 Worker |
| 7. | Tube forming, Cartoon preparation, etc. | $40 \mathrm{Pcs}$. | 1 SMS | 1 Worker |

CALCULATION OF MANPOWER REQUIREMENT ON THE BASIS OF TIME STUDY THROUGH PRODUCTION LINE BALANCING

| Production Line | : Snow ( in bottle ) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Output Rate | : 16,614 Pcs. per shift. |

( Output rate is calculated considering 5\% average down time for Electrical and Mechanical failure and $7 / \%$ Hrs. working time per shift)
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CALCULATION OF MANPOWER REQUIREMENT ON THE BASIS OF TIME STUDY THROUGH PRODUCTION LINE BALANCING

Production Line : Powder
Output Rate : 19,170 Pcs. Per shift.
( Output rate is calculated considering 5\% average down time for Electrical and Mechanical failure and $7 / 2 \mathrm{Hrs}$. working time per shift).

| Sl.No. | Operation | Output | Standard time | Manpower Balance. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Filling (single and double container filling Machine) | 29 Pcs. 48 Pcs. | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \mathrm{~min} . \\ & 1 \mathrm{~min} . \end{aligned}$ | 1 Tech.Operator <br> 1 Tech. Operator |
| 2. | Weighing and Inspection | 36 Pcs. | 1 SMS | 1 Worker. |
| 3. | Cap Sealing | 31 Pcs. | 1. SMS | 1 Worker. |
| 4. | Cleaning containe | 33 Pcs. | 1 SMS | 1 Worker. |
| 5. | Cartoon ready and Stamping | 38 Pcs. | 1 SMS | 1 Worker |
| 6. | Placing inside the Cartoon | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { Cartoon } \\ & (72 \text { Pcs. }) \end{aligned}$ | 1.54 SMS | 2 Workers: |
| 7. | Cartoon Covering, tapping and placing | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { Cartoon } \\ & (72 \text { Pcs. }) \end{aligned}$ | 0.733 SMS | 1 Worker. |

* For petty, extra 2(two) workers will be required for nail filling and wire binding.


## dETERMINATION OF MANPOWBR BY TIME STUDY OF SOAP PROCESSING PLANT

To determine the manpower requirement by time study, output rate of $P_{1} / P_{2}, P_{3} \& P_{4}$ lines were fixed. In different operation stage/work station line belancing method was followed in determination of manpower requirement which is reasonable with output rate.

Let us take $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ line for example.
Output rate of different operation stage or work station of this line is given below:

| Sl.No. | Operation State/ Work Station | Output | Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | T.V. Cutter | 250 pes | 1 min . |
| 2. | Feeding to Dry <br> Chamber (Manual Operation) | 200 pcs | 1 SMS |
| 3. | Receiving from Dry Chamber <br> (Manual Operation) | 125 pcs | 1 SMS |
| 4. | Stamping | 200 pcs | l min. |
| 5. | Soap filling | 100 pcs | 1 SMS |
| 6. | Patty Supply | $\begin{gathered} 1 \text { Patty } \\ (180 \text { pcs }) \end{gathered}$ | 0.625 SMS |
| 7. | Nail fitting | 1 Patty | 0.625 SMS |
| 8. | Wire binding | 1 Patty | 0.78 SMS |

According to above discussed operation, the ratio of manpower of these two work station i.e. T.V. Cutter and Dry Chamber is determined uniformly with their production rate.

| T.V.Cutter | $:$ | Feeding to dry chamber |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $=$ | $:-\frac{250}{250}$ |  |
| $=$ | 1.25 |  |

Or, we can say that feeding time of that amount of soap produced from $T . V$. Cutter in a given time to dry chamber, will be 1.25 times. For equalization of two stages, manpower ratio should be fixed at the same rate. If 1 technical operator for T.V. Cutter operation is necessary then 2 worker for feeding to dry chamber operation is required. (As the ratio of worker is L.25, excessive relaxation will be available for the feeder worker excluding relaxation allowances).

Similarly the rate of manpower should be : 2 worker, lechnical operator and 2 worker respectively in receiving from drier, stamping and soap filling work station. Besides loperator will be required to operate patty supply, nail fitting, wire binding and other work station.

Requirement of manpower for $P_{4}$ line could be determined as follows:

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sl. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Operations | Output | Standard Time | Manpower Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | T.V.Cutter | 250 Pcs. | 1 min . | 1 Tech.Operator |
| 2. | Feeding to Dry Chamber | 200 Pcs. | 1 SMS | 2 Workers |
| 3. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Receiving from } \\ & \text { Drior } \end{aligned}$ | 125 Fcs. | 1 SMS | 2 Workers |
| 4. | Stamping | 200 Pcs. | 1 min . | 1 Tech.Operator |
| 5. | Soap Filling | 100 Pcs. | 1 SMS | 2 Workers |
| 6. | Petty Sqpply | 1 Petty | 0.025 SMS | 1 Worker |
| 7. | Nail fitting | 1 Petty | 0.625 SMS | 1 Worker |
| 8. | Wire Binding | 11 Petty | 0.78 SMS | 1 Worker |

Reguirement of manpower for $\mathrm{P} 1 / \mathrm{P} 2$ \& P 3 lines could be detemined as follows :

CAICULATION OF MANPOWER REQUIREMENT
ON THE BASIS OF TIME STUDY THROUGH PRODUCTION LINE BALANCING.
Production Line : P4
Output Rate : 85500 Pcs/Shift
(Output rate is calculated considering
5\% average down time for Electrical \&
Mech. failure and $7 / 2$ hrs.working time per shift.)

