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#### Abstract

Among other limitation/shortcomings, environmental problem in Dhaka city occur due to the lack of favorable facilities, such as, infrastructure of road network, insufficient traffic management, relaxation of traffic rules, coupled with the rapid rise in transportation demand. Air quality monitoring data is very limited in Dhaka city. Bangladesh notified the first emission standard under the environment conservation rules in 1997, but the standards are incomplete, have some inherent limitations and more importantly it has lack of strategies for proper implementations. In Dhaka, there are no facilities for measurement of emissions from in-service or onroad vehicles.

In the present study, simulated on-board measurement has been carried out on six vehicles. The test was executed at the center of Dhaka city under various traffic conditions. All the vehicles comprising gasoline engine were measured for both fuel consumption and emission. The main emphasis was put on driving in the peak hours (morning and evening) and off-peak (noon) traffic conditions. The principal aim is to obtain realistic fuel consumption and exhaust emission data for Dhaka city. Secondly, to establish the relationship between consumption and emissions under various traffic conditions. The collected data may be fed into a simulation model that would evaluate traffic management concepts to reducing fuel consumption and emission levels of cars.


## CHAPTER - ONE

## INTRODUCTION

Motor vehicles emit large quantities of carbon monoxide (CO), hydrocarbons $(\mathrm{HC})$, nitrogen oxides (NOx), fine particulate matter (PM) and lead (Pb). Each of these, along with secondary by-products such as ozone, cause adverse effects on human health and the environment. Because of the growing vehicle population and high emission rates from many of these vehicles, serious air pollution and health problems have become increasingly common phenomena in modern life. Reducing pollution from vehicles usually requires a comprehensive strategy. Generally, the goal of a motor vehicle pollution control program is to reduce emissions from new and in-use motor vehicles to a degree reasonably necessary to achieve healthy air quality as rapidly as possible. Failing that for reasons of impracticality, the goal is to meet the practical limits of effective technological, economic, and social feasibility. A comprehensive strategy to achieve this goal includes four key components: (i) increasingly stringent emissions standards for new vehicles, (ii) specifications for clean fuels, (iii) programs to assure proper maintenance of in-use vehicles, and (iv) transport planning and travel demand management [1]. These emissions reduction goals should be achieved in the most cost effective manner available.

Transportation and air quality managers have task of developing and evaluating Transportation Control Measurements (TCMs) and other types of Transportation Improvement Plans (TIPs). One of the objectives of TCMs and TIPs is to improve the air quality. The benefits of many TCMs and TIPs accrue at the "micro" level, such as, individual signalized intersections, traffic control devices, roadway facilities improvements (e.g., ramps, roundabouts), improved incident response and management and others [2]. In order to evaluate the air quality benefits of such projects, it is necessary to evaluate localized changes in emissions at a fixed location. Alternatively, in order to evaluate air quality benefits of alternative routing schemes, one must evaluate changes in emissions associated with substituting one route for another between the same origin and destination. Finally, in order to assess the larger scale benefits of regional management strategies, there must be good, representative, and real-world data regarding onroad emissions for a variety of facility types and control devices.

The data required to accurately assess the air quality benefits of TCMs and/or TIPs must be real-world on-road data and must also be of sufficient temporal and spatial resolution to enable identification and evalution of hotspots, measurement of changes in emissions as a result of specific, local TCMs (e,g. improved traffic signal coordination and timing ) and yet be amenable to the development of datasets to assess regional emissions trends. However, existing highway vehicle emission factor models, such as the Mobile5b or EMFAC7 series of models, are based upon assumed standardized driving cycles. The data input to these models is in a sense "hardwired" to represent only a selected set of origin-to-destination trips. These models are routinely misapplied to attempt to characterize link-based emissions (e.g., emissions for a short section of roadway)
or even to extrapolate idling emissions. For example, the "slowest" driving cycle in the Mobile5a model is the LSP1 cycle, with an average speed of approximately 2.5 miles/hr. This cycle includes many starts and stops, and therefore includes idling, acceleration, deceleration, and limited amounts of cruising. An average emission rate for this cycle is typically converted from a gram of pollutant emitted per mile of distance traveled basis to a gram of pollutant emitted per time elapsed basis, and assumed to be representative of idling emissions [3]. However, in our country no standard "highway vehicle emission factor models" are being followed at present.

In the present work, an empirical approach to measure real-world, on-road vehicle emissions is emphasized. The specific method employed here, based upon instrumentation of individual vehicles and measurement of tailpipe emissions, offers the benefits of providing second-by-second vehicle activity and emissions data, which enables characterization of emissions at any time or location during a route. With on-road data of high temporal and spatial resolution, it is then possible to evaluate the local effect of TCMs and TIPs, as well as to design and implement studies aimed at characterizing actual emissions.

### 1.1 Objectives

So far, no on-board measurement has been carried out to measure the fuel consumption and emissions in Dhaka city. The present investigation performs simulated on-board measurement for both fuel consumption and emissions of CO , HC , and $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$. The specific objectives of the present research are as follows:

- To obtain real fuel consumption and emission figures for passenger cars in Dhaka city.
- To gain an insight into the relationship between the fuel consumption and emissions under different traffic conditions

The collected data may be used as a simulation input that would evaluate traffic management concepts with a view to reducing fuel consumption and emission levels of cars.

## CHAPTER - TWO

## LITERATURE REVIEW

### 2.1 Introduction

The automotive technology has advanced a long way since it's first true development in the beginning of the $20^{\text {th }}$ century by Henry Ford. The society has used technology in order to help advance the automobile to make it better and more efficient. People have always had a need for speed, a need to have the best of the best, and a need to have the newest trend. And that is what major automobile industries have been giving the society because they know that they can profit greatly from it. In order to improve automobiles so that they meet these needs of our society, automobile industries turned to technology. Technology is what has turned the Ford model T into a Ford Mustang 5. The human comport and technology comes with a price. The biggest and most obvious price is pollution. Because of pollution, people asking the question of whether this technology has helped our society more than it has hurt it.

There are four main sources of pollutants that come from an automobile [3]. The first source is referred to as diurnal. On a hot day, the sun will heat up the gasoline tank of a car. This in turn, causes gasoline vapours to vent from the fuel tank. Running losses simply occur because when the car is running its engine become heated. This in turn causes the engine and exhaust system to vaporize
gasoline. After a car is turned off and parked, gasoline continues to evaporate into the atmosphere because the engine is still hot from running. This source is referred to as a hot soak. And lastly, when we refill our fuel tank, vapour in the fuel tank are forced out in the refueling process.

Automobile pollution is a direct result of the combustion process (exhaust) and also from the fuel being evaporated into the atmosphere. When we put gasoline into our car, we are putting a mixture of hydrocarbon into our car which contain hydrogen and carbon atoms. What was intended to happen is the oxygen in the air was supposed to convert all the hydrogen in the fuel to water and all of the carbon in the fuel to $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. But what really happens is that there are HC atoms left over from the combustion process because they do not burn or only burn partially. Other pollutants that are given off through the emissions of automobiles along with the hydrocarbons are $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$, and CO .

All of these automobile pollutants affect the environment in different ways. But one thing that they all have in common is that their effect on the environment is a negative one which in turn affects humans in a negative way also. The most dangerous and widespread of the pollutants are HC. Hydrocarbons emitted by automobiles create smog by reacting with $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ and sunlight. This smog acts as a ground level ozone that cause many health problems for people. These problems include eye irritation, lung damage, and respiratory problems, HC can even cause cancer which can lead to death [4].
$\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ are like hydrocarbons in that they also play a part in the destruction of the stratospheric ozone layer. Due to the high temperature in the automobile's engine, nitrogen and oxygen atoms in the air react and form this $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$. Along with
deteriorating the ozone, $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ also help to form acid rain which hurts plants and other wildlife.

When incomplete combustion occurs because carbon is not completely oxidized to form $\mathrm{CO}_{2}, \mathrm{CO}$ is formed. Carbon monoxide is very dangerous to human health. It reduces the flow of oxygen in the bloodstream and in turn can be extremely dangerous to those people with heart disease. The $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ that is supposed to form in the combustion process also becomes a serious environmental problem in that it is a "greenhouse gas" that acts as a contributor to global warming .

### 2.2 Air pollution and the engine

Pure air is best defined as a mixture of nitrogen and oxygen with traces of rare gases like argon, neon, etc; atmospheric air contains, in addition, water vapor, carbon dioxide, other gases, and various suspensions of fine solid or liquid particles called ' aerosols'. Since no absolute composition can be defined, air is always 'polluted' - the problem is to minimize the pollution. A noted meteorologist predicted recently that polluted air could put an end to the life on this planet within the century [5].

There are two general types of aerosols; 'neutral particles', dust from rocks, manufacturing processes, soot and fly ash, etc; and 'condensation nuclei' made up of hygroscopic substances such as chloride salts, sulphur oxide, oxides of nitrogen, etc. The chief sources of dust are from windstorms and volcanic eruptions - not man-made (In February 1903 nearly 10 million tons of red dust from the deserts of north Africa were deposited over England.) Although dust is a nuisance, the more important suspensions are those arising from condensation nuclei. These substances, because of their hygroscopic nature, furnish the
surface for the process of condensation (and thus lead to fog, clouds, and eventually, rain, in our normal living program). Non hygroscopic particles can also serve as condensation nuclei but only if the atmosphere is greatly supersaturated with water vapour.

Starting in the fourteenth century with the growing use of coal, and accelerating with the industrial revolution, air pollution from combustion became a serious problem. The name 'smog' originated in England around 1911 as a synonym for the mixture of fog and coal smoke that often blanketed London and Glasgow. In 1952 several thousand people died in London from the effect of a particularly severe smog blanket, and many thousand more exhibited serious respiratory ailments.

The more difficult problem is the automotive engine, because (a) it is small, and therefore rarely serviced properly; (b) it is operated accelerating and decelerating under various conditions of loads and speeds; (c) it has millions of prototypes on the highways. For the 178 billion gallons of gasoline and fuel oil consumed in the USA in 1967, the products (in tons) discharged into the atmosphere were shown (approximately) below [5]:

- Carbon monoxide
$170,000,000$ tons
- Hydrocarbons 30,000,000 tons
- Nitrogen oxides 9,000,000 tons
a Aldehydes 400,000 tons
- Sulfur compounds 800,000 tons
- Organic acids 180,000 tons
- Ammonia 180,000 tons
- Solids 27,000 tons

The foregoing amount of CO, if it were not dispersed and digested by natural means, would yield a concentration of 30 ppm over the entire area of the USA to a height of 2000 ft .

### 2.3 Vehicle Emissions

Walsh [6] made a study for global trends in the use of motor vehicles and emission. It was pointed out that including commercial vehicles, more than one half of a billion vehicles are now on world's roads which is ten times more than those were in 1950. The author identified that vehicle emissions, $\mathrm{HC}, \mathrm{CO}$ and $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ are the major sources of climatic change. Emissions create adverse effects to health and environment on the ground level. In addition, tropospheric pollution and climatic changes found to be directly linked by a variety of mechanisms that work against lives. On a global scale, emissions of these pollutants depend on the number of vehicles in use and their emission rates. In turn, the actual emission rates depend on fuel efficiency and use of available control technology.

Danielis et al. [7] shows that in Italy, 6,162 people would die in the cities above 20,000 people because of exposure to transport-related Total Suspended Particulates (TSP) pollution. They account for $0.00020 \%$ of the cities population (city's population was $30,013,973$ ). The largest number of death ( $44 \%$ ) takes place in cities with a population size of more than 500,000 people city size, though only $17.2 \%$ of the population lives there. Therefore, living in a big city puts life more at risk. The authors [7] also show that diesel vehicles are the largest contributors (95.2\%) to PM10 emission, while gasoline vehicles contribute only 4.4\%. Within the diesel vehicles, light-duty vehicles and heavy-duty vehicles share an equal responsibility. They [7] further show that the cost per vehicle-kilometre varies a lot among vehicle-types and city size. On average, CNG cars impose in
urban areas a cost of 13.7 cents of (1992 US \$) per km, while gasoline cars impose a cost of 17.7 and diesel vehicles of 540.3 cents (1992 US \$). Diesel vehicles (mainly trucks, trailers and buses) are the ones which impose the largest cost on society. Their external cost is 30 times higher than that of gasoline cars. Within gasoline cars, the cost per km varies with engine size: cars with less than 1400 cc impose half the cost of cars with more than 2000cc. And within diesel vehicles, light-duty vehicles (cars and small trucks) impose a cost 6 times lower than heavy-duty trucks and buses.

De Vlieger [8] shows at rush hour the fuel consumption of passenger cars went above $10 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{ltr}$, even for diesel cars. Consumption was 20 to $45 \%$ higher in the rush hour than that in smooth-flowing traffic condition. He [8] also shows that during the rush hour, $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions are 20 to $45 \%$ higher than in smooth-flowing traffic. The CO and the HC emissions are $80 \%$ higher and the $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ emission $50 \%$ higher during rush hour than in smooth-flowing traffic [9].

Frey et al. [10] show that that the emissions during the acceleration mode are significantly higher than for any other driving mode, for all four of the pollutants measured. Conversely, the emission rate during idling is the lowest of the four ( $\mathrm{HC}, \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{CO}$ and PM ) modes for all four pollutants. The cruising emission rate is typically slightly higher than the deceleration emission rate. For each of the four pollutants, the four modal emission rates are significantly different from each other at 0.05 significance level, except for cruising and deceleration emissions of CO .

## CHAPTER - THREE

## AIR QUALITY IN DHAKA CITY

### 3.1 Introduction

Environmental problems in all major cities of Bangladesh occur due to the lack of favorable facilities, such as infrastructure of road network, coupled with the rapid rise in transportation demand. It is also caused by the large number of nonmotorized vehicles on roads, lack of application of adequate and proper traffic management schemes, industrial growth, construction activities, resuspension of dusts, and open burning. Ever increasing traffic congestion in the streets, use of leaded gasoline and high content of sulfur in diesel enhance suffering of inhabitants of major cities from vehicle emissions. This demands a study for Dhaka and other major cities in developing infrastructures and improving air quality.

### 3.2 State of Air Quality in Dhaka

Air quality monitoring data is limited in Dhaka, however, periodic surveys by the Department of Environment (DoE), indicate that the ambient levels of SPM, $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$, and airborne lead are higher than the Bangladesh air quality guidelines. Based on the air quality data of DoE, ambient levels of SPM exceeds Bangladesh air quality standard in commercial and mixed areas throughout the year, while the $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ level exceeds the standard during the dry winter season. The $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ levels appear to be under acceptable limit of the air quality standard. However, Bangladesh standard
is not directly comparable with the international air quality standard because of differences in averaging time, but in general SPM and $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ exceeds international standard of ambient air quality during the whole year in Dhaka. Lead content in ambient air was measured by Bangladesh Atomic Energy Commission during November 1995 to January 1996 and detected $4.63 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ of lead in ambient air over Dhaka [11], whereas, WHO standard for lead in air is $0.51 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$. A survey performed in 1997 by the Health Economic Unit of the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare indicated that the concentration of lead in blood samples of 39 people in Dhaka were well above the maximum tolerable limit of $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dl}$ recommended by WHO. The concentration levels ranged from a minimum of 13 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{dl}$ to a $132 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dl}$. Besides government information, there are several independent research data available on instantaneous CO monitoring at Farmgate (11 ppm, 1 hour average), daily average $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ concentration ( 0.126 ppm ) in selected urban intersections of Dhaka, $\mathrm{PM}_{10}\left(244.8 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ and $\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}(445.2$ $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) measurements at Farmgate police box, DOE Agargaon office and rooftop of World Bank office, and volatile organic compounds $\left(1,131 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ measured at four locations. All the measured data exceed Bangladesh and/or international standards [11].

### 3.3 Sources and Mix of Pollution

Transportation system is the major contributor of emission in Dhaka. The relative emission of $\mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{HC}$, particulate matter, $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$, and Pb by each modes of transportation system are estimated for the year 1999 under the study [11]. It has been computed that in the year 1999 a total of 86,311 ton of $\mathrm{CO}, 41,111$ ton of $\mathrm{HC}, 11,497$ ton of $\mathrm{NO}_{x}, 10,205$ ton of $\mathrm{PM}, 3,614$ ton of $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$, and 69 ton of pb
emitted from automobiles in Dhaka city [11]. Modal contribution of pollutant emissions are listed in Table -4.

### 3.4 Vehicle Emission Standards

Emissions from motor vehicles are regulated through new vehicle standards and in-use vehicle standards. The responsibility for setting vehicle standards rests usually with the national government. Imposing tighter vehicle emission standards does not usually result in direct additional costs for the government. Costs are usually passed on completely to vehicle owners. Governments can stimulate the purchase of cleaner vehicles by giving tax credits to buyers of vehicles that produce fewer emissions than the current emission standards, as has been successfully done in some European countries.

The European Union (EU) adopted catalyst-forcing standards for new gasolinefuelled cars in the early 1990s (so called Euro 1 standards) and have gradually tightened them in several steps: Euro 2 in 1996, Euro 3 in 2000 and Euro 4 in 2005. Similar requirements were adopted for diesel cars and light and heavy commercial vehicles. In conjunction with the tightening of vehicle standards, fuel quality improvements were also mandated. In some cases, fuel modifications are necessary to allow the introduction of vehicle technologies that are required to meet the new vehicle emissions standards [1]. For example, the adoption of Euro 1 standards for gasoline vehicles requires the use of unleaded gasoline. The adoption of Euro 2 standards for diesel vehicles will require the use of diesel with sulfur levels lower than 500 parts per million (ppm). Further reductions in sulfur levels in both gasoline or petrol and diesel fuel are linked with Euro 3, 4 and for diesel trucks, Euro 5 standards (Table 1). In setting new vehicle standards, policymakers must appreciate the close linkage between vehicle standards and
the resulting technologies and fuels requirements, and must assure that the appropriate fuel quality will be available when the vehicle standards are introduced.

### 3.5 Principles for Setting New Vehicle Standard

In setting new vehicle standards, policymakers should be guided by the following principles [1]:

- Those countries where the appropriate fuel is available can leapfrog to Euro 2, Euro 3 or Euro 4 standards quickly. Depending upon the seriousness of the air pollution problem, policymakers should strongly consider jumping forward to the most stringent standards possible after assuring that the appropriate fuel quality would be available.
- The implementation of new vehicle emissions standards will be facilitated if governments announce the schedule for tightening requirements well in advance. Policymakers should formulate short-term and long-term plans for adopting vehicle and fuel standards so that the vehicle and fuel industries have sufficient time to adapt.
- As a practical matter, the technology being built into cars and trucks to comply with the US, European and Japanese standards is very similar. Therefore, allowing compliance with any of these current requirements may be an efficient approach to standards setting for new vehicles for many countries, and should be considered by policymakers.
- The development of new vehicle standards will require active dialogue between the motor and oil industries to ensure that required fuels will be available. Policymakers should encourage such a dialogue.
- As new vehicle standards are tightened, in-use vehicle standards should also be tightened and these in turn should form the basis for routine vehicle inspections.


### 3.6 Fuel Selection

Over the course of the past 30 years, pollution control experts around the world have realized that cleaner fuel is a critical component of any effective clean air strategy [12]. In recent years, this understanding has strengthened and spread to most regions of the world. Fuel quality is now seen as not only necessary to reduce or eliminate certain pollutants directly (e.g., lead), but also as a precondition for the introduction of many important pollution control technologies (e.g., lead and sulfur). Further, one critical advantage of cleaner fuels has emerged—its rapid impact on both new and existing vehicles. For example, tighter new car standards can take ten or more years to be fully effective, whereas lowering lead levels in gasoline reduces lead emissions from all vehicles immediately.

### 3.7 Principles for Setting Fuel Quality Standards

In setting fuel quality standards, policymakers should be guided by the following general principles [12]:

- Implementing a successful systems approach to setting fuel standards requires institutional mechanisms that include a variety of stakeholders from government, private sector and civil society, and allows for extensive consultation. In countries where such an institutional mechanism is not yet in place, it should be created.
- Environmental and public health concerns are the driving force behind improvements in fuel quality, thus the Environment Department should have a major role in setting fuel standards.
- Department of Environment should develop a short and medium-term strategy that identifies standards to be adopted over the next several years, so as to allow fuel and the vehicle providers sufficient time to adapt.
- The main impediment to adopting state-of-the-art new vehicle emissions technology (equivalent to Euro 3 and 4) in Asia is fuel quality, especially lead and sulfur levels in gasoline and sulfur levels in diesel. These parameters should receive the highest priority in the development of medium- and long term strategies for fuel standards. Raising the necessary capital funds is the major issue in investing in new refinery units to manufacture low-sulfur diesel fuels.
- In developing fuel standards, countries should attempt to work closely with neighbouring countries and harmonize standards where possible. This should not, however, be used as an excuse for delaying or watering down requirements, as harmonization does not mean that all countries must follow the same time schedule.
- In order to implement stricter fuel standards and make associated costs more acceptable to consumers, countries should institute more and better awareness campaigns. Such campaigns must emphasize the public health consequences of not improving fuel quality.
- Subsidies that favour fuels which produce high emissions, should be eliminated; tax policies which encourage the use of the cleanest fuels,
should be adopted. Conventional fuel improvements should clearly distinguish between primary steps and secondary steps. The former includes removing lead from gasoline, dramatically reducing sulfur levels in gasoline and diesel, and the addition of detergent additives. The latter involves reducing the Reid vapour pressure and the benzene content in gasoline.