| - Operations | Output. | Standard time | Manpower balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T.V.Cutter | 50 Pcs. | 1 min. | 1 Tech.operator |
| Feeding to Dry Chamber | 200 Pcs. | 1 SMS | 2 |
| Receiving from Drier | 125 Pcs. | 1 SMS | 2 |
| Stamping | 200 Pcs. | $\uparrow$ min. | 1 Tech.Operator |
| Soap <br> filling | 100 Pcs. | 1 SMS | 2 |
| Petty Supply | 1 Petty (180 Pcs) | 0.625 SMS | 1 |
| Nail <br> fitting | 1 Petty (180 Pcs) | 0.625 SMS | 1 |
| Wire Binding | 1 Petty <br> (180 Pcs) | 0.78 SMS | $\uparrow$ |

*Standard Time $=\frac{\text { Observed Time } x \text { Observed Rating }}{\text { Standard Rating }}+\%$ Relayation

In calculating the standard time, in this study the Standard Rating is considered to be 100 which means, the operators work briskly/business like performance, all through over the working hour.

However, it may be mantioned here that in recommending the requirement of actual No. of Manpower, the activity sampling results were considered and the workers are supposed to work at a steady rate and unhurried performance with time not being intentionally wasted.
(SMS a Standard Minutes)

CALCULATION OF MANPOWER REQUIREMENT ON THE BASIS OF TIME STUDY THROUGH PRODUCTION LINE BALANCING.

Production Line : P3
Output Rate : 42750 Pcs/Shift (Output rate is calculated considering $5 \%$ average down time for Electrical \& Mech. failure and $7 / 2 \mathrm{hra}$.working time per shift.)


CAICULATION OF MANPOWER REQUIREMENT ON THE BASIS OF TIME STUDY THROUGH PRODUCTION LINE BALANCING.

Prdduction Line : P1/P2
Ouput Rate : 42750 Pcs/Shift
(Output rate is calculated considering $5 \%$ average down time for Electrical \& Méch. failure and $7 / 2$ hrs.working time per shift.)

| Operation | Output | Stendard time |  | Manpower balance. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T.V. Cutter | 100 Pcs. | 1 min . |  | Tech.Operator |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Feeding to } \\ & \text { drier } \end{aligned}$ | 80 Pcs. | 1 SMS |  | Worker |
| Receiving from drier \& Cartoon filling. | 57 Pcs. | 1 BMS |  | Worker |
| ```Cartoon Supply (making & polythene filling)``` | 1 Cartoon | 1.50 SMS |  | Worker |
| Taping | 1 Cartoon | 0.625 SMS |  | Worker |

For Petty, extra 2 Worker will be required for nail filling and wire bjnding.
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SNOW ( IN TUBE )
CAlCULATION OF LXPEGPRD OU'lPJ' (SINGLE LINE) .

| WORK S'TATION | STANDARD 'TIME. <br> MINUTE: | NO. OF WORKERS Ali,OCATED | EXPECTED OUTPU2 <br> FOR FULL 8 <br> HOURS OPERATION (GROSS) | OBSERVED <br> FILLING AND SEALING MACHIN capacity. (GROSS) | REMARK: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PUTMTNG THE TUBE <br> - INSIDE THE PACKET. | . 044 | 2 | 151 |  |  |
| COVER ANOTHER SIDE and Plactig . | . 062 | 3 | 161 |  |  |
| PACKET MAKING READY AND COVER ONF SIDE. | . 0.55 | $?$ | 122 |  |  |
| PLACI NG TUBES (12) ON THE WRAPPING PAPER. WRAPPING AND PUTTIING s'rickers placing A SIDE. | $\begin{gathered} .124+ \\ .381 \\ =0.505 \end{gathered}$ | 2. | 158 | 154 |  |
| PLACING CARTOON AND PUTPIING 6 DOZ. INTO) CAR'POON. <br> COVERING <br> TAMEING <br> placing thie carivoon AT TOSLILIN. | $\begin{gathered} .113 \\ + \\ .236 \\ + \\ .746 \\ + \\ .113 \\ =1.508 \end{gathered}$ | 1 . | 159 | . | \% |

## SNOW ( IN BOMTLE:

CALCULATIUN OF LXPLCHED OURPUP: (EINGLEE LINE)

| ORK STMPION. | STANDAET TIME. MINUTE | NOR. OF WOKKER: <br> abakag'td | ExHECTED OUTPUI FOR FULI 8 HOURS OPERATION. (GROSS) | OBSERVED <br> FTLI,LIG <br> machine <br> capacidy | RLMAAKKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AKE 12 PACKETS AND LACING <br> AKING ONLi COZ. Pf(KEEI RAPPING AND TAPFING | $\begin{gathered} .294 \\ + \\ .623 \\ =0.917 \end{gathered}$ | 3 | 130 , |  |  |
| ACKING OF 6 DOZ. ARTOON AND PIACING | $\begin{aligned} & .142+.4142+ \\ & .293+.693+ \\ & .142=1.712 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 140 | 154 | two Cap fitting machine capacity $=167$ Gross. |
| EVLILLING | . 218 | 8 | 122 |  |  |
| INGILE' PaCKl:'T AKING | . 217 | 8 | 121 |  |  |
| EVELLING TOP PAND lacing top cover. | $0.11 / 5$ | 5 | 115 |  |  |

## PO V D E R. <br> CALCULATION OF EXPECPED OUTPUP (DOUBLE FYILING).

| WORK S'IAPION. | STANDARI) <br> TTME . <br> MINUTE | NO. OF WORKE:RS AJLCCA'TED | EXPECTED OUPPU'T FOR FULL, 8 HOURS OPERATION (GROSS) | OBSERV ED <br> Fli,ling machink CAPACITY. <br> (GROSS) | REMAKK: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAP SEALING | . 04.4 | 2 | 151 | - |  |
| WEIGHING ... | .054 | 2 | 126 |  |  |
| CLIEANITMG | . 044 | 2 | 151 | 160/96 | : |
| PACKING | 3.099 | 2 | 154 |  |  |
| CONTAINER S'IAMPING. | . 026 | - 1 | 128. | . |  |
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CALCULATOR OF EXPBCPMT OUTPUT FOR FULL
8 HOURS OPERMTIOTU.

Some examples of calculation are given below :-

1) For Snow (in tube) production process, the work station of 'Putting the tube inside the packet' the expected output for full 8 hours operation is

$$
=\frac{\text { No of rices x }}{\text { Stindured time }}(\text { mim ute }) \quad \text { no. of person allocated. }
$$

$$
=\frac{1}{0.044} \times 144^{2} \times 2 \ldots \quad \text { Gross }
$$

$$
=151 \text { Gross }
$$

ii) For Snow ( in bottle ) Production process, calculation of 'Single packet making ' is

$$
=\frac{1 \times 60 \times 8 \times 8}{0.217 \times 14.4} \quad \text { Gross }
$$

$$
=121 \text { Gross }
$$

iii) For Powder production process, calculation of - final packing ' is

$$
=\frac{72 \times 60 \times 8 \times 2}{} \quad 3.099 \times 144
$$

$=.154$ Gross
And so on

SNOKi (IN 'tube ) - FILLIAG \& PACRING PAR'T
Standard pime calculantion.

| DESCRIPTION | BASIC 'TIME.' | RET, \& MATION ALI (mances | SI'ANDARD <br> I'TME. <br> MLNUTE | TOTAL <br> STANDARD <br> TIME. <br> MINUTE | RECOMMENDH |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MINOTE |  |  |  | CYCLE TIME. <br> MLNU'TE | REMARK: |
| PUTITNG THE TUBE inside the packer. | . 0344. | 28\% | . 014 , | $\therefore$ |  |  |
| COVER ANOTHER SIDE A.ND PLACING . | . 0487 | 28\% | . 062 |  | ' |  |
| PACKET MAKE READY AND COVER ONE SIDE. | . 0426 | 28\% | . 055 |  |  |  |
| PLACING TUBES (12) on the whappting paptr | . 0967 , | $28 \%$ | . 124 | . | - |  |
| wRapping and pulyting STICKERS - PLACING A Slde. | . 298 | 28\% | . 381 |  |  | ! |
| PLACING CARTUON AND PUTTING 6 DOZ. INIO THE CAPTOONS. | . 323 | 28\% | . 413 | 2.975 | 2.975 | ! |
| COVERING | . 184 | 28\% | . 236 |  |  |  |
| TAPPING | .583 | 28\% | . 746 |  |  |  |
| Placing 'THE CARTOON AT PUSITION. | . 088 | 28\% | . 113 |  |  |  |
| COROGATED CARTUON MAKE RFADY (ONE SIDE) | . 258 | 26\% | . 325 |  |  | - |
| HOLIDING AND TAPPING ( ONE SIDE ) | . 378 | 26\% | . 476 |  |  |  |

## S'P:NOHMD TTME CRLCUYATION.

STANDARD TIME CALCULARTON.

| DLECRRIPTIUN OF WORK S'ATTIONS. | basic IIME . MINUTE | REAAXATION Aliomance. | $\left[\begin{array}{l} \text { TSTATIDARID } \\ \text { MIME: } \\ \text { MINANE } \end{array}\right.$ | 'IOT'AL STANDARD TIME. MINURE | RECOMMENDEX CYCI.E TIME. | REMARIL: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IEVFI, TOP SIDF: OF PLACING IUP | - 115 | $26 \%$ | . 145 |  |  |  |
| LEVELING TME BOTTLE | . 173 | 26\% | .218 |  |  |  |
| PACKET MAKING READY AND STAMPING. | . 29 | 29\% | . 374 | $\cdots$ | . - |  |
| SINGIE PACKE'P MAKING | .148 | $2 \times$ | . 217 |  |  |  |
| 'TAKING 12 PACKET'S AND PI,ACING. | . 228 | P. ${ }^{2}$ | . 294 |  |  |  |
| MAKING ONE DOZ. WRAPI'ING AND TAfPPING | . 483 | $29: 9$ | . 623 |  | 4.362 | ; |
| CARTYON MAKE READY. | . 11 | 209\% | . 142 | . |  |  |
| PLACTNG 6 DOZ. INGTDE THE CARJYON. | .343 | $2 \%$ | . 442 |  |  |  |
| COVER THEL CARIOON | . 2275 | 29\% | . 293 |  |  |  |
| TRPPING THE CARIOON | . 5375 | 29\% | . 693 |  |  |  |
| hemove mir carioon AND PLACING | . 11 . | 29\% | . 112 |  |  |  |
| COROGATED CAR'COON make ready. ( ONE SIDE) | . 235 | 26\% | . 296 |  | $\hat{}$ |  |
| hoiddina nill taplitng of Cartoon. ( ONE SIDE ) | .383 | 26.6 | . 483 |  |  |  |

PONDER - FIIIIING AND PACKTNG PART. G'EANDARD 'I'ME: CALCULA'LTON.