### 3.8 Fuel Quality

3.8.1 Gasoline : The pollutants of greatest concern from gasoline-fuelled vehicles are carbon monoxide (CO), hydrocarbons (HC), nitrogen oxides (NOx), lead, and certain toxic hydrocarbons such as benzene. Each of these can be influenced by the composition of gasoline used by the vehicle. The most important characteristics of gasoline with regard to its impact on emissions are lead content, sulfur concentration, volatility and benzene level.

### 3.8.2 Properties of Gasoline :

a. Lead additives: Lead does not exist naturally in gasoline but must be added to it. Since the early 1970s, however, there has been a steady movement toward reducing lead in gasoline and increasingly, the complete elimination of lead. Approximately $85 \%$ of all gasoline sold throughout the world is now unleaded. Table-2 shows the timing of lead phase out in different markets. All modern gasoline-fuelled vehicles being produced today can operate satisfactorily on unleaded fuel, and approximately $90 \%$ of these are equipped with a catalytic converters that require the exclusive use of lead-free fuel. There is no longer any doubt that lead is toxic and prevents the use of the clean gasoline vehicle technology that can dramatically reduce $\mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{HC}$ and NOx emissions.
b. Sulphur : For cars without catalytic converters, the impact of sulfur on emissions is minimal. For catalyst-equipped cars, however, the impact on $\mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{HC}$ and Nox emissions can be substantial. Based on the Auto/Oil study [12], it appears that NOx would decline about $3 \%$ per 100-ppm sulfur reduction for a typical catalyst-equipped car. The situation is even more critical for advanced lowpollution catalyst vehicles. Operation on gasoline containing 330-ppm sulfur will increase exhaust volatile organic compound (VOC) and Nox emissions from current and future new vehicles (on average) by $40 \%$ and $150 \%$ respectively, relative to their emissions with fuel containing roughly $30-\mathrm{ppm}$ sulfur. In light of these impacts, it is not surprising that Japan has had typical gasoline sulfur levels under 30 ppm for many years. The US has adopted a 30-ppm sulfur limit and the European Union (EU) requires gasoline with a maximum sulfur content of no more than 50 ppm in 2005 when Euro 4 standards come into effect. Even more recently, the EU has proposed to limit sulfur levels to a maximum of 10 ppm . In Bangladesh, the sulphur limit is much (about 1000 ppm ) higher than the above mentioned standard.

- In order to maximize the performance of current catalyst technology, gasoline sulfur concentrations should be reduced to a maximum of 500 ppm as soon as new vehicle standards requiring catalysts are introduced.
c. Vapour Pressure: Another important fuel parameter is vapour pressure. The vapour pressure for each season must be as low as possible in order to minimize evaporation from storage terminals and vehicles, but sufficiently high to give safe cold starts. An important advantage of gasoline volatility controls is that they can affect emissions from the gasoline distribution system and vehicles already in-use.
- Gasoline vapour pressure should be reduced to a maximum of 60 kilo Pascals whenever temperatures in excess of $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ occur.
d. Other gasoline properties: According to the Auto/Oil study, "NOx emissions were lowered by reducing olefins, raised when T90 was reduced, and only marginally increased when aromatics were lowered." In general, reducing aromatics and T90, the temperature at which $90 \%$ of gasoline evaporates, caused statistically-significant reductions in exhaust mass non methane hydrocarbons (NMHC) and CO emissions. Reducing the olefins increased exhaust mass NMHC emissions, however "the ozone forming potential" of the total vehicle emissions was reduced. With regard to toxics, the reduction of aromatics from $45 \%$ to $20 \%$ caused a $42 \%$ reduction in benzene but a $23 \%$ increase in formaldehyde, a $20 \%$ increase in acetaldehyde and about a 10\% increase in 1,3-Butadiene. Reducing olefins from $20 \%$ to $5 \%$ lowered 1,3 -Butadiene by $31 \%$ but had insignificant impacts on other toxics. Lowering the T90 from 360 to $280^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ resulted in statistically significant reductions in benzene, 1,3-Butadiene (37\%), formaldehyde (27\%) and acetaldehyde (23\%).
- To the extent that the long-term vehicle emissions standards strategy is to adopt Euro 4 standards for light duty vehicles, the European gasoline standards (see Table 2) should be adopted in the same time frame.

Detergent or engine deposit control additives are critically important with modern engines and should be mandatory as well.

### 3.9 Vehicle Emission Standards For Bangladesh

The Vehicle Emission Standards (VES) deal with emissions from all kinds of petrol and diesel vehicles. California State Department of Public Health first adopted the emissions standards in 1959-60 limiting the exhaust of hydrocarbons and carbon
monoxides for petrol engine vehicles. In 1963 a standard for diesel vehicle smoke emission was also established. Revised and more stringent standards were adopted in 1970. Soon after the implementation of US vehicle emission standards, various European countries started vehicle exhaust emission control program and formulated a standard namely ECE-15 Exhaust Emission Standard in 1970 [13]. In Asia, Japan became pioneer in 1966, establishing emission standard for medium and small gasoline cars and later they covered all kinds of motor vehicles. Bangladesh acted very slowly in understanding the benefits of implementing these standards. The government notified the first emission standard under the environment conservation rules in 1997. Current Bangladesh Vehicle Emission Standard is shown in Table - 5.

### 3.10 Limitations of Bangladesh VES (1997)

The 1997 standards are incomplete, have some inherent limitations and more importantly lack strategies for proper effective implementation. For this reason, no infrastructure or systems have been developed to ensure its compliance. Some of the inherent limitations both in terms of content and application are illustrated below [14] :

- The first obvious limitation is that vehicle types are not mentioned, e.g, motorcycles, cars, buses, and trucks.
- The standard for petrol and diesel vehicles are also not mentioned. Emission characteristics of these two types of vehicles are not the same. For example, in case of petrol engines, CO and HC are the criteria pollutants, whereas for diesel engine particulate matters (PM) and nitrogen oxides $\left(\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)$ are the criteria pollutants.
- The 1997 VES lacks flexibility in terms of application, as no separate standards are considered for newly registered vehicles and the in-use vehicles.
- It is obvious that the 1997 VES is much more stringent in comparison to the emission level of the vehicles at that time. Generally vehicles in Bangladesh emit much more CO than the limit. The actual HC emissions is 10 -20 times the limit mentioned in the standard. Also pollution emission varies with make and types of vehicles.
- Generally vehicle emission standards start with lenient limits and then gradually reach the optimum level. The 1997 VES are too stringent and in some cases impracticable.
- Fuel quality significantly affects the pollution emissions from vehicles. But the present standard gives no guideline as to what should be the quality of commercial petrol or diesel fuel for better pollution emissions.
- Test procedure, inspection and enforcement program and the strategies for effective implementation are not laid down.


### 3.11 Principles For Setting New Revised VES (1997) of Bangladesh

Considering the above mentioned limitations of the present vehicle emission standards, a revised standard have been proposed, which will be implemented very shortly. In setting the new VES, following general principles were followed [14]:

- The concerned authority should set a lenient limit first, so that majority of the vehicles fall within that limit.
- The future standards should be elaborate mentioning each type of vehicle, separate standards for new and in-use vehicle and also specify the type and modality of emission inspection test.
- The standards should be enacted as a law, requiring all vehicle owners to comply with it. There may be a sticker system as a proof of fitness.
- The emission inspection and certification program should be simple, effective, totally objective and transparent.
- The responsibility for emission inspection test may be delegated to private institution under the government's effective and energetic supervision.


### 3.12 In-Service Vehicle Emission Test Program In Dhaka (Data Analysis And Results)

Air Quality Management Project (AQMP) of the Department of Environment has undertaken a study to assess emission characteristics of the current vehicle population in Dhaka and recommend appropriate in-service vehicle emission standards that can be implemented as part of an inspection and maintenance (I\&M) program. A survey to collect emission data from in-service petrol and diesel vehicles was carried out in Dhaka during June 2002 ~ January 2003 [15]. As more and more CNG auto-rickshaws are coming into the market, emissions from some of these vehicles were also measured. The idle emission test for CO and HC is being used in a large number of countries all over the world for in-service vehicle emission inspection due to its simplicity and low cost. Similarly, for the diesel vehicles, the "free/snap acceleration smoke test" is the most widely used inspection test method as there is no alternative low-cost, more efficient method available. In this program these inspection test method were employed. Emissions
measurements from petrol and CNG vehicles were carried out at 9 different locations spread over the city. Diesel smoke emissions were measured in 4 BRTC depots and 7 roadside sites. The total number of vehicles tested for each category is shown in Table - 6 .

### 3.13 Emission Data of In-Service Vehicles

The emission data were statistically analyzed for frequency and cumulative distribution. The percentages of vehicles satisfying different emission levels were estimated to provide information for establishing in-service vehicle emission standards [15].
3.13.1 Idle CO Emissions of Petrol and CNG Vehicles: The results of idle CO emission measurements for different categories of vehicles are summarized in Table - 7 .

- About $65 \%$ of the petrol cars, taxis and other light duty vehicles gave idle CO emissions of less then $3.0 \%$ and $74 \%$ vehicles less then $4.5 \%$. Post 1990 vehicles were observed to produce generally lower emissions than the older vehicles. However, the correlation between the CO emission and vehicle model year obtained through linear regression of the data was very poor (correlation coefficient, $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.105$ ).
- Motorcycles / scooters powered by both 2 and 4 -stroke engines are in use. These are high emitters of CO. Only $22 \%$ motorcycles have CO below 4.5 and $59 \%$ vehicles below $7 \%$.
- The 4 -stroke petrol auto-rickshaws again are high emitters of CO as only about $38 \%$ gave CO below $4.5 \%$ and $54 \%$ below $7 \%$. As much as onethird of these vehicles, had CO more than $9 \%$.
- The CNG powered auto-rickshaws are very low emitters of CO. $90 \%$ of the vehicles tested had less than $1.0 \%$. However, some of these vehicles were operating on petrol when tested and were found to be very high emitters of CO (average 6.7\%).
3.13.2 Idle HC Emissions of Petrol and CNG Vehicles: The results of idle HC emission measurements for different categories of vehicles are summarized in the Table -8.
- About $75 \%$ cars / taxis and light duty vehicles had idle HC emissions of 600 ppm and more than $93 \%$ vehicles, 1200 ppm.
- There was very poor correlation between the vehicles model year and idle HC emissions, the correlation coefficient $R^{2}$ being only 0.04 .
- Motorcycles with 4-stroke engines as expected had lower emissions than the 2-stroke motorcycles. More than half (53\%) of the 2-stroke motorcycles have HC above $12,000 \mathrm{ppm}$.

However, 4-stroke motorcycles are also observed to be high emitters of HC when compared to cars. Only $39 \%$ of 4 -stroke motorcycles are observed to have HC emissions below 1200 ppm, and 59\% below 3000 ppm.

- 4-stroke engine petrol auto-rickshaws also gave significantly higher idle HC compared to cars. About $66 \%$ of these had HC more than 1200 ppm and $33 \%$ more than 3000 ppm .
- CNG operated three wheelers, as expected, gave quite low HC emissions, $88 \%$ falling below 600 ppm and almost all below 1200 ppm . From these vehicles most HC would be methane and therefore idle HC standards for these vehicles may not be necessary.


### 3.13.3 Combined Analysis of Idle CO and HC Emissions of Petrol and CNG

Vehicles: The three categories of petrol / CNG vehicles (cars and light duty, auto-rickshaws and motorcycles ) have significantly different emissions. In particular, auto-rickshaws and motorcycles have been found to have higher emissions than cars and light duty vehicles. Therefore, separate inspection standards are recommended for each category. Furthermore, 2-stroke motorcycles generate far greater levels of HC emissions than 4-stroke motorcycles. Therefore separate standards for 2-stroke and 4-stroke motorcycles are recommended. Both CO and HC standards must be satisfied for a vehicle to pass the inspection test. As stated, the standards are to be determined based on the collected data so that only the gross polluters are targeted. Therefore, the percentage of vehicles passing various levels of both CO and HC emissions has been calculated. The results are presented in Table-9, 10 and 11.

### 3.14 Proposed In-Service Inspection Standard

As stated previously, the objective of the emission measurement program was to establish realistic and appropriate in-service vehicle emission standards for the Dhaka vehicle fleet. Initially cut-points were to be calculated for setting standards so that only approximately $20-30 \%$ of vehicles would fail [15]. However, measurements have shown that a large proportion of vehicles, particularly motorcycles, auto-rickshaws, trucks and light and medium duty diesel vehicles are gross polluters. Therefore, consistent with vehicular emission control objectives, standards for these vehicle categories have been recommended that will result in a higher failure rate.

It may be noted here that enforcement of these standards through periodic inspection will allow vehicle operators adequate time and opportunity to carry out
necessary repairs to the gross polluting vehicles before submitting for inspection. During roadside inspection, a limited number of vehicles are to be tested every day and therefore the number of vehicles that fail roadside inspection each day is unlikely to generate adverse public reaction. Furthermore, this I\&M program will be supplemented by public awareness and education campaigns to make vehicle operators aware of the program so that they can take appropriate action. The proposed standards are presented in Table-12.

### 3.15 Inspection, maintenance and other strategies to reduce emissions from in-use vehicles

Combustion-powered vehicles naturally tend to deteriorate with age and usage, and as a result, emission levels can rise significantly. Good maintenance is required to keep emissions levels at or near design levels. Such maintenance is not always performed or performed properly. Targeted inspection and maintenance (I\&M) programs, however, can identify problem vehicles and assure their repair, thereby contributing substantially to lower emissions and improved air quality. So as not to overwhelm the service sector or create a strong political backlash, I\&M stringency should be gradually phased in so that initially only the worst $15 \%$ to $20 \%$ of the vehicle fleet fails with periodic tightening of the in-use standards as the service industry and maintenance practices adapt. Centralized I\&M systems (sometimes called "test only" systems) where the inspection function is separated from the maintenance function have consistently been found to be much more effective than decentralized systems, where inspections and repairs are combined. It is very difficult to supervise and audit test and repair systems and to prevent corruption and poor quality control. Policymakers must resist adoption
of programs that combine testing with repair and that are very unlikely to achieve significant emissions reductions [16].

The shift towards a "loaded test" rather than the "idle test" currently used in most I\&M systems in Asia will require new, additional test equipment including chassis dynamometers. The costs of such equipment will make it difficult for small-scale workshops to take part in the implementation of an I\&M program, which is another reason for considering a centralized system. Experience from across the world has demonstrated that while governments should regulate $I \& M$ programs, the actual implementation of I\&M programs is best carried out by the private sector, provided that there is competition in the market. Policymakers should assure an open and transparent bidding process. An adequate fee structure should be developed in which the affected vehicle owners pay the full costs of the I\&M program including the costs of auditing and overseeing the private sector-run program by government or private auditors, and that will still allow private sector operators to make a sufficient profit to maintain, replace and upgrade equipment as required. Where multiple ministries (e.g., Environment, Police, Transport) or different levels of government (e.g., national and local) are involved in the I\&M program, special care must be taken to assure that there is a full dialogue with all appropriate ministries or departments at the early stages of program design and that full agreement is worked out regarding specific roles and responsibilities. I\&M programs typically also include testing for roadworthiness and safety. Departments and organizations responsible for this part of the I\&M program need to be fully involved in the discussions on design and functioning of the I\&M program. To strengthen the chances for success of I\&M programs there must be a well-thought out public awareness program that explains the public health need
for the program, the potential benefits and how the program works. A careful and thorough dialogue among all relevant stakeholders including providers, regulators, enforcers/police, vehicle manufacturers, the driving public and media must be facilitated at the earliest stages of program development and subsequently maintained throughout implementation. This needs to be coupled with an effective enforcement mechanism to assure motorist participation in the program. In countries where motor vehicle registration requirements are routinely and effectively enforced, registration-based I\&M enforcement systems have been very effective. Quality assurance including covert and overt auditing and quality control should be properly planned and implemented. This will help to prevent, root out and penalize any corruption that has negatively impacted several I\&M systems in Asia. Roadside testing can complement a more comprehensive motor vehicle inspection system but not replace it. Policymakers should ensure that roadside testing is designed as a complement to but not an alternative to testing in fixed stations. The roadside testing should primarily have the function of identifying gross polluting vehicles.

Some cities and countries have started, or are considering, using remote sensing devices to identify gross polluting vehicles. So far the effectiveness of such equipment in the Asian context has not been well-established. The quality and readability of number plates is often weak in Asian countries and only few countries in Asia have reliable computerized databases, which will make it possible to summon gross polluting vehicles.

In the Philippines, an innovative program was started in which mobile phones are used to identify gross polluting vehicles. The initial experience has been that on average in the first two months, 1,000 vehicles are reported on a daily basis.

Experience has demonstrated that it is important to have the required capacity in place to follow-up on initiatives that actively involve the public. While a great deal of attention has been paid to the "I" in I\&M, it is the " $M$ " that actually reduces emissions. Any I\&M program needs to be accompanied by a program aimed at the maintenance and repair sector. I\&M programs if operated properly will identify vehicles that do not comply with in-use emissions standards. Very often the quality of repairs is weak and needs attention. Careful attention must be paid to assuring that the service industry has sufficient lead time to properly equip itself to repair vehicles properly that are found to be not in compliance with tighter emissions standards. In addition, adequate training must be made available so that the mechanics and technicians are sufficiently skilled. As vehicles become more sophisticated the need for the service industry to retool and retrain becomes more important. Policymakers need to consider how to develop and implement effective programs for certification of workshops, technicians and spare parts. This will require an institutionalized dialogue with the auto and repair industry. In certain cases it will be not be feasible to reduce pollution through the introduction of tighter emissions standards and the only solution will be to scrap the vehicle, ban its entry in heavily polluted areas, or change the fuel type

## CHAPTER - FOUR

## TRAFFIC CONGESTION IN DHAKA CITY

### 4.1 Introduction

Mega city Dhaka, with about 10 million people encounters with innumerable constrains. The traffic congestion is one of the core problems in Dhaka city. The continuing expansion of traffic volume without any improvement of the road network system has made the traffic movement into a complex one. Probably Dhaka is one of the peculiar cities in the world where heterogeneous characteristics of traffic stream comprising slow speed and very high speed vehicles are observed on the same lane of the road. The evaluation of Dhaka's traffic system envisages that there are still many areas of improvement including road infrastructure, traffic management, public transportation, pedestrian, traffic safety and institutional development.

### 4.2 Present State of Dhaka City

The total number of population in Dhaka city is about 10 million. In 1974, this was 2.25 million, in 1981 it was 3.43 million recording an annual growth rate of $7.5 \%$ approximately. Available vehicles in Dhaka city are buses, trucks, rickshaws, pushcart, motorcycles, van, minibuses, taxis, auto rickshaws, bicycles etc. In most of the roads the main problems is the movement of rickshaws which results in traffic hazards.

### 4.2.1 Population State in Dhaka

The gradual growth of population in Dhaka city stated as [17];

- In 1951 the number was -- 0.336 million

■ In 1961 the number was --- 0.540 "
■ In 1974 the number was -- 1.68 "
■ In 1981 the number was --- 3.46 "
■ In 1984 the number was --- 4.20 "
■ In 1996 the number was --- 8.80 "
■ In 2000 the number was -- 10.00 "

### 4.2.2 Vehicle State

The year wise vehicles registered in the whole country and in Dhaka city are shown in the Table - 14 and 15 [18].

### 4.2.3 Road Network

Dhaka city has a very inadequate road network, which is only 8-10\% of total city area, whereas acceptable ratio is $25 \%$. Greater Dhaka has a total road network of approximately 2230 km of which $25 \%$ are primary roads. The width of the roads varies from $6-40 \mathrm{~m}$. The main roads are $15-25 \mathrm{~m}$ wide. Newly built roads are 40 m wide, while the roads in old Dhaka are even less than 6 m wide.
4.2.4 Road and Transport Availability : Comparison of road and road transportation in selected Asian cities [19] are shown in the Table - 16.
4.2.5 Vehicular Traffic Demand on Roads : Traffic congestion in Dhaka city is caused by high share of non-motorized vehicles, high share of auto rickshaws and the low share of road for bus. The road vehicular traffic composition on roads are shown in Table - 18 [20].

### 4.3 Reasons of Traffic Congestion in Dhaka City :

It is very hard to tell about the causes of congestion in Dhaka city as it does not follow any pattern. It is a common practice in Dhaka to blame rickshaws as the only reason for creating traffic congestion. But in reality there are several reasons behind this problem.
a Significant Increase in Population and Vehicles: All the major export oriented industries, corporate offices, significant number of Export Promotion Zones, head offices of almost all multinational companies, higher educational facilities and even the major international airport of the country, are located in and around greater Dhaka city.

Thus the city controls the economic development of the whole country. For these reasons, most of the rural-urban migration of Bangladesh is towards Dhaka city and it constitutes about 60\% of Dhaka's increasing population. Dhaka, at present, is one of the most populous cities in the world. At present, greater Dhaka has about 10 million people. The city's urbanization rate is one of the highest in the world and it is projected that by the year of 2010, Dhaka will be the sixth largest city of the world with a population of 18 million. The increasing population obviously increases the demand for more vehicles on the streets. Also they can easily provide the necessary labour force, especially,
for the informal sector. The more the traffic, the more will be the congestion, as the city has only a very limited infrastructure.