| DESCRTPPION OF EACH WORK S'LA'ION. | BASIC I'TME. MINHET | KkLAXATIUN ALLOVANEE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STANDARD } \\ & \text { TIME. } \\ & \text { MINUTE } \end{aligned}$ | TOTAL <br> STANDARD TMME. MINUT'E: | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { RLCOMMENDED } \\ \text { CYCLE } \\ \text { TIME. } \\ \text { MINUI'E } \end{array}$ | REMAKI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weifiling ench CONTA INE'R. | . 043 | 26\% | . 054 |  |  | , |
| CONTAINER SThMPING | . 021 | 26\% | . 026 |  |  |  |
| CAP Stenling | . 035 | 26\% | . 044 |  |  |  |
| CLEANING EACH CONPAINER. | . 035 | 26\% | . 044 |  |  |  |
| CARYGON(6 DOZ.) PLACTIG | . 093 | 31\% | . 122 | 4.061 | 4.061 |  |
| IPLACING 36 NOS. <br> INSIDE JHE CARTOON | . 72 | 31\% | . 943 |  | " |  |
| PLACING ANOTHER 36 NOS. INSIDE 'TIE SAME CARIOON. | . 82 | 31\% | 1.074 |  |  |  |
| COVERING | . 103 | 31\% | . 135 |  |  |  |
| TAPping | . 52 | 31\% | . 681 |  |  |  |
| PLACING THE CARTOON A SIDE. | . 11 | 31\% | . 144 |  |  | - |
| COROGATED CARTDON MAKE READY ( ONE SIDE) | . 238 | 26\% ${ }^{\prime}$ | . 299 |  |  |  |
| holding and tapfing OF CARTOON. ( ONE SIDE) | . 353 | 26\% | . 445 |  |  |  |

(Scale:- 1 - 100 )
Standard Rating- 100.


PUTTIMG IHE TUBE
INSIDE THE

PACKET.

Date: 03-7-1991

| ESCRIPrITON | WORKER'S <br> CODE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OPSERVED } \\ & \text { 'TME: } \\ & \text { MINUTE: } \end{aligned}$ | ```AVERAGE OBSERVED I'MME. NTWUIE``` | OBSERVED <br> RA'PING | BASIC <br> rIME. <br> MINU'IE | TOTAL BASI TTME OF EACH WORK ZTA'IION. MINUTE | REMARK: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ....-- ... ....... | WORKERS <br> - 785 | .04 <br> .03 <br> .03 <br> .04 <br> .03 <br> .03 <br> .03 <br> .03 | $.03875$ | 125 | . 0484 | . 0426 |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WORKER } \\ & -821 \end{aligned}$ | .04 <br> .04 <br> .03 <br> .04 <br> .05 <br> .03 <br> .04 <br> .04 | $.03875$ | 100 | . 03875 |  |  |
| JLACING TUBES (12) <br> on THE WRAPPING MPER . | WORKER $-798$ | .06 .08 .06 .06 .10 .08 .10 .08 .08 .08 .09 .06 | . 0777 | 125 | . 0967 | $.0967$ |  |
| WRAPPING \& PU'TYTNG STICKERS, PIACING A SIDE. | WORKER <br> - 278 | .25 <br> .24 <br> .28 <br> .26 <br> .25 <br> .25 <br> .22 <br> .20 <br> .22 <br> . 20 <br> .24 <br> .25 | . 238 | 125 | . 298 | . 298 | \% |
| PLACE CARTOON <br> AND PUTTIING 6 DO2. <br> into the cartoon. | WORKER $-326$ | $\begin{array}{r} .50 \\ .50 \\ .40 \\ .40 \\ .50 \end{array}$ | . 46 | 75 | . 345 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 157 |  |  |  |  |

Date: 04-7-1991.

| DESCRIPTION | WORKER <br> CODE | OBSERVEI rimbi. M1 H Hite: | AvERAGE observed 'TjME. MINU'I'E' | OBE:RVED <br> Kn: HI IK | BASIC 'IIME. <br> MINUTE | TO'TAL B.' OF EACH WORK STATION. <br> MINUTE | REMARH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| . | WORKER $1-50$ | $\begin{array}{r} .30 \\ .35 \\ .30 \\ .25 \\ .30 \\ .30 \end{array}$ | $0.3$ | 100 | 0.3 | . 323 |  |
| COVERTNG | WOLK ETR $-326$ | $\begin{aligned} & .25 \\ & .20 \\ & .20 \\ & .25 \\ & .30 \end{aligned}$ | .25 | .75 | $\text { . } 188$ | .184 | . |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WORKER } \\ & -50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .22 \\ & .15 \\ & .20 \\ & .15 \\ & .15 \\ & .20 \end{aligned}$ | . 18 | 100 | . 18 |  |  |
| T'APPING | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YORKER } \\ & -3.26 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .70 \\ & .70 \\ & .65 \\ & .70 \\ & .65 \end{aligned}$ | . 68 | 75 | . 51 | . 583 |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WORKER } \\ & -\quad 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .55 \\ .60 \\ .55 \\ .65 \\ .60 \\ .55 \end{array}$ | . 655 | 100 | . 655 |  |  |
| placting the carivo A'T POSI'RION. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WORKER } \\ & -326 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .12 \\ .12 \\ .08 \\ .10 \\ .12 \end{array}$ | . 108 | 75 | . 081 | . 088 | . |
|  | WORK ER $-50$ | $\begin{aligned} & .10 \\ & .08 \\ & .08 \\ & .10 \\ & .08 \\ & .10 \end{aligned}$ | .095 | 100 | . 095 |  |  |


| DESCRTPTION | WORKER <br> CODE | OBSERVED TIME MINUTE: | AVERAGE <br> OBSERVED <br> TIME. <br> MJNU'TE | OBS ERVED <br> RA'TING | BASIC TIME. <br> MINU'TE | TOTAL BASIC TIME OF EACH WORK STATION. MINUTE | RGMARK. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| zOROGATLED CARTOON MAKE READY (ONE SIDE) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WORKER } \\ & -687 \end{aligned}$ | .25 <br> .25 <br> . 30 <br> .25 <br> .26 <br> .24 | . 258 | 100 | . 258 | . 258 |  |
| HOLDING AND TAPPING (ONE SIDE) | WORKER $-561$ | $\begin{aligned} & .10 \\ & .35 \\ & .38 \\ & .40 \\ & .36 \\ & .38 \end{aligned}$ | . 378 | $100{ }^{\prime}$ | . 378 | . 378 | i |

SNOW ( IN BOMILE) - FILLING AND PACKE PACKING PART.