- Simultaneous Presence of Motorized and Non-motorized Vehicles on the Same Lane: In Dhaka city both motorized and non-motorized vehicles occupy the same streets at the same time. Their speeds are different, therefore almost all the time traffic congestion exists on the roads. Most of the rickshaw pullers, do not have any training and they are not even aware of the traffic rules.
- Traffic Mismanagement: Insufficient number of traffic police and traffic signals, flaws in traffic markings, violation of traffic rules and regulations etc can also be cited as some of the main reasons for traffic congestion in Dhaka city.
- Improper Implementation of Traffic Rules: People usually do not want to follow the traffic rules, as there is no proper implementation of these rules. Even though traffic police is usually present at every nodes of intersections, they do not do their duties properly. Traffic rules are also very flexible.
- Encroachment of Roads and Sidewalks: Street vendors, hawkers and street front shop owners occupy about $60 \%$ of the 163 km footpaths of Dhaka city. The sidewalks are also filled up by construction materials, garbage or even temporary houses of homeless people. Very often pedestrians are forced to walk on the main roads instead of using the sidewalk because of these reasons.


## CHAPTER - FIVE

## METHODOLOGY OF THE PRESENT WORK AND EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

### 5.1. Introduction

Traffic congestion always poses negative affect upon the society. It poses severe threat to economy as well as to the environment. In 1997, the annual economic wastage caused by traffic congestion was approximated at US $\$ 75$ million. Since Dhaka has the largest share of the total vehicle of the entire country, congestion becomes a usual phenomenon in Dhaka. Numerous intersections add to the severity of congestions. About 20 congested intersections of Dhaka city were responsible for $75 \%$ of the total vehicle delays in 1997 [21].

This study determines the actual fuel consumption and emissions by different types of octane/petrol driven vehicles. The vehicles are driven on three preselected routes and actual consumption and emissions are measured. Three routes are selected considering the types of flow, main entry to commercial district, number of intersections, connection with commercial and business areas, number of rail crossings etc.

### 5.2 Methodology

There are several methods to measure the tailpipe emissions of carbon monoxide (CO), carbon dioxide $\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)$ and hydrocarbon ( HC ). The easiest and familiar
method is 'the On-board measurement system', but this system is very expensive and also not available in our country. For collection of on-road data of vehicle emissions and to get the real-world result, an alternative method has been followed in this study. The description of the instrument used for the alternative method is given below.
5.2.1 Crypton CMT (CUDOS Modular Trolley ): The Crypton CMT is the latest development in the CUDOS garage equipment range. As the name implies it is a highly modular product that can be used in any combination of engine analyzer, system tester, emission analyzer, wheel aligner and it can also be used as a technical terminal for the display of advanced CD-ROM based vehicle information, parts catalogues and workshop control functions. A range of external measurement modules and software application programmes enable the powerful computer to be personalized for particular applications. The modules are connected to the host computer via high speed RS232 communication links. The computer is a specially selected PC compatible unit built to meet the demands of the workshop environment, without compromising the ability to accept the full range of PC compatible accessories.

The engine test application programme gives the Crypton computer system the personality of an advanced computer engine analyzer. The engine test programme and other programs are permanently stored on the hard disk, thus removing the need to insert and remove different disks. Flexibility of operation is the keynote, coupled with the ability to display a wealth of engine information either by component-dedicated readings or digitised waveforms. A range of setting and repair petrol vehicle data disks (SRDs) provide all the data required to enable the application software to make accurate diagnoses. An engine analyzer
module (EAM) forms a major part of the applications kit. The EAM is a remotely mounted measurement module which processes the engine signals and enables connection to the engine under test. Processes information is sent by serial link to the computer, when requested by the host software, which further manipulates this information to provide the test display and diagnosis information on the VDU.

### 5.2.2 Emission Analyser 290 EN2

This is one of the components of Crypton CMT- 2100. This HI-spec analyser is a fully microprocessor controlled exhaust gas analyzer employing non-dispersive infra-red (NDIR) techniques. The unit measures $\mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and HC. By providing further channel employing electro- chemical measurement of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$. Zero and gas calibration may be commanded at any time by the operator, and automatically executed by the analyzer. An automatic auto zero check is performed every 30 minutes when the analyzer is switched on.


Figure-5.1 Crypton CMT Emission analyzer 290 EN2

### 5.3 Connections to vehicle

5.3.1 Sample probe: Sample probe are inserted into the vehicle exhaust noting that it is not necessary to insert the whole length of the flexible section. Care must be taken not to allow the flexible steel probe end to be kinked. An adjustable clip is used to secure the probe to the tail pipe. Care must also be taken not to force the probe into the exhaust. Some vehicles have very short tail pipe sections and it is possible to damage the probe and the exhaust itself. Care should be taken to remove the probe from the exhaust at the end of the test. The sample pipe should not be rolled up whilst it is still connected to the analyzer as excessive amount of water may
 enter the filter unit.

Figure-5.2 Sampling probe routed from vehicle tailpipe into vehicle.
5.3.2 Oil Temperature Probe: After removing the dipstick from the engine, oil level should be checked. Compare the temperature probe to the dipstick and adjust the rubber bung so that the length of probe inserted into the engine is 10 15 mm shorter than that of the dipstick. There must, therefore, be sufficient oil to reach the probe. The probe should be inserted into the engine via the dipstick hole. The oil temperature will be displayed on the host equipment during carburator/injection test modes in steps of one degree.


Figure-5.3 Connection of different data cables of analyzer 290 EN2 with the vehicle.
5.3.3 Tachometer Probe: The digital tachometer is inbuilt with the equipment.

The tachometer probe is attached to one of the HT leads, taking care to ensure that the lead is kept clear of all moving and excessively hot ( e.g. exhaust manifold ) parts in the engine. The engine speed will be displayed on the reading screens.
5.3.4 Obtaining Correct Measurement Results: To ensure that the exhaust gas results are correct, it is vital that the following points are noted while performing the test.
a. Before testing a vehicle ensure that :

- The engine oil is up to normal operating temperature.
- There are no leaks in the exhaust system.
- The CMT-290 is set for the correct fuel type for the vehicle under test, this ensures that the lambda calculation is accurate.
- The exhaust sample probe is fully inserted.
- The CMT-290 is set for the vehicle ignition system so that engine speed is correctly displayed, and check that the engine is running at the required speed.
b. The CMT-290 will automatically perform an auto zero whilst warming up, and at intervals during use. Any internal errors will also be indicated and halted.
c. The analyzer will display error message if faults are detected. These error messages fall into two categories ;
- Messages such as 'failed leak test' and 'poor gas flow' can normally be fixed by the operator.
- Error message that indicate an internal fault can only be fixed by an authorized service agent. The screen will explain the particular error.
d. The measured results are presented on the screen and do not normally need any interpretation. Provided that the correct fuel type is selected, the lambda calculation will be true. The engine should be run in a stable state
for at least 20 seconds to allow gas readings to stabilize before results are recorded or printed.
e. Specific usage conditions are as follows ;
- Main supply voltage 100 to 250 volts, $50 / 60 \mathrm{~Hz}$
- Ambient temperature range $\quad+5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $+40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
- CMT-290 should not be used in rain or snow.
f. The CMT-290 can only be used with defined add on accessories if it is to be used for legislative exhaust gas emission tests. These include the oil temperature probe, the rpm pick-up and a printer.


### 5.4 Technical Specifications

The technical specifications of the Crypton CMT -2100 Emission Analyzer EN2 is given in Appendix -B [22].

### 5.5 Selection of Routes

For the present study, three routes have been selected at the center of Dhaka city, considering the types of traffic flows, length of routes, number of intersections, the incidence of buildups along the route, location of business centers beside the routes, number of rail crossings, location of commercial areas etc have been taken into account. Route no.-1 (Jahangir gate-Farm gateShahbagh) is considered as the VIP road where only motorized vehicles are allowed to flow. The number of intersections on this route is five and distance is 4.3 km. Route no.-2 (Jahangirgate-Mohakhali-Moghbazar-Malibagh-Kakrail-Bijoynagor-Palton-Dainikbagla-Shapla chattor) flows through the main commercial area of Dhaka city, three major business centers are located besides this route.

Both motorized and non-motorized vehicles are allowed to ply on this route. The distance of this route is 9.2 km . Route no-3 (Shahbagh-Seraton-Mintu Road-Moghbazar-Mouchak-Rampurabazar-TV Bhaban) flows through the residential areas as well as three major business centers are located besides this route. The distance of this route is 5.8 km . Along the 3.5 km stretch of this route starting from TV Bhaban, non-motorized vehicles are allowed to ply on the roads while the rest is for motorized vehicles only. The details of three routes are given in Table 5.1 and also Figures 5.4 and 5.5.

Table-5.1 Details of three routes at the center of Dhaka city.

|  | Route No. 1 | Route No. 2 | Route No. 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Route | Jahangir <br> Gate- <br> Farm Gate- <br> Shahbagh | Jahangir Gate- <br> Mohakhali- <br> Moghbazar-Malibagh- <br> Kakrail- <br> Bijoynagar-Palton- <br> Dainik Bangla-Shapla <br> chattor | Shabagh-Seraton- <br> Minturoad- <br> Moghbazar- <br> Mouchak-Rampura <br> TV Bhaban |
| Length (km) | 4.3 | 9.2 | 5.8 |
| Number of <br> Intersection | 5 | 11 | 5 |
| Types of <br> Flows | Only <br> motorized <br> Vehicles | All types (Motorized <br> and Non-motorized <br> vehicle) | Mixed (some portion <br> only motorized) |




| R | Intersections |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathrm{U} \\ & \mathrm{~T} \\ & \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| 1 | Bijoy Swaranee | Farmgate | SAARC fountain | Banglamotor | Seraton | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2 | Mohaghali | Gulsion Crossing | Shatrashta | Mogh bazar | Mouchak | Malibagh | Santi nagar | Kakrail | Bijoy nagor | Palton | Shapla Chattor |
| 3 | Sheraton | Mogh- <br> Bazar | Mouchak | RailCrossing | RampuraBazar | $\stackrel{ }{-}$ | - | - | - | - | - |

Figure-5.4 Selected different routes for experiment


Figure- 5.5 Central Dhaka city map.

### 5.6 Tested Vehicles

A total six of vehicles of different models (EFI and carburetor operated engine) under different engine conditions have been tested for the three specified routes. The vehicle details are shown in Table -5.2 below.

Table -5.2 Details of the tested vehicles.

| SI/No | Tested Vehicle | Fuel | CC-Class | Mileage (km) |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | Vehicle no.-1 | Octane <br> (EFI engine) | 1.3 i (KAT) | 55,342 |
| 2 | Vehicle no.-2 | Octane <br> (EFI engine) | 1.5 (AT) | $1,24,517$ |
| 3 | Vehicle no.-3 | Octane <br> (Carburetor <br> engine) | 1.3 (AT) | $1,23,036$ |
| 4 | Vehicle no.-4 | Octane <br> (Carburetor <br> engine) | 1.6 B (KAT) | 93,233 |
| 5 | Vehicle no.-5 | Octane <br> (Carburetor <br> engine) | 1.3 (AT) | 85,764 |
| 6 | Vehicle no.-6 | Octane <br> (EFI engine) | 1.5 (AT) | 37,658 |

5.7 Travel Times : The selected vehicles have been driven during various traffic conditions; viz; morning peak hour (0830 hrs - 0930 hrs ), evening peak hour (1630 hrs - 1730 hrs ) and off- peak situation at noon (1230 hrs - 1330 hrs ).

### 5.8 Experimental Procedure

The experiments are carried out in the following sequence :
5.8.1 Driving Vehicles: Out of the six vehicles, three of them have been driven both the way on each route at least three times for each travel times (morning, noon and evening). Continuous monitoring of speed of the vehicles for every 5 $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{hr}$ interval have been monitored and recorded manually. The data for these vehicles for each route at each travel time, the stoppage time and moving time of the vehicle at different speed interval have been calculated. These average timing is considered for all six tested vehicles, as illustrated in Table 19A.
5.8.2 Stop Time at Intersections: Driving characteristics shows that almost half of the total travel time of the vehicles were in idle speed at the intersections. The average stop time of the vehicles at different routes are shown in tables below.

Table-5.3 Average stop time at different intersections of the tested vehicles for route no-1.

| SI/No | Intersection | Stop Time (sec) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Morning | Noon | Evening |
| 1 |  | 90 | 30 | 80 |
| 2 | Farm Gate | 30 | 15 | 30 |
| 3 | SAARC Fountain | 390 | 120 | 320 |
| 4 | Bangla Motor | 75 | 30 | 65 |
| 5 | Seraton | $\mathbf{2 5 5}$ | 90 | 240 |
| 6 | Total Stopped Time | $\mathbf{8 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 3 5}$ |

Table -5.4 Average stop time at different intersections of the tested vehicles of route no- 2 .

| SI/no | Intersections | Stop Time (sec) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Morning <br> (0900 hrs) | Noon <br> (1245 hrs) | Evening <br> (1645 hrs) |
| 1 | Mohakhali | 420 | 65 | 480 |
| 2 | Gulshan Crossing | 260 | 60 | 345 |
| 3 | Shatrashta | 180 | 30 | 120 |
| 4 | Moghbazar | 620 | 240 | 580 |
| 5 | Mouchak | 1320 | 580 | 1370 |
| 6 | Malibagh | 480 | 120 | 240 |
| 7 | Santinagor | 300 | 65 | 265 |
| 8 | Kakrail | 210 | 30 | 180 |
| 9 | Bijoy Nagor | 180 | 45 | 150 |
| 10 | Palton | 480 | 180 | 420 |
| 11 | Dainik Bangla | 300 | 120 | 320 |
|  | Total Stopped <br> Time | $\mathbf{4 7 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 3 5}$ | 4470 |

Table - 5.5 Average stop time at different intersections of the tested vehicles for route no- 3.

| SI/no | Intersection | Stop Time (sec) |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Morning | Noon | Evening |
| 1 | Sheraton | 120 | 90 | 160 |
| 2 | Moghbazar | 240 | 120 | 210 |
| 3 | Mouchak | 1280 | 460 | 1340 |
| 4 | Mouchak Rail crossing | 210 | 90 | 240 |
| 5 | Rampurabazar | 260 | 80 | 210 |
|  | Total Stopped Time | $\mathbf{2 1 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 6 0}$ |

Comparison between total travel time and stop time at different intersections of three routes at different traffic conditions are shown in figures below.




Figure 5.6 Comparison between total travel time and stop time of different routes at different intersection.
5.8.3 Recording of characteristic data: The exhaust gas of the different types of vehicles, those were driven on the three different routes at three different traffic conditions, are investigated by the Crypton CMT Emission Analyzer EN2. The investigations/measurements were carried out by simulating the speed as those vehicles were run on the three specified routes. The characteristics data for six tested vehicles driven on the three specified routes at three different traffic times are shown in Appendix- C .

## CHAPTER - SIX

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

### 6.1 Introduction

A total six of vehicles of different models of different engine conditions were driven on the three specified routes of Dhaka city. Emissions from all these vehicles were determined/simulated by using an exhaust gas analyzer 'Crypton CMT Emission Analyzer 290 EN2' and fuel consumptions were measured by an indirect method. The results obtained from these studies are discussed in the following sections.

### 6.2 Measurement of Fuel Consumptions

According to the engine cylinder volume, the six tested vehicles are categorized into three groups. These are Cat-A (1331 cc), Cat-B (1551cc) and Cat-C (1621cc). One vehicle from each category was taken for measurement of fuel consumption in each route at three different traffic conditions. These vehicles were driven both the ways on each route at different traffic conditions at least three times. The total fuel consumption on each route at three different traffic conditions for both the ways was measured. The difference of consumed fuel measured for various categories of vehicles was not very significant, therefore the average fuel consumption to travel the different routes for all three categories of vehicles are considered same for all the tested vehicles. The total fuel consumptions by the three different category of vehicles are shown in Table 6.1.

Table-6.1 Average fuel consumptions of the tested vehicles to travel different routes at different traffic conditions.

| Routes | Distance <br> $(\mathrm{km})$ | Consumptions of fuel (litre) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Morning peak <br> $(0900 \mathrm{hrs})$ | Noon normal <br> $(1245 \mathrm{hrs})$ | Evening peak <br> $(1645 \mathrm{hrs})$ |
| Route -1 | 4.3 | 0.708 | 0.338 | 0.632 |
| Route -2 | 9.2 | 2.638 | 1.252 | 2.580 |
| Route -3 | 5.8 | 1.312 | 0.724 | 1.256 |

The results show that the fuel consumption for route-1 at three traffic conditions (morning peak, evening peak and noon off-peak) are $0.708,0.632$ and 0.338 litres respectively. That for route-2 and route-3 are $2.638,2.580,1.252$ and 1.312, $1.256,0.724$ litres respectively. The difference of fuel consumption in percentage (\%) between morning and evening peak with noon off-peak for route-1 are 52.25\% and $46.5 \%$. For route-2 are $42.19 \%$ and $44.81 \%$ and for route-3 are $44.81 \%$ and 42.35\% respectively.

Fuel economy, in kilometer per litre for the tested vehicles at different routes on different traffic conditions is shown in Figure below. It shows that in each three routes the fuel economy at peak hour is well below the usual consumption.


Figure 6.1 Average Fuel economy (km/l) of the tested vehicles on different routes at different traffic condition.

Normally, the fuel consumption (in litres/hr) at $15-20 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ is more than that at 35 $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{hr}$. Even, if we assume that the fuel consumption is almost the same at 35 $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{hr}$ and at a speed less than that, a car on average runs twice as much fuel during the noon-normal hour and more than four times as much fuel during the morning and evening peak hours.

Likewise, emissions from cars are also doubled or quadrupled during off-peak and peak hours respectively.

### 6.3 Measurement of Travel Times

To get the total time required to travel three routes at different traffic conditions (morning and evening peak and noon off-peak), the tested vehicles have been driven both the way on each routes at least three times for each traffic conditions. Speed of the vehicles with respect to time for $5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ interval have been continuously monitored and recorded manually. Measured travel time at different speed for different routes are shown in Table 19A. The time frequency at different speed for three routes at different traffic conditions are shown in Figures 6.2, 6.3 and 6.4.


Figure 6.2 Travel time measured at different speed for route no -1.


Figure 6.3 Travel time measured at different speed for route no -2.


Figure 6.4 Travel time measured at different speed for route no -3.

### 6.4 Speed of the vehicles

The measured and calculated average speed of the tested vehicles on different routes at different traffic conditions are shown in Table - 6.2 and Figure 6.5.

Table-6.2 Average speed of the tested vehicles on different routes at different traffic conditions.

| Routes | Distance <br> $(\mathrm{km})$ | Time required travel the <br> distance (secs) |  |  | Average Speed of the <br> vehicles (km/hr) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Noon <br> normal | Evening <br> peak | Morning <br> peak | Noon <br> normal | Evening <br> peak |  |
| 1 | 4.3 | 1770 | 845 | 1580 | 8.75 | 18.31 | 9.80 |
| 2 | 9.2 | 6595 | 3130 | 6450 | 5.02 | 17.28 | 5.13 |
| 3 | 5.8 | 3280 | 1810 | 3140 | 6.36 | 11.54 | 6.65 |



Figure 6.5 Average speed of the tested vehicles for different time on different routes.

The engine speed was recorded online for each test run by an on-board data acquisition system. These data were averaged over 30 seconds time period. Thus, the road speed of the vehicle was simulated from the measured engine rpm while taking emission data by the analyzer.

Table 6.2 and Figure 6.5 show that the average speed of the tested vehicles for route-1 at different traffic conditions (morning peak, noon off-peak and evening peak) are $8.75 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}, 18.31 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}, 9.8 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$; that for route-2 are $5.02 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}, 17.28$ $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{hr}, 5.134 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ and for route-3 are $6.36 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}, 11.54 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ and $6.65 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ respectively. The average speed of the vehicles in urban area is usually considered to be $35 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ [21]. The result thus shows the severity of traffic congestion in Dhaka city.

Meenar [21] shows that each lane of main roads in Dhaka city can bear a maximum traffic volume of 900 vehicles $/ \mathrm{hr}$, but traffic volume at Mouchak intersection is on the average 2749 vehicles/hr, at Kakrail 3898 vehicles/hr and at Khilgoan 1923 vehicles/hr [10]. These data clearly indicates the over congestion of traffic volume in Dhaka city, therefore the average speed of the vehicles are found far below than that of the normal speed.

### 6.5 Investigation of Exhaust Gas Emissions

Although the exhaust gas pollutant contains $\mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{SO}_{2}$ and unburned HC this investigation is concentrated to identify quantitatively the percentage of CO and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and ppm of HC level of exhaust gas from gasoline engine vehicles. The analyzer used for this study did not have any facilities for measuring $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$, and $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$. Moreover, in a gasoline engine, the percentage of NOx and $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ is limited. In fact, a diesel engine vehicle emits $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ remarkably more than a gasoline engine
due to high temperature inside combustion chamber caused by high compression ratio. The components $\mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and HC contribute much to atmospheric pollution. The measured/investigated characteristics data of two vehicles (each from EFI and Carburetor operated engine) for route no-1 at different traffic conditions are shown in Tables -6.3 to 6.8

Table - 6.3 Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. -1 (EFI engine) driven on route no. -1 at morning peak (0900 hrs)

| Vehicle <br> speed <br> $(\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Engine <br> Speed <br> $(\mathrm{rpm})$ | Driving <br> Time <br> $(\mathrm{sec})$ | CO <br> $(\%)$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ <br> $(\%)$ | HC <br> $(\mathrm{ppm})$ | AFR | Fuel <br> Cons. <br> $(\mathrm{ltr})$ | Fuel <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | Air <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | AF <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | CO <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ | HC <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 713 | 840 | 0.19 | 12.3 | 387 | 15.1 | 0.336 | 0.0227 | 0.34399 | 3666.8 | 0.697 | 45.113 | 0.142 |
| $0-5$ | 907 | 230 | 0.19 | 12.3 | 413 | 14.6 | 0.092 | 0.0062 | 0.09106 | 97.3 | 0.185 | 11.969 | 0.040 |
| $5-10$ | 1087 | 160 | 0.67 | 12.4 | 382 | 14.4 | 0.064 | 0.0043 | 0.06248 | 66.8 | 0.448 | 8.286 | 0.026 |
| $10-15$ | 1378 | 80 | 0.41 | 12.6 | 285 | 14.6 | 0.032 | 0.0021 | 0.03167 | 33.8 | 0.139 | 4.265 | 0.010 |
| $15-20$ | 1554 | 100 | 0.39 | 12.9 | 253 | 14.6 | 0.040 | 0.0027 | 0.03959 | 42.3 | 0.165 | 5.458 | 0.011 |
| $20-25$ | 1842 | 115 | 0.59 | 13.0 | 252 | 14.7 | 0.046 | 0.0031 | 0.04584 | 49.0 | 0.289 | 6.365 | 0.012 |
| $25-30$ | 2029 | 50 | 0.45 | 13.1 | 315 | 14.5 | 0.020 | 0.0013 | 0.01966 | 21.0 | 0.095 | 2.753 | 0.007 |
| $30-35$ | 2289 | 65 | 0.35 | 12.9 | 332 | 14.4 | 0.026 | 0.0017 | 0.02538 | 27.1 | 0.095 | 3.502 | 0.009 |
| $35-40$ | 2429 | 80 | 0.34 | 12.8 | 325 | 14.2 | 0.032 | 0.0021 | 0.03080 | 33.0 | 0.112 | 4.221 | 0.011 |
| $40-45$ | 2643 | 50 | 0.35 | 12.8 | 282 | 13.9 | 0.020 | 0.0013 | 0.01884 | 20.2 | 0.070 | 2.586 | 0.006 |
|  |  | 1770 |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0 . 7 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 4 8 0}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{2 . 2 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 4 . 5 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 7 2}$ |

Table- 6.4 Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. -1 (EFI engine)
driven on route no. -1 at noon off-peak hour (1245 hrs)

| Vehicle <br> speed <br> $(\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Engine <br> Speed <br> $(\mathrm{rpm})$ | Driving <br> Time <br> $(\mathrm{sec})$ | CO <br> $(\%)$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ <br> $(\%)$ | HC <br> $(\mathrm{ppm})$ | AFR | Fuel <br> Cons. <br> $(\mathrm{ltr})$ | Fuel <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | Air <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | AF <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | CO <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ | HC <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 713 | 285 | 0.19 | 12.3 | 387 | 15.1 | 0.114 | 0.0087 | 0.11671 | 124.4 | 0.236 | 15.306 | 0.048 |
| $0-5$ | 907 | 15 | 0.19 | 12.3 | 413 | 14.6 | 0.006 | 0.0004 | 0.00593 | 6.3 | 0.012 | 0.781 | 0.003 |
| $5-10$ | 1087 | 50 | 0.67 | 12.4 | 382 | 14.4 | 0.02 | 0.0014 | 0.01952 | 20.9 | 0.140 | 2.589 | 0.008 |
| $10-15$ | 1378 | 85 | 0.41 | 12.6 | 285 | 14.6 | 0.034 | 0.0023 | 0.03365 | 36.0 | 0.147 | 4.531 | 0.010 |
| $15-20$ | 1554 | 120 | 0.39 | 12.9 | 253 | 14.6 | 0.048 | 0.0033 | 0.04751 | 50.8 | 0.198 | 6.549 | 0.013 |
| $20-25$ | 1842 | 35 | 0.59 | 13.0 | 252 | 14.7 | 0.014 | 0.0009 | 0.01395 | 14.9 | 0.088 | 1.937 | 0.004 |
| $25-30$ | 2029 | 50 | 0.45 | 13.1 | 315 | 14.5 | 0.02 | 0.0014 | 0.01966 | 21.0 | 0.095 | 2.753 | 0.007 |
| $30-35$ | 2289 | 30 | 0.35 | 12.9 | 332 | 14.4 | 0.012 | 0.0008 | 0.01171 | 12.5 | 0.044 | 1.616 | 0.004 |
| $35-40$ | 2429 | 40 | 0.34 | 12.8 | 325 | 14.2 | 0.016 | 0.0011 | 0.01540 | 16.5 | 0.056 | 2.111 | 0.005 |
| $40-45$ | 2643 | 80 | 0.35 | 12.8 | 282 | 13.9 | 0.032 | 0.0022 | 0.03015 | 32.3 | 0.113 | 4.138 | 0.009 |
| $45-50$ | 2845 | 10 | 0.35 | 12.8 | 276 | 13.4 | 0.004 | 0.0003 | 0.00363 | 3.9 | 0.014 | 0.500 | 0.001 |
| $50-55$ | 3049 | 45 | 0.35 | 12.8 | 247 | 12.8 | 0.018 | 0.0012 | 0.01562 | 16.8 | 0.059 | 2.156 | 0.004 |
|  |  | 845 |  |  |  |  | 0.338 | 0.0229 |  |  | 1.202 | 44.967 | 0.116 |

Table - 6.5 Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. -1 (EFI engine) driven on route no. -1 at evening peak hour (1645 hrs)

| Vehicle <br> speed <br> $(\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Engine <br> Speed <br> $(\mathrm{rpm})$ | Driving <br> Time <br> $(\mathrm{sec})$ | CO <br> $(\%)$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ <br> $(\%)$ | HC <br> $(\mathrm{ppm})$ | AFR | Fuel <br> Cons. <br> $(\mathrm{ltr})$ | Fuel <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | Air <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | AF <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | CO <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ | HC <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 858 | 840 | 4.05 | 10.7 | 1063 | 14.0 | 0.336 | 0.0227 | 0.31893 | 341.7 | 13.839 | 36.563 | 0.363 |
| $0-5$ | 1027 | 230 | 3.95 | 10.6 | 1329 | 14.0 | 0.092 | 0.0062 | 0.08732 | 93.6 | 3.696 | 9.918 | 0.124 |
| $5-10$ | 1231 | 160 | 4.39 | 10.3 | 1401 | 13.7 | 0.064 | 0.0043 | 0.05944 | 63.8 | 2.800 | 6.570 | 0.089 |
| $10-15$ | 1554 | 80 | 6.78 | 9.0 | 1460 | 12.6 | 0.032 | 0.0021 | 0.02733 | 29.5 | 2.001 | 2.656 | 0.043 |
| $15-20$ | 1728 | 100 | 7.21 | 9.2 | 1181 | 12.2 | 0.04 | 0.0027 | 0.03308 | 35.8 | 2.581 | 3.293 | 0.042 |
| $20-25$ | 2198 | 115 | 6.94 | 9.0 | 1304 | 12.4 | 0.046 | 0.0031 | 0.03867 | 41.8 | 2.900 | 3.761 | 0.054 |
| $25-30$ | 2454 | 50 | 7.10 | 9.1 | 1245 | 12.4 | 0.020 | 0.0013 | 0.01681 | 18.2 | 1.290 | 1.654 | 0.023 |
| $30-35$ | 2691 | 65 | 7.32 | 9.0 | 1146 | 12.2 | 0.026 | 0.0017 | 0.02150 | 23.3 | 1.703 | 2.094 | 0.027 |
| $35-40$ | 2790 | 80 | 7.50 | 9.0 | 1103 | 12.1 | 0.032 | 0.0021 | 0.02625 | 28.4 | 2.132 | 2.558 | 0.031 |
| $40-45$ | 2889 | 50 | 7.93 | 9.0 | 1049 | 12.0 | 0.02 | 0.0013 | 0.01627 | 17.6 | 1.398 | 1.587 | 0.018 |
|  |  | 1770 |  |  |  |  | 0.708 | 0.0480 |  |  | 34.340 | 70.653 | 0.816 |

Table - 6.6 Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. -3 (Carburetor engine) driven on the route no. $\mathbf{- 1}$ at morning peak hours (0900 hrs ).

| Vehicle <br> speed <br> $(\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Engine <br> Speed <br> $(\mathrm{rpm})$ | Driving <br> Time <br> $(\mathrm{sec})$ | CO <br> $(\%)$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ <br> $(\%)$ | HC <br> $(\mathrm{ppm})$ | AFR | Fuel <br> Cons. <br> $(\mathrm{ltr})$ | Fuel <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | Air <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | AF <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | CO <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ | HC <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 713 | 735 | 0.19 | 12.3 | 387 | 15.1 | 0.294 | 0.0199 | 0.3010 | 320.9 | 0.610 | 39.474 | 0.124 |
| $0-5$ | 907 | 210 | 0.19 | 12.3 | 413 | 14.6 | 0.084 | 0.0057 | 0.0832 | 88.8 | 0.169 | 10.928 | 0.037 |
| $5-10$ | 1087 | 180 | 0.67 | 12.4 | 382 | 14.4 | 0.072 | 0.0049 | 0.0703 | 75.2 | 0.504 | 9.322 | 0.029 |
| $10-15$ | 1378 | 60 | 0.41 | 12.6 | 285 | 14.6 | 0.024 | 0.0016 | 0.0238 | 25.4 | 0.104 | 3.198 | 0.007 |
| $15-20$ | 1554 | 90 | 0.39 | 12.9 | 253 | 14.6 | 0.036 | 0.0024 | 0.0356 | 38.1 | 0.148 | 4.912 | 0.010 |
| $20-25$ | 1842 | 120 | 0.59 | 13.0 | 252 | 14.7 | 0.048 | 0.0033 | 0.0478 | 51.1 | 0.301 | 6.642 | 0.013 |
| $25-30$ | 2029 | 45 | 0.45 | 13.1 | 315 | 14.5 | 0.018 | 0.0012 | 0.0177 | 18.9 | 0.085 | 2.478 | 0.006 |
| $30-35$ | 2289 | 30 | 0.35 | 12.9 | 332 | 14.4 | 0.012 | 0.0008 | 0.0117 | 12.5 | 0.044 | 1.616 | 0.004 |
| $35-40$ | 2429 | 60 | 0.34 | 12.8 | 325 | 14.2 | 0.024 | 0.0016 | 0.0231 | 24.7 | 0.084 | 3.166 | 0.008 |
| $40-45$ | 2643 | 50 | 0.35 | 12.8 | 282 | 13.9 | 0.02 | 0.0014 | 0.0188 | 20.2 | 0.071 | 2.586 | 0.006 |
|  |  | 1580 |  |  |  |  | 0.632 | 0.0429 |  |  | $\mathbf{2 . 1 2 0}$ | 84.322 | 0.243 |

Table-6.7 Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. -3 (Carburetor engine) driven on the route no. -1 at noon off-peak hours (1245 hrs ).

| Vehicle <br> speed <br> $(\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Engine <br> Speed <br> $(\mathrm{rpm})$ | Driving <br> Time <br> $(\mathrm{sec})$ | CO <br> $(\%)$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ <br> $(\%)$ | HC <br> $(\mathrm{ppm})$ | AFR | Fuel <br> Cons. <br> $(\mathrm{ltr})$ | Fuel <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | Air <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | AF <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | CO <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ | HC <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 713 | 735 | 4.05 | 10.7 | 1063 | 14 | 0.294 | 0.0199 | 0.2791 | 299.0 | 12.109 | 31.993 | 0.318 |
| $0-5$ | 907 | 210 | 3.95 | 10.6 | 1329 | 14 | 0.084 | 0.0056 | 0.0797 | 85.4 | 3.374 | 9.055 | 0.114 |
| $5-10$ | 1087 | 180 | 4.39 | 10.3 | 1401 | 13.7 | 0.072 | 0.0048 | 0.0669 | 71.8 | 3.150 | 7.391 | 0.101 |
| $10-15$ | 1378 | 60 | 6.78 | 9.0 | 1460 | 12.6 | 0.024 | 0.0016 | 0.0205 | 22.1 | 1.500 | 1.992 | 0.032 |
| $15-20$ | 1554 | 90 | 7.21 | 9.2 | 1181 | 12.2 | 0.036 | 0.0024 | 0.0298 | 32.2 | 2.323 | 2.964 | 0.038 |
| $20-25$ | 1842 | 120 | 6.94 | 9.0 | 1304 | 12.4 | 0.048 | 0.0032 | 0.0404 | 43.6 | 3.026 | 3.925 | 0.057 |
| $25-30$ | 2029 | 45 | 7.10 | 9.1 | 1245 | 12.4 | 0.018 | 0.0012 | 0.0151 | 16.4 | 1.161 | 1.488 | 0.020 |
| $30-35$ | 2289 | 30 | 7.32 | 9.0 | 1146 | 12.2 | 0.012 | 0.0008 | 0.0099 | 10.7 | 0.786 | 0.967 | 0.012 |
| $35-40$ | 2429 | 60 | 7.50 | 9.0 | 1103 | 12.1 | 0.024 | 0.0016 | 0.0197 | 21.3 | 1.599 | 1.918 | 0.024 |
| $40-45$ | 2643 | 50 | 7.93 | 9.0 | 1049 | 12 | 0.020 | 0.0013 | 0.0163 | 17.6 | 0.001 | 1.587 | 0.018 |
|  |  | 1580 |  |  |  |  | 0.632 | 0.0428 |  |  | 29.031 | 63.280 | 0.734 |

Table-6.8 Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. -3 (Carburetor engine) driven on the route no. -1 at evening peak hours (1645 hrs ).

| Vehicle <br> speed <br> $(\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Engine <br> Speed <br> $(\mathrm{rpm})$ | Driving <br> Time <br> $(\mathrm{sec})$ | CO <br> $(\%)$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ <br> $(\%)$ | HC <br> $(\mathrm{ppm})$ | AFR | Fuel <br> Cons. <br> $(\mathrm{ltr})$ | Fuel <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | Air <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | AF <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | CO <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ | HC <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 858 | 285 | 4.05 | 10.7 | 1063 | 14.0 | 0.114 | 0.0077 | 0.1082 | 115.9 | 4.695 | 12.405 | 0.123 |
| $0-5$ | 1027 | 15 | 3.95 | 10.6 | 1329 | 14.0 | 0.006 | 0.0004 | 0.0057 | 6.1 | 0.241 | 0.647 | 0.008 |
| $5-10$ | 1231 | 50 | 4.39 | 10.3 | 1401 | 13.7 | 0.020 | 0.0013 | 0.0186 | 19.9 | 0.875 | 2.053 | 0.028 |
| $10-15$ | 1554 | 85 | 6.78 | 9.0 | 1460 | 12.6 | 0.034 | 0.0023 | 0.0290 | 31.4 | 2.126 | 2.822 | 0.046 |
| $15-20$ | 1728 | 120 | 7.21 | 9.2 | 1181 | 12.2 | 0.048 | 0.0032 | 0.0397 | 43.0 | 3.097 | 3.952 | 0.051 |
| $20-25$ | 2198 | 35 | 6.94 | 9.0 | 1304 | 12.4 | 0.014 | 0.0009 | 0.0118 | 12.7 | 0.883 | 1.145 | 0.017 |
| $25-30$ | 2454 | 50 | 7.10 | 9.1 | 1245 | 12.4 | 0.020 | 0.0013 | 0.0168 | 18.2 | 1.290 | 1.654 | 0.023 |
| $30-35$ | 2691 | 30 | 7.32 | 9.0 | 1146 | 12.2 | 0.012 | 0.0008 | 0.0099 | 10.7 | 0.786 | 0.967 | 0.012 |
| $35-40$ | 2790 | 40 | 7.50 | 9.0 | 1103 | 12.1 | 0.016 | 0.0010 | 0.0131 | 14.2 | 1.066 | 1.279 | 0.016 |
| $40-45$ | 2889 | 80 | 7.93 | 9.0 | 1049 | 12.0 | 0.032 | 0.0021 | 0.0260 | 28.2 | 2.237 | 2.538 | 0.030 |
| $45-50$ | 3012 | 55 | 7.90 | 9.0 | 1040 | 12.0 | 0.022 | 0.0014 | 0.0179 | 19.4 | 1.532 | 1.745 | 0.020 |
|  |  | 845 |  |  |  |  | 0.338 | 0.0229 |  |  | 18.828 | 31.206 | 0.373 |

The percentage of CO and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, ppm of HC and AFR at different speed have been recorded by the emission analyzer at a simulated speed of the tested vehicles. The amount of measured emitted gas $\left(\mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{CO}_{2}\right.$ and HC$)$ by the two types of vehicles (EFI and Carburetor engine) at different routes on different traffic conditions is shown in Table -6.9.

## Table -6.9 Difference of measured emitted exhaust gas in \% between morning and evening peak hour with noon off-peak hour for vehicle no.-1 (EFI engine ) and vehicle no.-3 (Carbureted engine)

| V | Route | Travel Time(hrs) | Measured Emitted exhaust gas |  |  | Difference in \% between morning \& evening peak with normal noon |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | CO (gm) | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ (gm) | HC (gm) | CO (\%) | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ (\%) | HC (\%) |
| E | 1 | 0900 | 2.294 | 94.52 | 0.272 | 47.6 | 52.4 | 57.35 |
| H |  | 1245 | 1.202 | 44.967 | 0.116 | - | - | - |
| 1 |  | 1645 | 3.913 | 84.322 | 0.243 | 43.3 | 46.67 | 52.26 |
| C | 2 | 0900 | 7.331 | 352.67 | 1.081 | 51.59 | 52.73 | 56.05 |
| L |  | 1245 | 4.182 | 166.69 | 0.475 | - | - |  |
| E |  | 1645 | 7.262 | 344.85 | 1.039 | 42.42 | 51.66 | 54.28 |
| 1 | 3 | 0900 | 3.731 | 175.41 | 0.522 | 33.74 | 44.61 | 49.42 |
|  |  | 1245 | 2.472 | 97.18 | 0.264 | - | - | - |
|  |  | 1645 | 3.563 | 168.15 | 0.501 | 30.62 | 42.21 | 47.31 |


| V | Route | Travel Time(hrs) | Measured Emitted exhaust gas |  |  | Difference in \% between morning \& evening peak with normal noon |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | CO (gm) | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ (gm) | HC (gm) | CO (\%) | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ (\%) | HC (\%) |
| E | 1 | 0900 | 5.223 | 106.03 | 0.206 | 50.97 | 52.18 | 60.68 |
| H |  | 1245 | 2.561 | 50.706 | 0.081 | - | - | - |
| I |  | 1645 | 4.688 | 94.621 | 0.184 | 45.37 | 46.41 | 55.98 |
| C | 2 | 0900 | 17.891 | 394.76 | 0.916 | 48.61 | 52.51 | 60.04 |
| L |  | 1245 | 9.195 | 187.52 | 0.366 | - | - | - |
| E |  | 1645 | 17.638 | 386.11 | 0.883 | 47.79 | 51.43 | 58.55 |
| $\overline{7}$ | 3 | 0900 | 9.141 | 196.48 | 0.431 | 41.72 | 44.75 | 53.36 |
| 3 |  | 1245 | 5.327 | 108.56 | 0.201 | - | - | - |
|  |  | 1645 | 8.622 | 188.06 | 0.421 | 38.22 | 42.53 | 52.26 |

The table also shows the difference of emissions in percentage between peak and off-peak hour. It shows that the emission at peak hour is about $45 \%$ more than that of off-peak hour by the EFI engine vehicles. For the Carburetor operated engine vehicles the difference is higher. The average emissions of CO and HC in $\mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{km}$ and $\mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{hr}$ of the tested vehicles in different routes at different traffic conditions are shown in Figures 6.5 to 6.8.


Figure-6.6 Average CO emission (gm/hr) of the tested vehicles in different routes at different traffic condition.


Figure-6.7 Average HC emission (gm/hr) of the tested vehicles in different routes at different traffic condition.


Figure-6.8 Average CO emission (gm/km) of the tested vehicles in different routes at different traffic condition.


Figure-6.9 Average HC emission (gm/km) of the tested vehicles in different routes at different traffic condition.

Figure 6.7 show that the emission of $\mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{km})$ at peak hour in route-2 is about $66 \%$ and $22 \%$ more than that of route- 1 and route -3 respectively. This happens because the motorized and non-motorized vehicles flows simultaneously on route no-2, therefore the stoppage time is comparatively more than that of route no.-1. Same thing happens for HC emission which is shown in Figure 6.4.

Data shows that the stoppage time is less and the average speed of the tested vehicles are more at noon (off peak-hour) as compared to the morning and evening (peak-hour), as such the amount of pollutants also less at noon (off peakhour).

Data also show that all the emitted exhaust gases $\left(\mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{CO}_{2}\right.$ and HC$)$ are about $50 \%$ higher at morning and evening peak hours than that in noon off-peak hour. Though the average speed of the vehicles at noon off-peak traffic hour is well below the average speed ( $35 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) of the vehicles in urban areas.