OBSERVED TIME CAICULATION.
( SCAIE :- 1 - 100)
STANDAARD RATING - 100.
DA'IE: 09-7-1991.

| DE'SCRIPIPITION | WORKERS CODE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OBSERVED - } \\ & \text { TTME. } \\ & \text { MINUTE } \end{aligned}$ | AVERAGE OBSERVED 'TIME. mTNUTE | OBSERVLDD <br> RA'TIING | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BASIC } \\ & \text { TIME } \\ & \text { MINUTE } \end{aligned}$ | REMARK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| leveting iop side and PLACING CAP. | WORK ER -803 | $\begin{aligned} & .08 \\ & .10 \\ & .10 \\ & .09 \end{aligned}$ | . 092 | 125 . | . 115 |  |
| ILEVELITMG THE: BOT'fLE | WORKER - 820 | $\begin{array}{r} .20 \\ .15 \\ .16 \\ .18 \end{array}$ | . 173 | 100 | $\text { . } 173$ |  |
| PACKET MAKE READY AND STAMPING. | WOKKER -72? | $\begin{array}{r} .30 \\ .28 \\ .32 \\ .26 \end{array}$ | . 29 | 100 | . 29 |  |
| SINGLE PACKE'T MAKING | WORK EAR -561 | $\begin{aligned} & .12 \\ & .10 \\ & .10 \\ & .15 \end{aligned}$ | . 118 , | 125 | . 148 |  |
| TAKING 12 PACKHTS AND PLACING . | WORKER - 791 | $\begin{aligned} & .20 \\ & . ? 5 \\ & .32 \\ & .24 \\ & .21 \end{aligned}$ | . 2275 | 100 | . 228 |  |
| MAKJNG ONE DOZ. FACKET WRAPPING AND I'APPTING. | , WORKER-791 | $\begin{aligned} & .48 \\ & .50 \\ & .50 \\ & .15 \end{aligned}$ | . 4825 | 100 | . 483 |  |
| CARTOON MA:KTNG READY | WORKER - 295 | $\begin{aligned} & .12 \\ & .10 \\ & .10 \\ & .12 \end{aligned}$ | . 11 | 100 | . 11 |  |
| PIACING 6 [DOZ. TNSIDE THE' CARICOONS. | WORKER - 295 | $\begin{aligned} & .35 \\ & .35 \\ & .35 \\ & .32 \\ & .35 \end{aligned}$ | $\cdot .3425$ | 100 | . 343 |  |
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| description | WORK ERS <br> CODS | OBSERVED 'TME. <br> MTINUTE | AvERAGE OBSERVED TIME. MINUTE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OBGERVED } \\ & \text { RA'ring. } \end{aligned}$ | BASIC TIME MINU'IE | REMA: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cover tule cartroon | WORKER - 295 | $\begin{array}{r} .20 \\ .22 \\ .25 \\ .24 \end{array}$ | . 2275 | 100 | . 2275 |  |
| TAPFING THE CARTOON | WORKER - 295 | .52 <br> .55 <br> .55 <br> .53 | . 5375 | 100 | . 5375 |  |
| remove the cartoon ?ND PI,ACTNG. | WOREER - 295 | $\begin{array}{r} .10 \\ .12 \\ .10 \\ .12 \end{array}$ | . 11 | 100 | . 11 |  |
| corogathed cartuon <br> MAKING REGDY <br> ( ONE SIDE) | WORKER -6, 78 | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & .25 \\ & .20 \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ | . 235 | 1000 | . 235 |  |
| hol ding and rapring of cartoon . ( ONE SIDE) | workeir - 678 | $\begin{aligned} & .40 \\ & .35 \\ & .10 \\ & .38 \end{aligned}$ | . 3825 | 100 | . 383 |  |
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POWDER ( FILHitng And packing paril) OBSERVED TIME CALCULAATION.

Scale :- 1 - 100 . standard Rating=100.

Date: 16-7-1991.

| DESCRI P'IICN | WORKLRS CODE | OESEREED <br> 'ITME: <br> mTHU'TE: | A VIPRAGE OBSERVLD I'TME. MINUTE | OBSLRVED <br> RATING | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BASIC } \\ & \text { I'IME. } \\ & \text { MINUTE } \end{aligned}$ | REMAR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WETGHING EACH CONTAINER. | WORESER - 50 | $\begin{aligned} & .03 \\ & .04 \\ & .03 \\ & .04 \end{aligned}$ | . 035 | 125 | . 043 |  |
| CONTAINER STAMPING | WORK ER' - 828 | $\begin{aligned} & .02 \\ & .02 \\ & .02 \\ & .02 \end{aligned}$ | . 02 | 125 | . 025 | - |
| CAP SELALING | WORKER - 796 | .04 <br> .03 <br> .03 <br> .014 | . 035 | 100 | . 035 |  |
| CLEANING EACH CONTATNER. | WORKER - 785 | $\begin{aligned} & .03 \\ & .04 \\ & .04 \\ & .03 \end{aligned}$ | . 035 | 100 | . 035 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CARTOON }(6 \mathrm{DOZ}) \\ & \text { PLACING } \end{aligned}$ | WORKGR - 827 | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ .08 \\ .10 \\ .09 \end{array}$ | . 093 | 100 | .093 |  |
| placing 36 nos. INSIDE TIE CARTYON. | WORKLER -827 | $\begin{aligned} & .74 \\ & .70 \\ & .72 \\ & .72 \end{aligned}$ | . 7 ? | 100 | . 72 |  |
| PIACTNG ANOTIER 36 NOS. (INSIDE THE CAR'ROON) | SORKER -827 | $\begin{aligned} & .84 \\ & .80 \\ & .82 \\ & .82 \end{aligned}$ | . 82 | 100 | . 82 |  |
| COVERING | WORKER - 827 | .10 <br> .12 <br> . 10 <br> .09 | . 103 | 100 | . 103 |  |
| TAPPING. | YORKER - 827 | $\begin{aligned} & .51 \\ & .54 \\ & .50 \\ & .53 \end{aligned}$ | . 52 | 100 | . 52 |  |
| PLACING THE CARTOON A SIDE. | WORK L:R -827 | .12 .10 .12 .10 | . 11 | 100 | $\text { . } 11$ |  |
|  |  | 162 |  |  |  |  |


| DESCRIP'PION | WOREERS CODE. | Obe ERVED THE. MTNUTE | AVERAGED OBSERVED TIME. MIAU'IE | OBS FiRVED Ra'I'TMG | BASIC TIME: MINUTE | REMARK: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COROGATED CARZOON MAKE geady (ONE SIDE) | WORK ER - 819 | .25 <br> . 24 <br> .22 <br> . 21 | . 2375 | 100 | - 238 |  |
| HOLDTNG ANG TMPPING OF CARTOON ( one side ) | WOTK ER - 819 | $\begin{aligned} & 36 \\ & .311 \\ & .35 \\ & .36 \end{aligned}$ | . 352.5 | $100$ | $.353$ | . |

```
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obstelrvel
$:$ MACHINE - OAPRCITY. :
GNO ( IN TUBE) FILHNG MACHTNE.




SNO.! ( IN BOTUE)FITLTNG MACHINE, DATE: 24.7.1991.


CAP FItTing MACHINE.


OBSERVED MACHIHE CAPACITY
POWDER FILLITGG MACHINE DATE: 25.7.91
(Single container filling Machine.)

(DOUble Container filiting machine)
( STNGLE OPRM:IYR) .


## CALCULATION OF IAINI:TUM.

NUMBER OF OBSERVATION

The following universal formula is used to calculate the minimum number of observation .

$$
M=\frac{4 P(100-P)}{L^{2}} \quad \text { (Valid for 95\% } \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { Confidence limit) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Here ,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I & =\text { Number of observation } \\
P & =\text { Occurance of :n event }(5)=90.6 \% \\
L & =\text { Accuracy limit }
\end{aligned}
$$

For this study $L= \pm 7$ is considered.
$N=-\ldots \frac{490}{4 \cdot} \cdot 6 \times 9 \cdot 4 \ldots-\ldots=69$

Hence the minimum number of obscrviotion is

EXISTING BASE PART MIXING TIME OF COSMETIC PROCESSING PLANT

Date: $27 \cdot 7 \cdot 199$

A. PHYSICAL_STRAINS_RESULTING_FROM_THE_NATURE_OF_THE_WORK

1. POSTURE: (

Consider whether the worker is sitting, standing, stopping or in a cramped position and whether a load is handled easily or awkwardly.

Points
0
Sitting easily 2
Sitting awkwardly, or mixture of sitting and standing
Standing or walking freely 4
Ascending or descending stairs unladen 5
Standing or walking with a load ..... 6
Climbing up or down ladders, or some bending, ..... 8 lifting stretching or throwing
Awkward lifting, showelling ballast to container ..... 10
Constant bending, lifting, stretching or throwing ..... 12
Coalmining with pickaxes, lying in a low seam ..... 16
2. VIBRATION:

Consider the impact of the vibration on the body, limbs or hands and the addition to mental effort due to it, or to a serie of jars or shocks.

Shovelling light materials
Power sewing-machine
Power press or guillotine if operative is holding the material
Cross-cut sawing
Shovelling ballast
Portable power drill operated by one hand Pickaxing
Power drill(two hands) ..... 8
Road drill on concrete ..... 15
3. SHORT CYCLE (HIGHLY REPETITIVE) :

In highly repetitive work, if a series of very short elements form a cycle which is continuously repeated for a long period, award points as indicated below, to compensate for the lack of opportunity to vary the muscles used during the work.

| Average cycle time <br> (centiminutes) | Points |
| :---: | :---: |
| $16-17$ | 1. |
| 15 | 2 |
| $13-14$ | 3 |
| 12 | 4 |
| $10-11$ | 5 |
| $8-9$ | 6 |
| 7 | 7 |
| 6 | 8 |
| 5 | 9 |

4. RESTRICTIVE CLOTHING:

Consider the weight of the protective clothing in relation to effort and movement. Consider also whether ventilation and breathing are affected.

Thin rubber(surgeon's) gloves 1
Household rubber gloves
Rubber boots
Grinder's goggles. 3
Industrial rubber or leather gloves 5
Face mask(e.g. for paint-spraying) 8
Asbestos suit or tarpaulin coat 15
Restrictive protective clothing and respirator 20

## B. MENTAL STRAINS

## 1. CONCENTRATION/ANXIETY:

Consider what would happen if the operative relaxed his attention, the responsibility carried, the need for exact timing of movements, and the accuracy or precision required.

## Points

Routine simple assembly Shovelling ballast

0
Routine packing, labourer washing vehicles;
Wheeling trolley down clear gangway
Feed press tool; hand clear of press
Topping up battery

## 2

Painting walls
3
Assembling small and simple batches, performed:
without much thinking
Sewing-machine work, automatically guided
Assembling warehouse orders by trolley:
Simple inspection
Load/unload press tool, hand feed into machine Spray-painting metalwork

6
Adding up figures
Inspecting detailed components;
7
Buffing and polishing . 8
Guiding work by hand and sewing machine
Packing assorted chocolates,memorising pattern and selecting accordingly
Assembly work too complex to become automatic Welding parts held in jig
Driving a motor bus in heavy traffic or fog Marking out in detail with high accuracy