## CHAPTER - SEVEN <br> CONCLUSIONS

The measurements have shown that the fuel consumption in Dhaka city is very high. In the rush hour the fuel consumption of passenger cars went above 28 litres per 100 kilometers. Although consumption was $42 \%$ to $53 \%$ higher in the rush hour than in normal flowing traffic, even the normal flowing speed is well below the usual vehicle speed. The same conclusions can be made for the exhaust gas emission.

The results of findings from the present work may lead to conclude the following:

- The average speed of vehicles on different routes are very low compared to the standard urban speed.
- The average speed of the vehicles at the peak hour is about half that of noon normal traffic time.
- About a half of the total travel time consumed as a stoppage time at different intersections.
- Fuel consumptions on different routes are much higher than that of normal consumptions.
- Fuel consumption at morning and evening rush hour on the same route on the same day is about two times than that of noon normal traffic time.
- The amount of pollutant emissions are much higher than the set standard.
- The heterogeneous characteristics of traffic stream on the same route make the average speed of the vehicles well below the normal speed thus causes the higher amount of emission.
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## APPENDIX - A

## TABLES

## Table - 1A European Standards for Gasoline and Diesel Fuel that Coincide with Gasoline and Diesel-Fuelled Vehicle Standards [1]

|  | Gasoline |  | Diesel |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Standard | Lead | Sulfur (ppm) | Sulfur (ppm) |
| Euro 1 | 0 | NA | NA |
| Euro 2 | 0 | 500 | 500 |
| Euro 3 | 0 | 150 | 350 |
| Euro 4 | 0 | $50_{\mathrm{a}}$ | $50_{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| Euro 5b | NA | NA | $50_{\mathrm{b}}$ |

a 10 ppm is in the late stages of adoption by the European Union
b Heavy Duty Diesel Engines only

## Table 2A Phase Out of Lead in Gasoline from Different Markets [2]



Table - 3A Gasoline Specifications in Asia and Europe [12]

|  | Lead | Sulfur <br> ppm | Benzene <br> \% v/v <br> max | Aromatics <br> $\%$ | Olefins <br> $\%$ | Oxygen <br> $\%$ m/m <br> max | RVP <br> Summerk <br> pa,max |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Linked to <br> Euro-3 <br> vehicle <br> standard <br> Effective <br> 2000 | Lead <br> free | 150 | 1.0 | 42 | 18 | 2.7 | 60 |
| Linked to <br> Euro-4 <br> vehicle <br> standard <br> effective <br> 2005 | Lead <br> free | 50 | 1.0 | 35 | 18 | 2.7 | 60 |
| Bangladesh | Lead <br> free | 1000 | - | - | - | - | $0.7 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ |
| India | Lead <br> Free | $1000^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $5^{\mathrm{b}}$ | - | - | 2.7 | $35-60$ |
| Japan | Lead <br> Free | 100 | 1.0 | - | - | - | 78 |
| Singapore | Lead <br> Free | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Srilanka | Lead <br> Free | 1000 | 4.0 | 45 | - | 2.7 | $35-60$ |

a In Delhi, Mumbai, Kolkata and Chennai sulfur levels are 500 ppm
b Benzene - 3\% in metros and 1\% in National Capital Region

Table - 4A Model Contribution of Pollutant Emissions [11]

| Pollutant Emission | Truck and Tanker (t/yr) | 2-stroke Engine (t/yr) | Car and Jeep (t/yr) | Bus and Minibus (t/yr) | Motorcycle (t/yr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CO | $\begin{gathered} 4,440 \\ (5 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32,178 \\ (37 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26,465 \\ (31 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,481 \\ (3 \%) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20,746 \\ (24 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| HC | $\begin{gathered} 2,664 \\ (6 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23,019 \\ (56 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,605 \\ (9 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,249 \\ & (3 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10,574 \\ & (26 \%) \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,209 \\ & (45 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 639 \\ (6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,262 \\ & (20 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,019 \\ & (26 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 367 \\ (3 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| PM | $\begin{gathered} 4,151 \\ (40.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 47 \\ (0.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,281 \\ (12.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4,708 \\ (46.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18 \\ (0.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,776 \\ & (49 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38 \\ (1 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 139 \\ (4 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,629 \\ & (45 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33 \\ (1 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| pb | 0 | $\begin{gathered} 28 \\ (41 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21 \\ (30 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 0 | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ (29 \%) \end{gathered}$ |

Table - 5A Current Bangladesh Vehicle Emission Standard (Environment Conservation Rules, 1997 [15]

| Parameter | Unit | Standard Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hydrocarbons (HC) | g/km | 2 |
|  | Volumetric | 180 ppm |
| Oxides of Nitrogen$\left(\mathrm{NO}_{x}\right)$ | $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{km}$ | 2 |
|  | Volumetric | 600 ppm |
| Carbon Monoxides (CO) | $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{km}$ | 24 |
|  | Volumetric | 4\% |
| Black Smoke* | Hartrige Smoke Unit (HSU) | 65 |

Table - 6A Category-wise Number of Tested Petrol/CNG/diesel Vehicle [15]

| Vehicle Types | Number of Vehicle Tested |
| :---: | :---: |
| Petrol Vehicles (Idle CO and Emission measured) |  |
| Passenger car | 515 |
| Taxi | 102 |
| Microbus/van | 114 |
| Auto-rickshaw (4 stoke Petrol) | 34 |
| Motor Cycles | 91 |
| Jeep/pick up | 166 |
| Total Petrol Vehicles | 1022 |
| Petrol Vehicles (Idle CO and emission measured) |  |
| Passenger car | 18 |
| Taxi | 22 |
| Microbus/van | 5 |
| Jeep/Pick up | 1 |
| Auto-rickshaw (4 stoke CNG) | 59 |
| Total CNG Vehicles | 105 |
| Diesel Vehicles (Free acceleration smoke opacity measured) |  |
| Double Taker bus | 141 |
| Single decker and mini bus | 169 |
| Trucks | 105 |
| Light and medium duty vehicles | 88 |
| Total Diesel Vehicles | 503 |

Table - 7A Percentage of Vehicles Below Different Idle CO Emission Levels [15]

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Idle } \\ \text { CO } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Cars/Taxis other Light Vehicles |  |  | Motorcycle | Auto-rickshaw (4 stroke Petrol) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pre- } \\ & 1991 \\ & \text { Model } \end{aligned}$ | 1991 and Later models | AII |  |  |
| 3 | 37 | 75 | 65 | 12 | 33 |
| 4 | 42 | 81 | 71 | 20 | 36 |
| 4.5 | 47 | 83 | 74 | 22 | 38 |
| 5 | 52 | 86 | 77 | 32 | 41 |
| 6 | 60 | 89 | 81 | 44 | 45 |
| 7 | 70 | 92 | 86 | 59 | 54 |
| 8 | 77 | 95 | 90 | 79 | 60 |
| 9 | 86 | 97 | 93 | 88 | 66 |

Table - 8A Percentage of Vehicles Below Different Idle HC Emission Level [15]

| Idle <br> HC, <br> ppm | Cars/Taxis and Light <br> Vehicle | Motorcycle |  | Petrol <br> Auto- | CNG <br> Auto- <br> rickshaw |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathbf{2 -}$ <br> Stroke | 4- <br> Stroke | rickshaw <br> (4-Stroke) |  |
| 400 | 58 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 80 |
| 600 | 75 | 0 | 13 | 14 | 88 |
| 800 | 83 | 0 | 28 | 25 | 92 |
| 1000 | 90 | 0 | 35 | 31 | 93 |
| 1200 | 93 | 0 | 39 | 34 | 98 |
| 2000 | 97 | 0 | 48 | 57 | 100 |
| 3000 | 99 | 1 | 59 | 67 | 100 |
| 4000 | 99 | 3 | 63 | 71 | 100 |
| 5000 | 99 | 5 | 68 | 79 | 100 |
| 6000 | 100 | 8 | 68 | 79 | 100 |
| 8000 | 100 | 21 | 70 | 88 | 100 |
| 10000 | 100 | 30 | 73 | 95 | 100 |
| 12000 | 100 | 47 | 78 | 97 | 100 |

Table - 9A Percentage of Cars and Other Light Duty Vehicles Below Different Idle CO and HC Levels [15]

| Idle HC <br> ppm | Percent Vehicles |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{4 . 0 \%}$ <br> IdIe <br> CO | $\mathbf{4 . 5 \%}$ <br> IdIe <br> $\mathbf{C O}^{\boldsymbol{a}}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 0 \%}$ IdIe <br> CO |
| 600 | 64 | 66 | 68 |
| 800 | 67 | 69 | 71 |
| 1,000 | 69 | 72 | 75 |
| $1,200^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 70 | $73^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 76 |
| 1,500 | 71 | 74 | 77 |

a Proposed in service vehicle emission standard

Table - 10A Percentage of Petrol Auto-rickshaws Below Different Idle CO and HC Levels [15]

| Idle HC <br> ppm | Percent Vehicles |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{7 . 0 \%} \mathbf{\text { IdIIe }}$ <br> $\mathbf{C O}^{\mathbf{a}}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 0 \% \text { Idle }}$ <br> CO | $\mathbf{9 . 0 \%}$ Idle <br> CO |
| 1,000 | 25 | 29 | 29 |
| 1,200 | 26 | 31 | 32 |
| 1,500 | 34 | 38 | 42 |
| 2,000 | 41 | 45 | 48 |
| $3,000^{\text {a }}$ | $44^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 48 | 52 |
| 4,000 | 45 | 49 | 54 |
| 5,000 | 48 | 54 | 64 |

${ }^{a}$ Proposed in-service vehicle emission standard

Table - 11A Percentage of 2-Stroke Engine Motorcycles Below Different Idle CO and HC Levels [15]

| Idle HC <br> ppm | Percent Vehicles |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $7.0 \%$ Idle <br> CO $^{\text {a }}$ | $8.0 \%$ Idle <br> $C O$ | $9.0 \%$ Idle <br> CO |
| 8,000 | 14 | 16 | 18 |
| 10,000 | 20 | 25 | 27 |
| $12,000^{\text {a }}$ | $37^{\text {a }}$ | 41 | 44 |
| 15,000 | 52 | 59 | 62 |

[^0]Table - 12A Proposed in-service Vehicle Emission Standard for Dhaka [15]

| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{SI} \\ & \mathrm{no} \end{aligned}$ | Vehicle Type | Pollutant | Test Method | Limit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Passenger Cars and Other Light and Medium Duty Petrol/CNG Vehicles | CO | Normal Idle | 4.5\% |
|  |  | HC | Normal Idle | 1,200 ppm |
| 2 | Auto-rickshaws Petrol | CO | Normal Idle | 7\% |
|  |  | HC | Normal Idle | 3,000 ppm |
| 3 | Autorickshaws, CNG | CO | Normal Idle | 1\% |
| 4 | Motorcyles (2-stroke and 4-stroke) | CO | Normal Idle | 7\% |
|  |  | HC | Normal Idle | $\begin{gathered} 12,000 \\ \mathrm{ppm} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | HC | Normal Idle | 3,000 ppm |
| 5 | Diesel Vehicles | Smoke | Free acceleration | $\begin{gathered} 3.2 \mathrm{~m}^{-1} \text { or } \\ 75 \mathrm{HSU} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |

Table-13A Adverse Health and Environment Effects of Vehicle
Emission [4]

|  | Health Effect |  | Acid | Eutrophi cation | Visibility | Climate Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pollutants | Direct | Indirect |  |  |  | Direct | Indirect |
| CO | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HC | x | $\mathrm{x}^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  | x |
| $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | X | $\mathrm{x}^{\text {a }}$ | X | x | X | X | X |
| PM | x |  |  |  | x | x |  |
| $\mathrm{SO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | x |  | x |  | x |  | x |

a Ozone

Table - 14A Number of Year Wise Registered Motor Vehicles in Bangladesh [18]

| Types of vehicles | Before <br> $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Motor car | 51,312 | 8,714 | 12,478 | 8,354 | 5,876 | 4,986 | 4,087 | 6,587 | 6,757 | $\mathbf{1 , 0 9 , 1 5 1}$ |
| Jeep/Station <br> Wagon/Micorbus | 27,460 | 2,451 | 2,408 | 1,459 | 2,705 | 2,269 | 1,517 | 3,068 | 3,782 | $\mathbf{4 7 , 1 1 9}$ |
| Taxi | 1,908 | 28 | 59 | 14 | 103 | 216 | 580 | 771 | 2233 | $\mathbf{5 9 1 2}$ |
| Bus | 27,510 | 214 | 149 | 99 | 286 | 267 | 237 | 594 | 740 | $\mathbf{3 0 , 1 9 6}$ |
| Minibus | 24,007 | 1,085 | 795 | 866 | 602 | 479 | 404 | 1,218 | 2,314 | $\mathbf{3 1 , 7 7 0}$ |
| Truck | 27,919 | 3,787 | 3,164 | 1,282 | 2,733 | 2,018 | 2,725 | 2,575 | 2,377 | $\mathbf{4 8 , 5 8 0}$ |
| Auto <br> rickshaws/Tempo | 35,586 | 14,953 | 11,984 | 6,546 | 4,403 | 2,140 | 3,135 | 397 | 5,479 | $\mathbf{8 4 , 6 2 3}$ |
| Motorcyle | $1,63,699$ | 11,389 | 13,977 | 12,080 | 14,525 | 16,511 | 14,614 | 24,409 | 29,047 | $\mathbf{3 , 0 0 , 2 5 1}$ |
| Others | 5,872 | 1,383 | 1,443 | 1,583 | 1,248 | 2,617 | 1,365 | 2,491 | 2,148 | $\mathbf{2 0 , 5 5 0}$ |
| Total = | $\mathbf{3 , 3 5 , 0 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 , 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 , 8 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 , 5 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 , 4 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 , 5 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 , 7 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 , 5 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 8 , 8 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 4 8 , 6 5 1}$ |

Table - 15A Number of Year Wise Registered Motor Vehicles in Dhaka City [18]

| Types of vehicles | Before <br> $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Motor car | 36,998 | 6,923 | 8,386 | 6,528 | 4,984 | 4,330 | 2,452 | 5,560 | 5,542 | $\mathbf{8 1 , 7 0 3}$ |
| Jeep/Station <br> Wagon/Micorbus | 17,937 | 1,553 | 1,387 | 1,492 | 1,438 | 1,371 | 910 | 1,579 | 2,911 | $\mathbf{3 0 , 5 8 1}$ |
| Taxi | 787 | 25 | 35 | 14 | 102 | 215 | 348 | 762 | 2101 | $\mathbf{4 , 3 8 9}$ |
| Bus | 269 | 145 | 73 | 58 | 184 | 224 | 202 | 453 | 632 | $\mathbf{2 , 2 4 0}$ |
| Minibus | 2,009 | 324 | 167 | 397 | 300 | 215 | 242 | 831 | 1,924 | $\mathbf{6 , 4 0 9}$ |
| Truck | 9,775 | 802 | 615 | 834 | 1,681 | 855 | 1,635 | 890 | $\mathbf{1 , 1 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 , 2 1 4}$ |
| Auto- <br> rickshaws/Tempo | 8,359 | 7,301 | 4,615 | 1,902 | 1,679 | 682 | 1,881 | $\mathbf{7 5}$ | 2,616 | $\mathbf{2 9 , 1 2 0}$ |
| Motorcycle | 61,478 | 4,427 | 4,027 | 5,346 | 4,992 | 5,330 | 8,768 | 8,590 | 9,102 | $\mathbf{1 , 1 2 , 0 6 0}$ |
| Others | 2,063 | 878 | 828 | 310 | 196 | 1,392 | 819 | $\mathbf{1 , 8 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 2 5 7}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 3 9 , 6 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 , 3 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 , 1 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 , 8 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 , 5 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 , 6 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 , 2 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 , 5 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 , 9 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 9 3 , 0 7 3}$ |

Table - 16A Comparison of Road Transportation in Selection Asian Cities [2]

|  |  | Dhaka (1995) | Jakarta (1994) | Bangkok (1995) | Manila (1995) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population (000) |  | 6,900 | 9,175 | 8,126 | 9,454 |
| Urban Area ( $\mathrm{Km}^{2}$ ) |  | 360 | 670 | 600 | 630 |
| Road (km) | Major | 310 | 1,406 | 1,080 | 977 |
|  | Minor | 2,692 | 5,469 | 2,825 | 2,099 |
|  | Total | 3,002 | 5,875 | 3,905 | 3,076 |
| Availability of Motorable Roads | Km/thousand People | 0.04 | 0.15 | 0.13 | 0.10 |
|  | Km/km ${ }^{2}$ | 0.86 | 2.10 | 1.80 | 1.55 |
| Car Ownership <br> (No./thousand) |  | 5 | 74 | 141 | 85 |

Table - 17A Year Wise Road Accident in Dhaka City [18]

|  | Nature of Accident |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Fatal | Serious | Simple | Total |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | 526 | 925 | 115 | $\mathbf{1 , 5 5 6}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | 392 | 499 | 188 | $\mathbf{1 , 0 7 9}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 359 | 552 | 35 | $\mathbf{9 4 6}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | 364 | 347 | 18 | $\mathbf{7 2 9}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | 329 | 199 | 24 | $\mathbf{5 5 2}$ |
| Total | 1,960 | 2,522 | 380 | $\mathbf{4 , 7 8 8}$ |

Table - 18A Road Vehicular Traffic Composition by Mode [19]

| Vehicle | 16 Hours Flows <br> (6 Intersections) | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Car/Jeep/Van | 19,580 | 8.4 |
| Bus | 4,999 | 2.1 |
| Micorbus/Minibus | 14,360 | 6.2 |
| Tempo | 9,904 | 4.2 |
| Auto rickshaws | 42,622 | 18.3 |
| Motorcycle | 4,382 | 1.9 |
| Truck | 4,520 | 1.9 |
| Oil Tanker | 434 | 0.2 |
| Motorized (a) | $1,00,801$ | 43.2 |
| Rickshaws | $1,22,032$ | 52.3 |
| Bicycle | 3,045 | 1.3 |
| Rickshaw van | 6,668 | 2.9 |
| Push Cart | 834 | 0.4 |
| Non-Motorized (b) | $1,32,579$ | 56.8 |
| Total (a+b) | $\mathbf{2 , 3 3 , 3 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

Table 19A Average Time Required to Travel the Distance of Different Routes by the Tested Vehicles

| Vehicles <br> Speed (km/hr) | Route -1 |  |  | Route - 2 |  |  | Route-3 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Morning } \\ \text { (O900 hrs) } \end{gathered}$ | Noon (1244 hrs) | Evening (1645 hrs) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Morning } \\ \text { (0900 hrs) } \end{gathered}$ | Noon (1245 hrs) | Evening (1645 hrs) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Morning } \\ \text { (0900 hrs) } \end{gathered}$ | Noon (1245 hrs) | Evening (1645 hrs) |
| 0 | 840 | 285 | 735 | 4750 | 1535 | 4470 | 2110 | 840 | 2160 |
| 0-5 | 230 | 15 | 210 | 460 | 255 | 520 | 320 | 50 | 210 |
| 5-10 | 160 | 50 | 180 | 535 | 340 | 510 | 185 | 90 | 190 |
| 10-15 | 80 | 85 | 60 | 310 | 275 | 365 | 145 | 240 | 120 |
| 15-20 | 100 | 120 | 90 | 230 | 150 | 245 | 65 | 150 | 90 |
| 20-25 | 115 | 35 | 120 | 120 | 170 | 145 | 155 | 120 | 145 |
| 25-30 | 50 | 50 | 45 | 75 | 75 | 65 | 65 | 135 | 65 |
| 30-35 | 65 | 30 | 30 | 25 | 25 | 45 | 80 | 50 | 50 |
| 35-40 | 80 | 40 | 60 | 65 | 130 | 55 | 90 | 105 | 80 |
| 40-45 | 50 | 80 | 50 | 25 | 75 | 30 | 65 | 30 | 30 |
| 45-50 | - | 10 | - | - | 55 | - | - | - | - |
| 50-55 | - | 45 | - | - | 45 | - | - | - | - |
| Total Time (sec) | 1770 | 845 | 1580 | 6595 | 3130 | 6450 | 3280 | 1810 | 3140 |

## APPENDIX - B

## Technical Specifications

| Measured Gases | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{HC} \\ & \mathrm{CO} \\ & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & \mathrm{O}_{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Hydrocarbon Carbon Mon Carbon Diox Oxygen | xide de |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ranges | HC <br> CO <br> $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ <br> $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \text { to } 10,000 \\ & 0 \text { to } 10 \% \\ & 0 \text { to } 20 \% \\ & 0 \text { to } 25 \% \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Accuracy/Performance | OIML R99 Class I |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{HC} \\ & \mathrm{CO} \\ & \mathrm{O}_{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \mathrm{ppm} \mathrm{HC} \\ & 0.06 \% \mathrm{CO} \\ & 0.10 \% \mathrm{O}_{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Absolute or $5 \%$ of reading, which ever is wider |
| Resolution | HC <br> CO <br> $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ <br> $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 1 ppm vol. $0.01 \%$ vol $0.1 \%$ vol $0.01 \%$ vol |  |
| RPM | $0-10,000$ rom with DIS/Wankel and 4 stroke selection |  |  |
| Oil Temperature | $0-120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |
| Lambda | Calculated using Brett Schneider formula. Resolution: 0.001. <br> Fuel type selection: Leaded, unleaded, LPG or CNG. |  |  |
| Environmental | Operating Temperature -+5 to $+40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ Storage Temperature -20 to $+55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |
| Response Time | 11 secs to $95 \%$ of final reading with 6 meter sample hose. |  |  |
| Operating Pressure | 750-1100 mbar 1000 mbar nominal |  |  |
| Warm-up Time | Less than 10 minutes (self controlled) at 20 C for OIML R99 Class I |  |  |

## APPENDIX - C

## TABLES

Table - IC Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. -1 driven on route no. -1 at morning peak (0900 hrs)

| Vehicle speed (km/hr) | Engine Speed (rpm) | Driving Time (sec) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CO } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { HC } \\ (p p m) \end{gathered}$ | AFR | Fuel Cons. <br> (ltr) | Fuel Mass <br> (kg) | Air Mass (kg) | AF Mass <br> (kg) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { CO } \\ \text { (gm) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\mathrm{gm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} H C \\ (g m) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 713 | 840 | 0.19 | 12.3 | 387 | 15.1 | 0.336 | 0.0227 | 0.3440 | 366.8 | 0.700 | 45.113 | 0.142 |
| 0-5 | 907 | 230 | 0.19 | 12.3 | 413 | 14.6 | 0.092 | 0.0062 | 0.0911 | 97.3 | 0.185 | 11.969 | 0.040 |
| 5-10 | 1087 | 160 | 0.67 | 12.4 | 382 | 14.4 | 0.064 | 0.0043 | 0.0625 | 66.8 | 0.448 | 8.286 | 0.026 |
| 10-15 | 1378 | 80 | 0.41 | 12.6 | 285 | 14.6 | 0.032 | 0.0021 | 0.0317 | 33.8 | 0.139 | 4.265 | 0.010 |
| 15-20 | 1554 | 100 | 0.39 | 12.9 | 253 | 14.6 | 0.04 | 0.0027 | 0.0396 | 42.3 | 0.165 | 5.458 | 0.011 |
| 20-25 | 1842 | 115 | 0.59 | 13 | 252 | 14.7 | 0.046 | 0.0031 | 0.0458 | 49.0 | 0.289 | 6.365 | 0.012 |
| 25-30 | 2029 | 50 | 0.45 | 13.1 | 315 | 14.5 | 0.02 | 0.0013 | 0.0200 | 21.0 | 0.095 | 2.753 | 0.007 |
| 30-35 | 2289 | 65 | 0.35 | 12.9 | 332 | 14.4 | 0.026 | 0.0017 | 0.0254 | 27.1 | 0.095 | 3.502 | 0.009 |
| 35-40 | 2429 | 80 | 0.34 | 12.8 | 325 | 14.2 | 0.032 | 0.0021 | 0.0308 | 33.0 | 0.112 | 4.221 | 0.011 |
| 40-45 | 2643 | 50 | 0.35 | 12.8 | 282 | 13.9 | 0.02 | 0.0013 | 0.0188 | 20.2 | 0.071 | 2.586 | 0.006 |
|  |  | 1770 |  |  |  |  | 0.708 | 0.0480 |  |  | 2.295 | 94.518 | 0.272 |

Table - 2C Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. -1 driven on the route no. -1 at noon off-peak hour ( 1245 hrs ).

| Vehicle speed (km/hr) | Engine Speed (rpm) | Driving Time ( sec ) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CO } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HC} \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{gathered}$ | AFR | Fuel Cons. (ltr) | Fuel Mass <br> (kg) | Air Mass (kg) | AF Mass <br> (kg) | $\begin{gathered} C O \\ (g m) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\mathrm{gm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { HC } \\ (g m) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 713 | 285 | 0.19 | 12.3 | 387 | 15.1 | 0.114 | 0.0077 | 0.1167 | 124.4 | 0.236 | 15.306 | 0.048 |
| 0-5 | 907 | 15 | 0.19 | 12.3 | 413 | 14.6 | 0.006 | 0.0004 | 0.0059 | 6.3 | 0.012 | 0.781 | 0.003 |
| 5-10 | 1087 | 50 | 0.67 | 12.4 | 382 | 14.4 | 0.02 | 0.0014 | 0.0195 | 20.9 | 0.140 | 2.589 | 0.008 |
| 10-15 | 1378 | 85 | 0.41 | 12.6 | 285 | 14.6 | 0.034 | 0.0023 | 0.0337 | 36.0 | 0.147 | 4.531 | 0.010 |
| 15-20 | 1554 | 120 | 0.39 | 12.9 | 253 | 14.6 | 0.048 | 0.0033 | 0.0475 | 50.8 | 0.198 | 6.549 | 0.013 |
| 20-25 | 1842 | 35 | 0.59 | 13 | 252 | 14.7 | 0.014 | 0.0009 | 0.0140 | 14.9 | 0.088 | 1.937 | 0.004 |
| 25-30 | 2029 | 50 | 0.45 | 13.1 | 315 | 14.5 | 0.02 | 0.0014 | 0.0197 | 21.0 | 0.095 | 2.753 | 0.007 |
| 30-35 | 2289 | 30 | 0.35 | 12.9 | 332 | 14.4 | 0.012 | 0.0008 | 0.0117 | 12.5 | 0.044 | 1.616 | 0.005 |
| 35-40 | 2429 | 40 | 0.34 | 12.8 | 325 | 14.2 | 0.016 | 0.0011 | 0.0154 | 16.5 | 0.056 | 2.111 | 0.005 |
| 40-45 | 2643 | 80 | 0.35 | 12.8 | 282 | 13.9 | 0.032 | 0.0022 | 0.0302 | 32.3 | 0.113 | 4.138 | 0.009 |
| 45-50 | 2845 | 10 | 0.35 | 12.8 | 276 | 13.4 | 0.004 | 0.0003 | 0.0036 | 3.9 | 0.014 | 0.500 | 0.001 |
| 50-55 | 3049 | 45 | 0.35 | 12.8 | 247 | 12.8 | 0.018 | 0.0012 | 0.0156 | 16.8 | 0.059 | 2.156 | 0.004 |
|  |  | 845 |  |  |  |  | 0.338 | 0.0229 |  |  | 1.202 | 44.967 | 0.116 |

## Table - 3C Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. -1 driven on route no. -1 at evening peak ( 1645 hrs )

| Vehicle speed (km/hr) | Engine Speed (rpm) | Driving Time (sec) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CO } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HC} \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{gathered}$ | AFR | Fuel Cons. <br> (ltr) | Fuel Mass <br> (kg) |  | AF <br> Mass <br> (kg) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{CO} \\ \text { (gm) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\mathrm{gm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline H C \\ (g m) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 713 | 735 | 0.19 | 12.3 | 387 | 15.1 | 0.294 | 0.0199 | 0.3010 | 320.9 | 0.610 | 39.474 | 0.124 |
| 0-5 | 907 | 210 | 0.19 | 12.3 | 413 | 14.6 | 0.084 | 0.0057 | 0.0832 | 88.8 | 0.169 | 10.928 | 0.037 |
| 5-10 | 1087 | 180 | 0.67 | 12.4 | 382 | 14.4 | 0.072 | 0.0049 | 0.0703 | 75.2 | 0.504 | 9.322 | 0.029 |
| 10-15 | 1378 | 60 | 0.41 | 12.6 | 285 | 14.6 | 0.024 | 0.0016 | 0.0238 | 25.4 | 0.104 | 3.198 | 0.007 |
| 15-20 | 1554 | 90 | 0.39 | 12.9 | 253 | 14.6 | 0.036 | 0.0024 | 0.0356 | 38.1 | 0.148 | 4.912 | 0.010 |
| 20-25 | 1842 | 120 | 0.59 | 13.0 | 252 | 14.7 | 0.048 | 0.0033 | 0.0478 | 51.1 | 0.301 | 6.642 | 0.013 |
| 25-30 | 2029 | 45 | 0.45 | 13.1 | 315 | 14.5 | 0.018 | 0.0012 | 0.0177 | 18.9 | 0.085 | 2.478 | 0.006 |
| 30-35 | 2289 | 30 | 0.35 | 12.9 | 332 | 14.4 | 0.012 | 0.0008 | 0.0117 | 12.5 | 0.044 | 1.616 | 0.004 |
| 35-40 | 2429 | 60 | 0.34 | 12.8 | 325 | 14.2 | 0.024 | 0.0016 | 0.0231 | 24.7 | 0.084 | 3.166 | 0.008 |
| 40-45 | 2643 | 50 | 0.35 | 12.8 | 282 | 13.9 | 0.02 | 0.0014 | 0.0188 | 20.2 | 0.071 | 2.586 | 0.006 |
|  |  | 1580 |  |  |  |  | 0.632 | 0.0429 |  |  | 2.120 | 84.322 | 0.243 |

## Table - 4C Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. -2 driven on route no. -1 at morning peak (0900 hrs)

| Vehicle speed (km/hr) | Engine Speed (rpm) | Driving Time (sec) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CO } \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HC} \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{gathered}$ | AFR | Fuel Cons. <br> (Itr) | Fuel Mass <br> (kg) | Air Mass <br> (kg) | AF Mass | $\begin{gathered} C O \\ (\mathrm{gm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\mathrm{gm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} H C \\ (\mathrm{gm}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 712 | 840 | 0.57 | 13.8 | 228 | 15.2 | 0.336 | 0.0227 | 0.3463 | 369.0 | 2.104 | 50.929 | 0.084 |
| 0-5 | 1091 | 230 | 0.35 | 14.0 | 155 | 15.4 | 0.092 | 0.0062 | 0.0961 | 102.3 | 0.358 | 14.322 | 0.016 |
| 5-10 | 1277 | 160 | 0.32 | 13.9 | 129 | 15.6 | 0.064 | 0.0043 | 0.0677 | 72.0 | 0.230 | 10.012 | 0.009 |
| 10-15 | 1524 | 80 | 0.36 | 14.0 | 119 | 15.4 | 0.032 | 0.0021 | 0.0334 | 35.6 | 0.128 | 4.981 | 0.004 |
| 15-20 | 1761 | 100 | 0.44 | 14.1 | 121 | 15.2 | 0.040 | 0.0027 | 0.0412 | 43.9 | 0.193 | 6.195 | 0.005 |
| 20-25 | 2164 | 115 | 0.53 | 14.2 | 117 | 15.1 | 0.046 | 0.0031 | 0.0471 | 50.2 | 0.266 | 7.130 | 0.006 |
| 25-30 | 2221 | 50 | 0.57 | 14.2 | 115 | 15.1 | 0.020 | 0.0013 | 0.0205 | 21.8 | 0.124 | 3.100 | 0.003 |
| 30-35 | 2438 | 65 | 0.60 | 14.1 | 97 | 15.1 | 0.026 | 0.0017 | 0.0266 | 28.4 | 0.170 | 4.002 | 0.003 |
| 35-40 | 2632 | 80 | 0.62 | 14.1 | 90 | 15.0 | 0.032 | 0.0021 | 0.0325 | 34.7 | 0.215 | 4.895 | 0.003 |
| 40-45 | 2929 | 50 | 0.57 | 14.2 | 89 | 15.0 | 0.020 | 0.0013 | 0.0203 | 21.7 | 0.124 | 3.081 | 0.002 |
|  |  | 1770 |  |  |  |  | 0.708 | 0.0480 |  |  | 3.913 | 108.646 | 0.135 |

Table -5C Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. -2 driven on route no. -1 at noon off-peak hour (1245 hrs)

| Vehicle speed (km/hr) | Engine Speed (rpm) | Driving Time (sec) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CO } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HC} \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{gathered}$ | AFR | Fuel Cons. <br> (ltr) | Fuel Mass <br> (kg) | Air Mass <br> (kg) | $A F$ Mass <br> (kg) | $\begin{gathered} C O \\ (\mathrm{gm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\mathrm{gm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline H C \\ (g m) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 712 | 285 | 0.57 | 13.8 | 228 | 15.2 | 0.114 | 0.0077 | 0.1175 | 125.2 | 0.714 | 17.279 | 0.029 |
| 0-5 | 1091 | 15 | 0.35 | 14.0 | 155 | 15.4 | 0.006 | 0.0004 | 0.0063 | 6.7 | 0.023 | 0.934 | 0.001 |
| 5-10 | 1277 | 50 | 0.32 | 13.9 | 129 | 15.6 | 0.020 | 0.0013 | 0.0212 | 22.5 | 0.072 | 3.129 | 0.003 |
| 10-15 | 1524 | 85 | 0.36 | 14.0 | 119 | 15.4 | 0.034 | 0.0023 | 0.0355 | 37.8 | 0.136 | 5.293 | 0.004 |
| 15-20 | 1761 | 120 | 0.44 | 14.1 | 121 | 15.2 | 0.048 | 0.0032 | 0.0495 | 52.7 | 0.232 | 7.434 | 0.006 |
| 20-25 | 2164 | 35 | 0.53 | 14.2 | 117 | 15.1 | 0.014 | 0.0009 | 0.0143 | 15.3 | 0.081 | 2.170 | 0.002 |
| 25-30 | 2221 | 50 | 0.57 | 14.2 | 115 | 15.1 | 0.020 | 0.0013 | 0.0205 | 21.8 | 0.124 | 3.100 | 0.003 |
| 30-35 | 2438 | 30 | 0.60 | 14.1 | 97 | 15.1 | 0.012 | 0.0008 | 0.0123 | 13.1 | 0.079 | 1.847 | 0.001 |
| 35-40 | 2632 | 40 | 0.62 | 14.1 | 90 | 15.0 | 0.016 | 0.0010 | 0.0163 | 17.4 | 0.108 | 2.447 | 0.002 |
| 40-45 | 2929 | 80 | 0.57 | 14.2 | 89 | 15.0 | 0.032 | 0.0021 | 0.0325 | 34.7 | 0.198 | 4.929 | 0.003 |
| 45-50 | 3023 | 55 | 0.54 | 14.4 | 87 | 14.9 | 0.022 | 0.0014 | 0.0222 | 23.7 | 0.128 | 3.415 | 0.002 |
|  |  | 845 |  |  |  |  | 0.338 | 0.0229 |  |  | 1.895 | 51.978 | 0.056 |

Table -6C Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. -2 driven on route no. $\mathbf{- 1}$ at evening peak hour (1645 hrs)

| Vehicle speed (km/hr) | Engine Speed (rpm) | Driving Time (sec) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CO } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { HC } \\ (p p m) \end{gathered}$ | AFR | Fuel Cons. <br> (ltr) | Fuel Mass <br> (kg) | Air Mass <br> (kg) | AF <br> Mass <br> (kg) | $\begin{gathered} C O \\ \text { (gm) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\mathrm{gm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline H C \\ (g m) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 712 | 735 | 0.57 | 13.8 | 228 | 15.2 | 0.279 | 0.0189 | 0.2878 | 306.8 | 1.749 | 42.335 | 0.070 |
| 0-5 | 1091 | 210 | 0.35 | 14.0 | 155 | 15.4 | 0.080 | 0.0054 | 0.0833 | 88.7 | 0.311 | 12.422 | 0.014 |
| 5-10 | 1277 | 180 | 0.32 | 13.9 | 129 | 15.6 | 0.068 | 0.0046 | 0.0723 | 77.0 | 0.246 | 10.701 | 0.010 |
| 10-15 | 1524 | 60 | 0.36 | 14.0 | 119 | 15.4 | 0.023 | 0.0015 | 0.0238 | 25.4 | 0.091 | 3.549 | 0.003 |
| 15-20 | 1761 | 90 | 0.44 | 14.1 | 121 | 15.2 | 0.034 | 0.0023 | 0.0352 | 37.6 | 0.165 | 5.297 | 0.005 |
| 20-25 | 2164 | 120 | 0.53 | 14.2 | 117 | 15.1 | 0.046 | 0.0030 | 0.0466 | 49.8 | 0.264 | 7.068 | 0.006 |
| 25-30 | 2221 | 45 | 0.57 | 14.2 | 115 | 15.1 | 0.017 | 0.0011 | 0.0175 | 18.7 | 0.106 | 2.651 | 0.002 |
| 30-35 | 2438 | 30 | 0.60 | 14.1 | 97 | 15.1 | 0.011 | 0.0007 | 0.0116 | 12.4 | 0.075 | 1.755 | 0.001 |
| 35-40 | 2632 | 60 | 0.62 | 14.1 | 90 | 15.0 | 0.023 | 0.0015 | 0.0231 | 24.7 | 0.153 | 3.487 | 0.002 |
| 40-45 | 2929 | 50 | 0.57 | 14.2 | 89 | 15.0 | 0.019 | 0.0012 | 0.0193 | 20.6 | 0.117 | 2.927 | 0.002 |
|  |  | 1580 |  |  |  |  | 0.600 | 0.0407 |  |  | 3.278 | 92.191 | 0.114 |

## Table -7C Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. -3 driven on route no. -1 at morning peak hour (0900 hrs)

| Vehicle speed (km/hr) | Engine Speed (rpm) | Driving Time (sec) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CO } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ <br> (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HC} \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{gathered}$ | AFR | Fuel Cons. <br> (ltr) | Fuel Mass <br> (kg) |  | $\begin{gathered} A F \\ \text { Mass } \\ (\mathrm{kg}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} C O \\ (g m) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\mathrm{gm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} H C \\ (g m) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 858 | 840 | 4.05 | 10.7 | 1063 | 14.0 | 0.336 | 0.0227 | 0.3189 | 341.7 | 13.839 | 36.563 | 0.363 |
| 0-5 | 1027 | 230 | 3.95 | 10.6 | 1329 | 14.0 | 0.092 | 0.0062 | 0.0873 | 93.6 | 3.696 | 9.918 | 0.124 |
| 5-10 | 1231 | 160 | 4.39 | 10.3 | 1401 | 13.7 | 0.064 | 0.0043 | 0.0594 | 63.8 | 2.800 | 6.570 | 0.089 |
| 10-15 | 1554 | 80 | 6.78 | 9.0 | 1460 | 12.6 | 0.032 | 0.0021 | 0.0273 | 29.5 | 2.001 | 2.656 | 0.043 |
| 15-20 | 1728 | 100 | 7.21 | 9.2 | 1181 | 12.2 | 0.040 | 0.0027 | 0.0331 | 35.8 | 2.581 | 3.293 | 0.042 |
| 20-25 | 2198 | 115 | 6.94 | 9.0 | 1304 | 12.4 | 0.046 | 0.0031 | 0.0387 | 41.8 | 2.900 | 3.761 | 0.054 |
| 25-30 | 2454 | 50 | 7.10 | 9.1 | 1245 | 12.4 | 0.020 | 0.0013 | 0.0168 | 18.2 | 1.290 | 1.654 | 0.023 |
| 30-35 | 2691 | 65 | 7.32 | 9.0 | 1146 | 12.2 | 0.026 | 0.0017 | 0.0215 | 23.3 | 1.703 | 2.094 | 0.027 |
| 35-40 | 2790 | 80 | 7.50 | 9.0 | 1103 | 12.1 | 0.032 | 0.0021 | 0.0263 | 28.4 | 2.132 | 2.558 | 0.031 |
| 40-45 | 2889 | 50 | 7.93 | 9.0 | 1049 | 12.0 | 0.020 | 0.0013 | 0.0163 | 17.6 | 1.398 | 1.587 | 0.018 |
|  |  | 1770 |  |  |  |  | 0.708 | 0.0480 |  |  | 34.340 | 70.653 | 0.816 |

Table - 8C Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. -3 driven on route no. -1 at noon off- peak hour (1245 hrs)

| Vehicle speed (km/hr) | Engine Speed (rpm) | Driving Time (sec) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CO } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HC} \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{gathered}$ | AFR | Fuel Cons. <br> (Itr) | Fuel Mass <br> (kg) |  | $\begin{gathered} A F \\ \text { Mass } \\ (\mathrm{kg}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} C O \\ (g m) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\mathrm{gm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HC} \\ (\mathrm{gm}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 858 | 285 | 4.05 | 10.7 | 1063 | 14.0 | 0.114 | 0.0077 | 0.1082 | 115.9 | 4.695 | 12.405 | 0.123 |
| 0-5 | 1027 | 15 | 3.95 | 10.6 | 1329 | 14.0 | 0.006 | 0.0004 | 0.0057 | 6.1 | 0.241 | 0.647 | 0.008 |
| 5-10 | 1231 | 50 | 4.39 | 10.3 | 1401 | 13.7 | 0.020 | 0.0013 | 0.0186 | 19.9 | 0.875 | 2.053 | 0.028 |
| 10-15 | 1554 | 85 | 6.78 | 9.0 | 1460 | 12.6 | 0.034 | 0.0023 | 0.0290 | 31.4 | 2.126 | 2.822 | 0.046 |
| 15-20 | 1728 | 120 | 7.21 | 9.2 | 1181 | 12.2 | 0.048 | 0.0032 | 0.0397 | 43.0 | 3.097 | 3.952 | 0.051 |
| 20-25 | 2198 | 35 | 6.94 | 9.0 | 1304 | 12.4 | 0.014 | 0.0009 | 0.0118 | 12.7 | 0.883 | 1.145 | 0.017 |
| 25-30 | 2454 | 50 | 7.10 | 9.1 | 1245 | 12.4 | 0.020 | 0.0013 | 0.0168 | 18.2 | 1.290 | 1.654 | 0.023 |
| 30-35 | 2691 | 30 | 7.32 | 9.0 | 1146 | 12.2 | 0.012 | 0.0008 | 0.0099 | 10.7 | 0.786 | 0.967 | 0.012 |
| 35-40 | 2790 | 40 | 7.50 | 9.0 | 1103 | 12.1 | 0.016 | 0.0010 | 0.0131 | 14.2 | 1.066 | 1.279 | 0.016 |
| 40-45 | 2889 | 80 | 7.93 | 9.0 | 1049 | 12.0 | 0.032 | 0.0021 | 0.0260 | 28.2 | 2.237 | 2.538 | 0.030 |
| 45-50 | 3012 | 55 | 7.90 | 9.0 | 1040 | 12.0 | 0.022 | 0.0014 | 0.0179 | 19.4 | 1.532 | 1.745 | 0.020 |
|  |  | 845 |  |  |  |  | 0.338 | 0.0229 |  |  | 18.828 | 31.206 | 0.373 |