2. MONOTINYConsider the degree of mental stimulation and if there iscompanionship, competitive spirit, music, etc.
Points
Two men on jobbing work ..... 0
Cleaning own shoes for half an hour on one's own ..... 3
Operative on repetitive work
Operative working alone on non-repetitive work5
Routine inspection ..... 6
Adding similar columns of figures ..... 8
One operative working alone on highly repetive work ..... 11
3. EYE STRAIN :Consider the lighting conditions, glare,flicker,illumination, colour and closeness of work and for how longthe strain is endured.
Normal factory work ..... 0
Inspection of easily visible faults
Sorting distinctively coloured articles by colour2
Factory work in poor lighting
Intermittent inspection for detailed faults
Grading apples4
Reading a newspaper in a motor car/bus ..... 8
Arc-welding using maskContinuous visual inspection, e.g. cloth from a loom
Engraving using an eyeglass ..... 14
4. NOISE:

Consider whether the noise affects concentration, is a steady hum or a background noise, is regular or occurs unexpectedly, is irritating or soothing.

Points
Work in a quiet office, no distracting noise:
Light assembly factory
Work in a city office witt continual
traffic noise outside
Light machine shop
Office or assembly shop where noise is a distraction

Woodworking machine shop • 4
Operating steam hammer in forge 5
Revetting in a shipyard 9
Road drilling 10

## C. PHYSICAL OR MENTAL STRAINS RESULTING FROM THE NATURE_OF THE WORKING_CONDITIONS.

1. TEMPERATURE AND HUMIDITY :

Consider the general conditions of atmospheric temperature and humidity and classify as indicated below. Select points according to average temperature within the ranges shown.

| Humidity <br> (Percent) | Temperature <br> Upto $75^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 76 to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | Over $90^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upto 75 | 0 | $6-9$ | $12-16$ |
| $76-85$ | $1-3$ | $8-12$ | $15-26$ |
| Over 85 | $4-6$ | $12-17$ | $20-36$ |

## 2. VENTILATION :

Consider the quality and freshness of the air and its circulation by air-conditioning or natural draught.
Offices
Points
Factoreis with "office-type" conditions ! 0
Workshop with reasonable vantilation but
some draught
Draughty workshops3
Working in sewer ..... 14
3. FUMES

Consider the nature and concentration of the fumes: whether toxic or infurious to health; irritating to eyes, nose, throat or skin, disagreeable oder.

Lathe turning with coolants 0
Emulsion paint, Gas cutting, Soldering with resin 1
Motor vehicle exhaust in small commercial garage 5
Cellulose painting
Moulder procuring metal and filling mould , 10
4. DUST_:

Consider the volume and nature of the dust.

| Points |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Office |  |
| Normal light assembly operations i | 0 |
| Press shop i |  |
| Grinding or buffing operation with good extraction | 1 |
| Sawing wood | 2 |
| Emptying ashes | 4 |
| Linishing weld | 6 |
| Running coke from hoppers into skips trucks | 10 |
| Unloading cement | 11 |
| Demolishing building | 12 |
| DIRT_: |  |

Consider the nature of thework and the general discomfort caused by its dirty nature. This allowance covers "Washing time" where this is paid for(i.e. where operatives are allowed three minutes or five minutes for washing ,etc.). Do not allow both points and time.

Office work
0
Normal assembly operations;
Office duplicators 1
Dustman 2
Stripping internal combustion engine 4
Work under old motor vehicle 5
Unloading bags of cement 7
Coalminer
Chimney-Sweep with brushes ! 1010

## 6. WET_:

Consider the cumulative effects of exposure to this condition over a long period.

Points
Normal factory operations 0
Outdoor workers e.g. postman 1
Working continuously in the damp 2
Rubbing down walls with wet pumice block 4
Continuous handing of wet articles 5
Laundry wash-house, wet work, steamy,
floor running with water, hands wet

PERCENTAGE RETAXATION_ATLOWANCE FOR TOTAL_POINTS_ALIOCATED

| Points | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| 0 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |  |
| 10 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 15 | 15 |  |
| 20 | 15 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 18 | 18 | 18 |  |
| 30 | 19 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 21 | 21 | 22 | 22 | 23 | 23 |  |
| 40 | 24 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 26 | 27 | 27 | 28 | 28 | 29 |  |
| 50 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 34 | 35 | 36 |  |
| 60 | 37 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 40 | 40 | 41 | 42 | 43 | 44 |  |
| 70 | 45 | 46 | 47 | 48 | 48 | 49 | 50 | 51 | 52 | 53 |  |
| 80 | 45 | 55 | 56 | 57 | 58 | 59 | 60 | 61 | 62 | 63 |  |
| 90 | 54 | 65 | 66 | 68 | 69 | 70 | 71 | 72 | 73 | 74 |  |
| 100 | 64 | 77 | 78 | 79 | 80 | 82 | 83 | 84 | 85 | 87 |  |
| 110 | 75 | 89 | 91 | 92 | 93 | 95 | 96 | 97 | 99 | 100 |  |
| 120 | 88 | 89 | 103 | 105 | 106 | 107 | 109 | 110 | 112 | 113 | 115 |
| 130 | 101 | 116 | 118 | 119 | 121 | 122 | 123 | 125 | 126 | 128 | 130 |
| 140 | 116 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Example: If the total number of points allocated for the various strains is 37:
(i) In the left-hand coiumn of table, find the line for 30 ;
(ii) On this line, move across the table to the right, to column 7;
(iii) Read off the relaxation allowance for 37 points, which is 18 percent.
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CALCULATION OF LEAVE \& ABSENTEEISM RATE FOR COSMETIC PLANT FROM JULY'1989 TO JUNE'1990

- Total Manpoer $=153$ (Excluding supervisor and Foreman)

| MONTH | PRESENTEEISM | PERCENTAGE OF LEAVE AND ABSENTEEISM | AVERAGE \% | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| July ' 89 | 87 | 43.14 |  | Eid-ul-Azha : |
| August' 89 | - 136 | 11.11 |  |  |
| September'89 | 134 | 12.41 |  |  |
| October'89 | 133 | 13.07 |  | . |
| November'89 | 131 | 14.38 | 16.99 | - |
| December'89 | 132 | 13.73 |  |  |
| January '90 | 128 | 16.34 | ; |  |
| February '90 | 132 | 13.73 |  |  |
| March'90 | - 134 | 12.42 |  |  |
| April'90 | 114 | 25.49 |  | Eid-ul-Fitre |
| May '90 | 136 | 11.11 |  |  |
| June '90 | 127 | 16.99 |  |  |

[^1]TOTAL MANPOWER $=276$ (Excluding Supervisor \& Foreman)


> APPENDIX -1 Page 1 of 1


## Attendence of Previous days: 21.8.91 Percentage of Presentism : 79\%

Mverage present : 79\%
$\rightarrow$ resent : $\quad 438$
Mbsent : 117
Total- 555

## TOTAL MANPOWER (UPTO FOREMAN) LIST <br> UPTO 31ST DEGEMBER2_1990

|  |  | STAFF | WORKER | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a) | As per Set-up | 96 | 560 | 656 |
| b) | Unproductive Worker | 96 |  |  |
|  | ( MNSP ) |  |  | , |
| c) | Productive Worker |  | 560 |  |
|  | (IWW \& PC ) |  |  |  |

656

DETAILS MANPOWER (UPTO FOREMAN) LIST
FROM_01-10-90_T0_31-12-90

1. Cosmetic Section
2. Cosmetic Packing

| H.S.T | $-\quad 6$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| S.T. $-I$ | -1 |
| S.S.T | -2 |

9
3. Plastic Section

| Operator | -2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Cleaner | -2 |
| S.S.T | -10 |
| Unskilled | -1 |

15
4. Ink Section

| Operator | -4 |
| :--- | ---: |
| H.S.T. | -7 |
| S.T.-II | $\ldots-1$ |

12
5. Perfume Section

| Master Technician | -1 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Helper | $-\quad 2$ |

6. Glycerine Soap

| Technical Asstt. | -1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Operator | -12 |
| Helper | -1 |
| H.S.T. | -1 |
| Unskilled Technician -1 |  |


| SECTION |  |  | MANPOWER |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | Washing Soap |  | Foreman | - 3 |  |
|  |  |  | Chief Supervisor/ Supervisor | - 6 |  |
|  |  |  | Niaster Technician | - 15 |  |
| . .... | - . | $\cdots$ | Operator | - 14 |  |
|  | . |  | Mechanics | - 1 |  |
|  |  | 1 | Cleaner | - 3 |  |
|  |  |  | H.S.T. | -108 |  |
|  |  |  | S.T.-I | - 19 |  |
|  | . |  | S.S.T. | - 26 |  |
|  |  |  |  | ' | 196 |
| 8. | Toilet Soap |  | Foreman | - 2 |  |
|  |  |  | Chief Supervisor/ Supervisor | - 5 |  |
|  |  |  | Master Technician | - 5 |  |
|  |  |  | Operator | - 17 |  |
|  |  |  | Mechanic | - 1 |  |
|  |  |  | H:S.T. | - 38 |  |
|  |  |  | S.T. -I | - 1 |  |
|  |  |  | S.T.-II | -6 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | ! 75 |
| 9. | Penshed Section |  | Foreman | - 1 |  |
|  |  |  | Master Technician | - 5 |  |
|  |  |  | Mechanics | - 2 |  |
|  | . |  | Operator | - 10 |  |
|  |  | , | Cleaver | - 2 |  |
|  |  |  | H.S.T. | - 5 |  |
|  |  |  | S.T.-I | - 2 |  |
|  | - |  | S.T.-II , | - 2 |  |
|  | $\because$ |  | S.S.T | - 9 |  |
|  |  |  | Unskilled | - 4 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 44 |

MANPOWER


FIGR(a) GENERAL PURPOSE TIME STVDY TOP SHEET.
BANGLADESII UNIVERSITY OT ENGINFRRING \& TDCHNOLOGY DEPARTMENT OF INUUSTRIAL \& PROJXCTION ERNINEERIIK

TIME STUDY SHEEP ( TIME STUDY TOP SHEET)


[^2]FIG.R(b) CONTINUATION SHEET_FOR_GENERAL=PURPOSE_TIME_STUDY.
shéry no. II
TIME STUDY CONTINUATION SHEET.
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[^0]:    * SMS = Standard Minutes

[^1]:    CALCULA'TION OF LLAVE \& ABSEN'TEEESM RATE FOR SOAP PROCESSING PLANT. FRCM JULY- 1989 TO JUNE - 1990

[^2]:    O.TH = OBSERVED TIME.
    R. $=$ RATING.
    B.T. $=$ BASIC TIME.