$\begin{array}{cc}\text { Table - 9C } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. }-3 \text { driven on } \\ \text { route no. }-1 \text { at evening peak hour (1645 hrs) }\end{array}\end{array}$

| Vehicle speed (km/hr) | Engine Speed (rpm) | Driving Time (sec) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CO } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HC} \\ (p p m) \end{gathered}$ | AFR | Fuel Cons. <br> (ltr) | Fuel Mass <br> (kg) | Air Mass <br> (kg) | AF Mass | $\begin{gathered} \hline C O \\ \text { (gm) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\mathrm{gm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { HC } \\ (g m) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 713 | 735 | 4.05 | 10.7 | 1063 | 14.0 | 0.294 | 0.0199 | 0.2791 | 299.0 | 12.109 | 31.993 | 0.318 |
| 0-5 | 907 | 210 | 3.95 | 10.6 | 1329 | 14.0 | 0.084 | 0.0056 | 0.0797 | 85.4 | 3.374 | 9.055 | 0.114 |
| 5-10 | 1087 | 180 | 4.39 | 10.3 | 1401 | 13.7 | 0.072 | 0.0048 | 0.0669 | 71.8 | 3.150 | 7.391 | 0.101 |
| 10-15 | 1378 | 60 | 6.78 | 9.0 | 1460 | 12.6 | 0.024 | 0.0016 | 0.0205 | 22.1 | 1.500 | 1.992 | 0.032 |
| 15-20 | 1554 | 90 | 7.21 | 9.2 | 1181 | 12.2 | 0.036 | 0.0024 | 0.0298 | 32.2 | 2.323 | 2.964 | 0.038 |
| 20-25 | 1842 | 120 | 6.94 | 9.0 | 1304 | 12.4 | 0.048 | 0.0032 | 0.0404 | 43.6 | 3.026 | 3.925 | 0.057 |
| 25-30 | 2029 | 45 | 7.10 | 9.1 | 1245 | 12.4 | 0.018 | 0.0012 | 0.0151 | 16.4 | 1.161 | 1.488 | 0.020 |
| 30-35 | 2289 | 30 | 7.32 | 9.0 | 1146 | 12.2 | 0.012 | 0.0008 | 0.0099 | 10.7 | 0.786 | 0.967 | 0.012 |
| 35-40 | 2429 | 60 | 7.50 | 9.0 | 1103 | 12.1 | 0.024 | 0.0016 | 0.0197 | 21.3 | 1.599 | 1.918 | 0.024 |
| 40-45 | 2643 | 50 | 7.93 | 9.0 | 1049 | 12.0 | 0.020 | 0.0013 | 0.0163 | 17.6 | 0.001 | 1.587 | 0.018 |
|  |  | 1580 |  |  |  |  | 0.632 | 0.0428 |  |  | 29.031 | 63.280 | 0.734 |

## Table - 10C Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. -4 driven on route no. -1 at morning peak hour (0900 hrs)

| Vehicle speed (km/hr) | Engine Speed (rpm) | Driving Time (sec) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CO } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HC} \\ (p p m) \end{gathered}$ | AFR | Fuel Cons. <br> (Itr) | Fuel Mass <br> (kg) | Air Mass (kg) | AF Mass <br> (kg) | $\begin{gathered} C O \\ \text { (gm) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\mathrm{gm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{HC} \\ & (g m) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 945 | 840 | 6.10 | 10.6 | 408 | 12.2 | 0.336 | 0.0227 | 0.2779 | 300.7 | 18.343 | 31.875 | 0.123 |
| 0-5 | 1025 | 230 | 5.51 | 10.4 | 407 | 12.8 | 0.092 | 0.0062 | 0.0798 | 86.1 | 4.743 | 8.952 | 0.035 |
| 5-10 | 1251 | 160 | 6.06 | 10.7 | 417 | 12.4 | 0.064 | 0.0043 | 0.0538 | 58.1 | 3.524 | 6.222 | 0.024 |
| 10-15 | 1551 | 80 | 5.93 | 10.8 | 428 | 12.4 | 0.032 | 0.0021 | 0.0269 | 29.1 | 1.724 | 3.140 | 0.012 |
| 15-20 | 1727 | 100 | 4.96 | 11.3 | 387 | 12.8 | 0.040 | 0.0027 | 0.0347 | 37.4 | 1.856 | 4.229 | 0.014 |
| 20-25 | 2059 | 115 | 4.47 | 11.5 | 335 | 13.1 | 0.046 | 0.0031 | 0.0409 | 44.0 | 1.966 | 5.057 | 0.015 |
| 25-30 | 2236 | 50 | 4.62 | 11.6 | 320 | 13.1 | 0.020 | 0.0013 | 0.0178 | 19.1 | 0.883 | 2.218 | 0.006 |
| 30-35 | 2425 | 65 | 5.02 | 11.4 | 307 | 12.9 | 0.026 | 0.0017 | 0.0227 | 24.5 | 1.230 | 2.793 | 0.008 |
| 35-40 | 2608 | 80 | 4.83 | 11.5 | 285 | 12.9 | 0.032 | 0.0021 | 0.0280 | 30.2 | 1.457 | 3.468 | 0.009 |
| 40-45 | 2845 | 50 | 5.17 | 11.5 | 257 | 12.8 | 0.020 | 0.0013 | 0.0176 | 18.7 | 0.967 | 2.152 | 0.005 |
|  |  | 1770 |  |  |  |  | 0.708 | 0.0480 |  |  | 36.693 | 70.106 | 0.251 |

Table - 11C Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. -4 driven on route no. -1 at noon off- peak hour (1245 hrs)

| Vehicle speed (km/hr) | Engine Speed (rpm) | Driving Time (sec) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CO } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HC} \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{gathered}$ | AFR | Fuel Cons. <br> (Itr) | Fuel Mass <br> (kg) | Air Mass <br> (kg) | AF Mass $(\mathrm{kg})$ | $\begin{gathered} C O \\ (g m) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & \text { (gm) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { HC } \\ (g m) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 713 | 285 | 6.10 | 10.6 | 408 | 12.2 | 0.114 | 0.0077 | 0.0943 | 102.0 | 6.224 | 10.815 | 0.042 |
| 0-5 | 907 | 15 | 5.51 | 10.4 | 407 | 12.8 | 0.006 | 0.0004 | 0.0052 | 5.6 | 0.309 | 0.584 | 0.002 |
| 5-10 | 1087 | 50 | 6.06 | 10.7 | 417 | 12.4 | 0.020 | 0.0013 | 0.0168 | 18.2 | 1.101 | 1.944 | 0.008 |
| 10-15 | 1378 | 85 | 5.93 | 10.8 | 428 | 12.4 | 0.034 | 0.0023 | 0.0286 | 30.9 | 1.832 | 3.336 | 0.013 |
| 15-20 | 1554 | 120 | 4.96 | 11.3 | 387 | 12.8 | 0.048 | 0.0032 | 0.0417 | 44.9 | 2.228 | 5.075 | 0.017 |
| 20-25 | 1842 | 35 | 4.47 | 11.5 | 335 | 13.1 | 0.014 | 0.0009 | 0.0124 | 13.4 | 0.598 | 1.539 | 0.004 |
| 25-30 | 2029 | 50 | 4.62 | 11.6 | 320 | 13.1 | 0.020 | 0.0013 | 0.0178 | 19.1 | 0.883 | 2.218 | 0.006 |
| 30-35 | 2289 | 30 | 5.02 | 11.4 | 307 | 12.9 | 0.012 | 0.0008 | 0.0105 | 11.3 | 0.568 | 1.289 | 0.003 |
| 35-40 | 2429 | 40 | 4.83 | 11.5 | 285 | 12.9 | 0.016 | 0.0010 | 0.0140 | 15.1 | 0.728 | 1.734 | 0.004 |
| 40-45 | 2643 | 80 | 5.17 | 11.5 | 257 | 12.8 | 0.032 | 0.0021 | 0.0278 | 29.9 | 1.548 | 3.443 | 0.008 |
| 45-50 | 2998 | 55 | 5.24 | 11.8 | 248 | 12.8 | 0.022 | 0.0014 | 0.0191 | 20.6 | 1.079 | 2.429 | 0.005 |
|  |  | 845 |  |  |  |  | 0.338 | 0.0220 |  |  | 17.097 | 34.406 | 0.113 |

## Table - 12C Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. $\mathbf{- 4}$ driven on route no. -1 at evening peak hour (1645 hrs)

| Vehicle speed (km/hr) | Engine Speed (rpm) | Driving Time (sec) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CO } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HC} \\ (p p m) \end{gathered}$ | AFR | Fuel Cons. <br> (Itr) | Fuel Mass <br> (kg) | Air Mass <br> (kg) | AF Mass <br> (kg) | $\begin{gathered} C O \\ \text { (gm) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & \text { (gm) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} H C \\ (\mathrm{gm}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 713 | 735 | 6.10 | 10.6 | 408 | 12.2 | 0.294 | 0.0199 | 0.2432 | 263.1 | 16.050 | 27.891 | 0.107 |
| 0-5 | 907 | 210 | 5.51 | 10.4 | 407 | 12.8 | 0.084 | 0.0056 | 0.0729 | 78.6 | 4.331 | 8.174 | 0.032 |
| 5-10 | 1087 | 180 | 6.06 | 10.7 | 417 | 12.4 | 0.072 | 0.0048 | 0.0605 | 65.4 | 3.964 | 6.999 | 0.027 |
| 10-15 | 1378 | 60 | 5.93 | 10.8 | 428 | 12.4 | 0.024 | 0.0016 | 0.0202 | 21.8 | 1.293 | 2.355 | 0.009 |
| 15-20 | 1554 | 90 | 4.96 | 11.3 | 387 | 12.8 | 0.036 | 0.0024 | 0.0312 | 33.7 | 1.671 | 3.806 | 0.013 |
| 20-25 | 1842 | 120 | 4.47 | 11.5 | 335 | 13.1 | 0.048 | 0.0032 | 0.0426 | 45.9 | 2.051 | 5.277 | 0.015 |
| 25-30 | 2029 | 45 | 4.62 | 11.6 | 320 | 13.1 | 0.018 | 0.0012 | 0.0160 | 17.2 | 0.795 | 1.996 | 0.006 |
| 30-35 | 2289 | 30 | 5.02 | 11.4 | 307 | 12.9 | 0.012 | 0.0008 | 0.0105 | 11.3 | 0.568 | 1.289 | 0.003 |
| 35-40 | 2429 | 60 | 4.83 | 11.5 | 285 | 12.9 | 0.024 | 0.0016 | 0.0210 | 22.6 | 1.092 | 2.601 | 0.006 |
| 40-45 | 2643 | 50 | 5.17 | 11.5 | 257 | 12.8 | 0.020 | 0.0013 | 0.0174 | 18.7 | 0.967 | 2.152 | 0.005 |
|  |  | 1580 |  |  |  |  | 0.632 | 0.0428 |  |  | 32.782 | 62.540 | 0.225 |

Table - 13C Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. -5 driven on route no. -1 at morning peak hour (0900 hrs)

| Vehicle speed (km/hr) | Engine Speed (rpm) | Driving Time (sec) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CO } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HC} \\ (p p m) \end{gathered}$ | AFR | Fuel Cons. <br> (ltr) | Fuel Mass (kg) | Air Mass <br> (kg) | AF Mass | $\begin{gathered} C O \\ \text { (gm) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\mathrm{gm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline H C \\ (g m) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 656 | 840 | 0.54 | 13.3 | 355 | 15.6 | 0.336 | 0.0227 | 0.3554 | 378.2 | 2.042 | 50.295 | 0.134 |
| 0-5 | 1075 | 230 | 0.74 | 13.6 | 255 | 15.2 | 0.092 | 0.0062 | 0.0948 | 101.0 | 0.748 | 13.743 | 0.026 |
| 5-10 | 1201 | 160 | 0.88 | 13.6 | 202 | 15.1 | 0.064 | 0.0043 | 0.0655 | 69.9 | 0.615 | 9.501 | 0.014 |
| 10-15 | 1501 | 80 | 0.75 | 13.7 | 185 | 15.2 | 0.032 | 0.0021 | 0.0330 | 35.1 | 0.264 | 4.815 | 0.007 |
| 15-20 | 1723 | 100 | 0.68 | 13.7 | 159 | 15.1 | 0.040 | 0.0027 | 0.0410 | 43.7 | 0.297 | 5.982 | 0.007 |
| 20-25 | 2009 | 115 | 0.80 | 13.8 | 138 | 15.1 | 0.046 | 0.0031 | 0.0471 | 50.2 | 0.402 | 6.929 | 0.007 |
| 25-30 | 2221 | 50 | 0.81 | 13.8 | 132 | 15.1 | 0.020 | 0.0013 | 0.0205 | 21.8 | 0.177 | 3.013 | 0.003 |
| 30-35 | 2438 | 65 | 0.83 | 13.8 | 105 | 15.1 | 0.026 | 0.0017 | 0.0266 | 28.4 | 0.236 | 3.917 | 0.003 |
| 35-40 | 2632 | 80 | 0.80 | 13.8 | 98 | 15.1 | 0.032 | 0.0021 | 0.0327 | 34.9 | 0.279 | 4.820 | 0.003 |
| 40-45 | 2929 | 50 | 0.76 | 13.9 | 101 | 15.0 | 0.020 | 0.0013 | 0.0203 | 21.7 | 0.165 | 3.016 | 0.002 |
|  |  | 1770 |  |  |  |  | 0.708 | 0.0480 |  |  | 5.224 | 106.031 | 0.206 |

Table - 14C Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. -5 driven on route no. -1 at noon off-peak hour (1245 hrs)

| Vehicle speed (km/hr) | Engine Speed (rpm) | Driving Time (sec) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CO } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HC} \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{gathered}$ | AFR | Fuel Cons. <br> (Itr) | Fuel Mass <br> (kg) | Air Mass <br> (kg) | AF Mass <br> (kg) | $\begin{gathered} C O \\ (g m) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & \text { (gm) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HC} \\ (\mathrm{gm}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 656 | 285 | 0.54 | 13.3 | 355 | 15.6 | 0.114 | 0.0077 | 0.1206 | 128.3 | 0.693 | 17.065 | 0.046 |
| 0-5 | 1075 | 15 | 0.74 | 13.6 | 255 | 15.2 | 0.006 | 0.0004 | 0.0062 | 6.6 | 0.049 | 0.896 | 0.002 |
| 5-10 | 1201 | 50 | 0.88 | 13.6 | 202 | 15.1 | 0.020 | 0.0013 | 0.0205 | 21.8 | 0.192 | 2.969 | 0.004 |
| 10-15 | 1501 | 85 | 0.75 | 13.7 | 185 | 15.2 | 0.034 | 0.0023 | 0.0350 | 37.3 | 0.280 | 5.116 | 0.007 |
| 15-20 | 1723 | 120 | 0.68 | 13.7 | 159 | 15.1 | 0.048 | 0.0032 | 0.0491 | 52.4 | 0.356 | 7.178 | 0.008 |
| 20-25 | 2009 | 35 | 0.80 | 13.8 | 138 | 15.1 | 0.014 | 0.0009 | 0.0143 | 15.3 | 0.122 | 2.109 | 0.002 |
| 25-30 | 2221 | 50 | 0.81 | 13.8 | 132 | 15.1 | 0.020 | 0.0013 | 0.0205 | 21.8 | 0.177 | 3.013 | 0.003 |
| 30-35 | 2438 | 30 | 0.83 | 13.8 | 105 | 15.1 | 0.012 | 0.0008 | 0.0123 | 13.1 | 0.109 | 1.808 | 0.001 |
| 35-40 | 2632 | 40 | 0.80 | 13.8 | 98 | 15.1 | 0.016 | 0.0010 | 0.0164 | 17.5 | 0.140 | 2.410 | 0.002 |
| 40-45 | 2929 | 80 | 0.76 | 13.9 | 101 | 15.0 | 0.032 | 0.0021 | 0.0325 | 34.7 | 0.264 | 4.825 | 0.004 |
| 45-50 | 3032 | 55 | 0.75 | 13.9 | 105 | 15.0 | 0.022 | 0.0014 | 0.0224 | 23.9 | 0.179 | 3.317 | 0.003 |
|  |  | 845 |  |  |  |  | 0.338 | 0.0229 |  |  | 2.560 | 50.706 | 0.081 |

Table - 15C Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. -5 driven on route no. -1 at evening peak hour (1645 hrs)

| Vehicle speed (km/hr) | Engine Speed (rpm) | Driving Time (sec) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CO } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HC} \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{gathered}$ | AFR | Fuel Cons. <br> (ltr) | Fuel Mass <br> (kg) | Air Mass <br> (kg) | AF Mass <br> (kg) | $\begin{gathered} C O \\ \text { (gm) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\mathrm{gm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} H C \\ (\mathrm{gm}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 656 | 735 | 0.54 | 13.3 | 355 | 15.6 | 0.294 | 0.0199 | 0.3110 | 330.9 | 1.787 | 44.009 | 0.117 |
| 0-5 | 1075 | 210 | 0.74 | 13.6 | 255 | 15.2 | 0.084 | 0.0056 | 0.0866 | 92.3 | 0.683 | 12.548 | 0.024 |
| 5-10 | 1201 | 180 | 0.88 | 13.6 | 202 | 15.1 | 0.072 | 0.0048 | 0.0737 | 78.6 | 0.692 | 10.689 | 0.016 |
| 10-15 | 1501 | 60 | 0.75 | 13.7 | 185 | 15.2 | 0.024 | 0.0016 | 0.0247 | 26.4 | 0.198 | 3.611 | 0.005 |
| 15-20 | 1723 | 90 | 0.68 | 13.7 | 159 | 15.1 | 0.036 | 0.0024 | 0.0369 | 39.3 | 0.267 | 5.384 | 0.006 |
| 20-25 | 2009 | 120 | 0.80 | 13.8 | 138 | 15.1 | 0.048 | 0.0032 | 0.0491 | 52.4 | 0.419 | 7.231 | 0.007 |
| 25-30 | 2221 | 45 | 0.81 | 13.8 | 132 | 15.1 | 0.018 | 0.0012 | 0.0184 | 19.6 | 0.159 | 2.711 | 0.003 |
| 30-35 | 2438 | 30 | 0.83 | 13.8 | 105 | 15.1 | 0.012 | 0.0008 | 0.0123 | 13.1 | 0.109 | 1.808 | 0.001 |
| 35-40 | 2632 | 60 | 0.80 | 13.8 | 98 | 15.1 | 0.024 | 0.0016 | 0.0246 | 26.2 | 0.210 | 3.615 | 0.003 |
| 40-45 | 2929 | 50 | 0.76 | 13.9 | 101 | 15.0 | 0.020 | 0.0013 | 0.0203 | 21.7 | 0.165 | 3.016 | 0.002 |
|  |  | 1580 |  |  |  |  | 0.632 | 0.0428 |  |  | 4.688 | 94.621 | 0.184 |

## Table - 16C Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. -6 driven on route no. -1 at morning peak hour (0900 hrs)

| Vehicle speed (km/hr) | Engine Speed (rpm) | Driving Time (sec) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CO } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HC} \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{gathered}$ | AFR | Fuel Cons. <br> (ltr) | Fuel Mass <br> (kg) | Air Mass (kg) | AF Mass <br> (kg) | $\begin{gathered} C O \\ \text { (gm) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\mathrm{gm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} H C \\ (g m) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 697 | 840 | 0.17 | 14.4 | 484 | 15.0 | 0.336 | 0.0227 | 0.3417 | 364.5 | 0.620 | 52.487 | 0.176 |
| 0-5 | 1042 | 230 | 0.15 | 14.4 | 468 | 15.0 | 0.092 | 0.0062 | 0.0936 | 99.8 | 0.150 | 14.371 | 0.047 |
| 5-10 | 1250 | 160 | 0.23 | 14.4 | 392 | 15.0 | 0.064 | 0.0043 | 0.0651 | 69.4 | 0.160 | 9.998 | 0.027 |
| 10-15 | 1568 | 80 | 0.23 | 14.5 | 288 | 15.1 | 0.032 | 0.0021 | 0.0328 | 34.9 | 0.080 | 5.065 | 0.010 |
| 15-20 | 1778 | 100 | 0.24 | 14.5 | 257 | 15.1 | 0.040 | 0.0027 | 0.0410 | 43.7 | 0.105 | 6.331 | 0.011 |
| 20-25 | 2104 | 115 | 0.31 | 14.5 | 196 | 15.1 | 0.046 | 0.0031 | 0.0471 | 50.2 | 0.156 | 7.281 | 0.010 |
| 25-30 | 2454 | 50 | 0.35 | 14.5 | 188 | 15.1 | 0.020 | 0.0013 | 0.0205 | 21.8 | 0.076 | 3.166 | 0.004 |
| 30-35 | 2664 | 65 | 0.41 | 14.3 | 178 | 15.1 | 0.026 | 0.0017 | 0.0266 | 28.4 | 0.116 | 4.058 | 0.005 |
| 35-40 | 2790 | 80 | 0.43 | 14.3 | 171 | 15.0 | 0.032 | 0.0021 | 0.0325 | 34.7 | 0.149 | 4.964 | 0.006 |
| 40-45 | 2889 | 50 | 0.47 | 14.3 | 169 | 13.9 | 0.020 | 0.0013 | 0.0188 | 20.2 | 0.095 | 2.889 | 0.003 |
|  |  | 1770 |  |  |  |  | 0.708 | 0.0480 |  |  | 1.707 | 110.610 | 0.300 |

## Table - 17C Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. -6 driven on route no. -1 at noon off-peak hour (1245 hrs)

| Vehicle speed (km/hr) | Engine Speed <br> (rpm) | Driving Time (sec) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CO } \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { HC } \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{gathered}$ | AFR | Fuel Cons. <br> (ltr) | Fuel Mass <br> (kg) | Air Mass <br> (kg) | AF Mass <br> (kg) | $\begin{gathered} C O \\ (\mathrm{gm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\mathrm{gm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline H C \\ (g m) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 697 | 285 | 0.17 | 14.4 | 484 | 15.0 | 0.114 | 0.0077 | 0.1159 | 123.7 | 0.210 | 17.808 | 0.060 |
| 0-5 | 1042 | 15 | 0.15 | 14.4 | 468 | 15.0 | 0.006 | 0.0004 | 0.0061 | 6.5 | 0.010 | 0.937 | 0.003 |
| 5-10 | 1250 | 50 | 0.23 | 14.4 | 392 | 15.0 | 0.020 | 0.0013 | 0.0203 | 21.7 | 0.050 | 3.124 | 0.009 |
| 10-15 | 1568 | 85 | 0.23 | 14.5 | 288 | 15.1 | 0.034 | 0.0023 | 0.0348 | 37.1 | 0.085 | 5.381 | 0.011 |
| 15-20 | 1778 | 120 | 0.24 | 14.5 | 257 | 15.1 | 0.048 | 0.0032 | 0.0491 | 52.4 | 0.126 | 7.597 | 0.013 |
| 20-25 | 2104 | 35 | 0.31 | 14.5 | 196 | 15.1 | 0.014 | 0.0009 | 0.0143 | 15.3 | 0.047 | 2.216 | 0.003 |
| 25-30 | 2454 | 50 | 0.35 | 14.5 | 188 | 15.1 | 0.020 | 0.0013 | 0.0205 | 21.8 | 0.076 | 3.166 | 0.004 |
| 30-35 | 2664 | 30 | 0.41 | 14.3 | 178 | 15.1 | 0.012 | 0.0008 | 0.0123 | 13.1 | 0.054 | 1.873 | 0.002 |
| 35-40 | 2790 | 40 | 0.43 | 14.3 | 171 | 15.0 | 0.016 | 0.0010 | 0.0163 | 17.4 | 0.075 | 2.482 | 0.003 |
| 40-45 | 2889 | 80 | 0.47 | 14.3 | 169 | 13.9 | 0.032 | 0.0021 | 0.0302 | 32.3 | 0.152 | 4.623 | 0.005 |
| 45-50 | 3023 | 55 | 0.48 | 14.5 | 168 | 13.9 | 0.022 | 0.0014 | 0.0207 | 22.2 | 0.107 | 3.223 | 0.004 |
|  |  | 845 |  |  |  |  | 0.338 | 0.0229 |  |  | 0.992 | 52.430 | 0.117 |

Table - 18C Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. -6 driven on the route no. -1 at evening peak hour (1645 hrs)

| Vehicle <br> speed <br> $(\mathbf{k m} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Engine <br> Speed <br> $($ rpm $)$ | Driving <br> Time <br> $(\mathbf{s e c})$ | CO <br> $(\%)$ | $\mathbf{C O}_{2}$ <br> $(\%)$ | HC <br> $(\mathrm{ppm})$ | AFR | Fuel <br> Cons. <br> $(\mathrm{ltr})$ | Fuel <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | Air <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | AF <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | CO <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ | $\mathbf{C O}_{2}$ <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ | HC <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | 697 | 735 | 0.17 | 14.4 | 484 | 15.0 | 0.294 | 0.0199 | 0.2990 | 318.9 | 0.542 | 45.926 | 0.154 |
| $0-5$ | 1042 | 210 | 0.15 | 14.4 | 468 | 15.0 | 0.084 | 0.0056 | 0.0854 | 91.1 | 0.137 | 13.122 | 0.043 |
| $\mathbf{5 - 1 0}$ | 1250 | 180 | 0.23 | 14.4 | 392 | 15.0 | 0.072 | 0.0048 | 0.0732 | 78.1 | 0.180 | 11.247 | 0.031 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 - 1 5}$ | 1568 | 60 | 0.23 | 14.5 | 288 | 15.1 | 0.024 | 0.0016 | 0.0246 | 26.2 | 0.060 | 3.799 | 0.008 |
| $\mathbf{1 5 - 2 0}$ | 1778 | 90 | 0.24 | 14.5 | 257 | 15.1 | 0.036 | 0.0024 | 0.0369 | 39.3 | 0.094 | 5.698 | 0.010 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 5}$ | 2104 | 120 | 0.31 | 14.5 | 196 | 15.1 | 0.048 | 0.0032 | 0.0491 | 52.4 | 0.162 | 7.597 | 0.010 |
| $\mathbf{2 5 - 3 0}$ | 2454 | 45 | 0.35 | 14.5 | 188 | 15.1 | 0.018 | 0.0012 | 0.0184 | 19.6 | 0.069 | 2.849 | 0.004 |
| $30-35$ | 2664 | 30 | 0.41 | 14.3 | 178 | 15.1 | 0.012 | 0.0008 | 0.0123 | 13.1 | 0.054 | 1.873 | 0.002 |
| $\mathbf{3 5 - 4 0}$ | 2790 | 60 | 0.43 | 14.3 | 171 | 15.0 | 0.024 | 0.0016 | 0.0244 | 26.0 | 0.112 | 3.723 | 0.004 |
| $\mathbf{4 0 - 4 5}$ | 2889 | 50 | 0.47 | 14.3 | 169 | 13.9 | 0.020 | 0.0013 | 0.0188 | 20.2 | 0.095 | 2.889 | 0.003 |
|  |  | 1580 |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0 . 6 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 4 2 8}$ |  |  | 1.505 | 98.724 | $\mathbf{0 . 2 6 9}$ |

Table - 19C Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. -1 driven on route no. -2 at morning peak hour (0900 hrs)

| Vehicle speed (km/hr) | Engine Speed (rpm) | Driving Time (sec) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CO } \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HC} \\ (p p m) \end{gathered}$ | AFR | Fuel Cons. <br> (ltr) | Fuel Mass <br> (kg) | Air Mass <br> (kg) | $\begin{gathered} \hline A F \\ \text { Mass } \end{gathered}$ $(\mathrm{kg})$ | $\begin{gathered} C O \\ (g m) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\mathrm{gm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} H C \\ (\mathrm{gm}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 713 | 4750 | 0.19 | 12.3 | 387 | 15.1 | 1.900 | 0.1288 | 1.9452 | 2074.0 | 3.941 | 255.102 | 0.803 |
| 0-5 | 907 | 460 | 0.19 | 12.3 | 413 | 14.6 | 0.184 | 0.0125 | 0.1821 | 194.6 | 0.370 | 23.937 | 0.080 |
| 5-10 | 1087 | 535 | 0.67 | 12.4 | 382 | 14.4 | 0.214 | 0.0145 | 0.2089 | 223.4 | 1.497 | 27.707 | 0.085 |
| 10-15 | 1378 | 310 | 0.41 | 12.6 | 285 | 14.6 | 0.124 | 0.0084 | 0.1227 | 131.2 | 0.538 | 16.525 | 0.037 |
| 15-20 | 1554 | 230 | 0.39 | 12.9 | 253 | 14.6 | 0.092 | 0.0062 | 0.0911 | 97.3 | 0.379 | 12.553 | 0.025 |
| 20-25 | 1842 | 120 | 0.59 | 13.0 | 252 | 14.7 | 0.048 | 0.0033 | 0.0478 | 51.1 | 0.301 | 6.642 | 0.013 |
| 25-30 | 2029 | 75 | 0.45 | 13.1 | 315 | 14.5 | 0.030 | 0.0020 | 0.0295 | 31.5 | 0.142 | 4.130 | 0.010 |
| 30-35 | 2289 | 25 | 0.35 | 12.9 | 332 | 14.4 | 0.010 | 0.0007 | 0.0098 | 10.4 | 0.037 | 1.347 | 0.003 |
| 35-40 | 2429 | 65 | 0.34 | 12.8 | 325 | 14.2 | 0.026 | 0.0018 | 0.0250 | 26.8 | 0.091 | 3.430 | 0.009 |
| 40-45 | 2643 | 25 | 0.35 | 12.8 | 282 | 13.9 | 0.010 | 0.0007 | 0.0094 | 10.1 | 0.035 | 1.293 | 0.003 |
|  |  | 6595 |  |  |  |  | 2.638 | 0.1789 |  |  | 7.331 | 352.666 | 1.068 |

## Table - 20C Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. -1 driven on route no. -2 at noon 0oo-peak hour (1245 hrs)

| Vehicle speed (km/hr) | Engine Speed (rpm) | Driving Time (sec) | $\begin{aligned} & C O \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ <br> (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { HC } \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{gathered}$ | AFR | Fuel Cons. <br> (ltr) | Fuel Mass <br> (kg) | Air Mass (kg) | $\begin{gathered} A F \\ \text { Mass } \\ (\mathrm{kg}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} C O \\ \text { (gm) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\mathrm{gm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HC} \\ (\mathrm{gm}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 713 | 1535 | 0.19 | 12.3 | 387 | 15.1 | 0.614 | 0.0416 | 0.6286 | 670.2 | 1.273 | 82.438 | 0.259 |
| 0-5 | 907 | 255 | 0.19 | 12.3 | 413 | 14.6 | 0.102 | 0.0069 | 0.1010 | 107.9 | 0.205 | 13.270 | 0.045 |
| 5-10 | 1087 | 340 | 0.67 | 12.4 | 382 | 14.4 | 0.136 | 0.0092 | 0.1328 | 142.0 | 0.951 | 17.608 | 0.054 |
| 10-15 | 1378 | 275 | 0.41 | 12.6 | 285 | 14.6 | 0.110 | 0.0074 | 0.1089 | 116.3 | 0.477 | 14.659 | 0.033 |
| 15-20 | 1554 | 150 | 0.39 | 12.9 | 253 | 14.6 | 0.060 | 0.0040 | 0.0594 | 63.5 | 0.247 | 8.186 | 0.016 |
| 20-25 | 1842 | 170 | 0.59 | 13.0 | 252 | 14.7 | 0.068 | 0.0046 | 0.0678 | 72.4 | 0.427 | 9.410 | 0.018 |
| 25-30 | 2029 | 75 | 0.45 | 13.1 | 315 | 14.5 | 0.030 | 0.0020 | 0.0295 | 31.5 | 0.142 | 4.130 | 0.010 |
| 30-35 | 2289 | 25 | 0.35 | 12.9 | 332 | 14.4 | 0.010 | 0.0006 | 0.0098 | 10.4 | 0.037 | 1.347 | 0.003 |
| 35-40 | 2429 | 130 | 0.34 | 12.8 | 325 | 14.2 | 0.052 | 0.0035 | 0.0501 | 53.6 | 0.182 | 6.859 | 0.017 |
| 40-45 | 2643 | 75 | 0.35 | 12.8 | 282 | 13.9 | 0.030 | 0.0020 | 0.0283 | 30.3 | 0.106 | 3.879 | 0.009 |
| 45-50 | 2845 | 55 | 0.35 | 12.8 | 276 | 13.4 | 0.022 | 0.0014 | 0.0200 | 21.5 | 0.075 | 2.749 | 0.006 |
| 50-55 | 3049 | 45 | 0.35 | 12.8 | 247 | 12.8 | 0.018 | 0.0012 | 0.0156 | 16.8 | 0.059 | 2.156 | 0.004 |
|  |  | 3130 |  |  |  |  | 1.252 | 0.0848 |  |  | 4.182 | 166.692 | 0.475 |

Table - 21C Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. -1 driven on
route no. -2 at evening peak hour ( 1645 hrs )

| Vehicle <br> speed <br> $(\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Engine <br> Speed <br> $(\mathrm{rpm})$ | Driving <br> Time <br> $(\mathrm{sec})$ | CO <br> $(\%)$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ <br> $(\%)$ | HC <br> $(\mathrm{ppm})$ | AFR | Fuel <br> Cons. <br> $(\mathrm{ltr})$ | Fuel <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | Air <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | AF <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | CO <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ | HC <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | 713 | 4470 | 0.19 | 12.3 | 387 | 15.1 | 1.788 | 0.1212 | 1.8305 | 1951.7 | 3.708 | 240.065 | 0.755 |
| $\mathbf{0 - 5}$ | 907 | 520 | 0.19 | 12.3 | 413 | 14.6 | 0.208 | 0.0141 | 0.2059 | 220.0 | 0.418 | 27.060 | 0.091 |
| $\mathbf{5 - 1 0}$ | 1087 | 510 | 0.67 | 12.4 | 382 | 14.4 | 0.204 | 0.0138 | 0.1992 | 213.0 | 1.427 | 26.412 | 0.081 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 - 1 5}$ | 1378 | 365 | 0.41 | 12.6 | 285 | 14.6 | 0.146 | 0.0099 | 0.1445 | 154.4 | 0.633 | 19.457 | 0.044 |
| $\mathbf{1 5 - 2 0}$ | 1554 | 245 | 0.39 | 12.9 | 253 | 14.6 | 0.098 | 0.0066 | 0.0970 | 103.7 | 0.404 | 13.371 | 0.026 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 5}$ | 1842 | 145 | 0.59 | 13.0 | 252 | 14.7 | 0.058 | 0.0039 | 0.0578 | 61.7 | 0.364 | 8.026 | 0.016 |
| $\mathbf{2 5 - 3 0}$ | 2029 | 65 | 0.45 | 13.1 | 315 | 14.5 | 0.026 | 0.0018 | 0.0256 | 27.3 | 0.123 | 3.579 | 0.009 |
| $\mathbf{3 0 - 3 5}$ | 2289 | 45 | 0.35 | 12.9 | 332 | 14.4 | 0.018 | 0.0012 | 0.0176 | 18.8 | 0.066 | 2.424 | 0.006 |
| $\mathbf{3 5 - 4 0}$ | 2429 | 55 | 0.34 | 12.8 | 325 | 14.2 | 0.022 | 0.0015 | 0.0212 | 22.7 | 0.077 | 2.902 | 0.007 |
| $\mathbf{4 0 - 4 5}$ | 2643 | 30 | 0.35 | 12.8 | 282 | 13.9 | 0.012 | 0.0008 | 0.0113 | 12.1 | 0.042 | 1.552 | 0.003 |
|  |  | 6450 |  |  |  |  | 2.580 | 0.1749 |  |  | 7.263 | 344.848 | 1.039 |

Table - 22C Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. -2 driven on route no. -2 at morning peak hour (0900 hrs)

| Vehicle speed (km/hr) | Engine Speed (rpm) | Driving Time (sec) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CO } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HC} \\ (p p m) \end{gathered}$ | AFR | Fuel Cons. <br> (Itr) | Fuel Mass <br> (kg) |  |  | $\begin{gathered} C O \\ (g m) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\mathrm{gm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HC} \\ (g m) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 712 | 4750 | 0.57 | 13.8 | 228 | 15.2 | 1.900 | 0.1288 | 1.9581 | 2086.9 | 11.895 | 287.990 | 0.476 |
| 0-5 | 1091 | 460 | 0.35 | 14.0 | 155 | 15.4 | 0.184 | 0.0124 | 0.1921 | 204.6 | 0.716 | 28.643 | 0.032 |
| 5-10 | 1277 | 535 | 0.32 | 13.9 | 129 | 15.6 | 0.214 | 0.0145 | 0.2263 | 240.9 | 0.771 | 33.479 | 0.031 |
| 10-15 | 1524 | 310 | 0.36 | 14.0 | 119 | 15.4 | 0.124 | 0.0084 | 0.1295 | 137.9 | 0.496 | 19.303 | 0.016 |
| 15-20 | 1761 | 230 | 0.44 | 14.1 | 121 | 15.2 | 0.092 | 0.0062 | 0.0948 | 101.0 | 0.445 | 14.248 | 0.012 |
| 20-25 | 2164 | 120 | 0.53 | 14.2 | 117 | 15.1 | 0.048 | 0.0032 | 0.0491 | 52.4 | 0.278 | 7.440 | 0.006 |
| 25-30 | 2221 | 75 | 0.57 | 14.2 | 115 | 15.1 | 0.030 | 0.0020 | 0.0307 | 32.7 | 0.187 | 4.650 | 0.004 |
| 30-35 | 2438 | 25 | 0.60 | 14.1 | 97 | 15.1 | 0.010 | 0.0006 | 0.0102 | 10.9 | 0.065 | 1.539 | 0.001 |
| 35-40 | 2632 | 65 | 0.62 | 14.1 | 90 | 15.0 | 0.026 | 0.0017 | 0.0264 | 28.2 | 0.175 | 3.977 | 0.003 |
| 40-45 | 2929 | 25 | 0.57 | 14.2 | 89 | 15.0 | 0.010 | 0.0006 | 0.0102 | 10.8 | 0.062 | 1.540 | 0.001 |
|  |  | 6595 |  |  |  |  | 2.638 | 0.1788 |  |  | 15.090 | 402.809 | 0.582 |

Table - 23C Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. $\mathbf{- 2}$ driven on route no. $\mathbf{- 2}$ at noon off- peak hour (1245 hrs)

| Vehicle <br> speed <br> $(\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Engine <br> Speed <br> $(\mathrm{rpm})$ | Driving <br> Time <br> $(\mathbf{s e c})$ | CO <br> $(\%)$ | $\mathbf{C O}_{2}$ <br> $(\%)$ | HC <br> $(\mathrm{ppm})$ | AFR | Fuel <br> Cons. <br> $(\mathrm{ltr})$ | Fuel <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | Air <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | AF <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | CO <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ | $\mathbf{C O}_{2}$ <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ | HC <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | 712 | 1535 | 0.57 | 13.8 | 228 | 15.2 | 0.614 | 0.0416 | 0.6328 | 674.4 | 3.844 | 93.066 | 0.154 |
| $\mathbf{0 - 5}$ | 1091 | 255 | 0.35 | 14.0 | 155 | 15.4 | 0.102 | 0.0069 | 0.1065 | 113.4 | 0.397 | 15.878 | 0.018 |
| $\mathbf{5 - 1 0}$ | 1277 | 340 | 0.32 | 13.9 | 129 | 15.6 | 0.136 | 0.0092 | 0.1438 | 153.1 | 0.490 | 21.276 | 0.020 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 - 1 5}$ | 1524 | 275 | 0.36 | 14.0 | 119 | 15.4 | 0.110 | 0.0075 | 0.1149 | 122.3 | 0.440 | 17.124 | 0.015 |
| $\mathbf{1 5 - 2 0}$ | 1761 | 150 | 0.44 | 14.1 | 121 | 15.2 | 0.060 | 0.0041 | 0.0618 | 65.9 | 0.290 | 9.292 | 0.008 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 5}$ | 2164 | 170 | 0.53 | 14.2 | 117 | 15.1 | 0.068 | 0.0046 | 0.0696 | 74.2 | 0.393 | 10.540 | 0.009 |
| $\mathbf{2 5 - 3 0}$ | 2221 | 75 | 0.57 | 14.2 | 115 | 15.1 | 0.030 | 0.0020 | 0.0307 | 32.7 | 0.187 | 4.650 | 0.004 |
| $\mathbf{3 0 - 3 5}$ | 2438 | 25 | 0.60 | 14.1 | 97 | 15.1 | 0.010 | 0.0007 | 0.0102 | 10.9 | 0.065 | 1.539 | 0.001 |
| $\mathbf{3 5 - 4 0}$ | 2632 | 130 | 0.62 | 14.1 | 90 | 15.0 | 0.052 | 0.0035 | 0.0529 | 56.4 | 0.350 | 7.954 | 0.005 |
| $40-45$ | 2929 | 75 | 0.57 | 14.2 | 89 | 15.0 | 0.030 | 0.0020 | 0.0305 | 32.5 | 0.186 | 4.621 | 0.003 |
| $\mathbf{4 5 - 5 0}$ | 3023 | 100 | 0.54 | 14.4 | 87 | 14.9 | 0.040 | 0.0027 | 0.0404 | 43.1 | 0.233 | 6.209 | 0.004 |
|  |  | 3130 |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{1 . 2 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 8 4 9}$ |  |  | 6.875 | 192.150 | 0.239 |

Table - 24C Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. -2 driven on route no. -2 at evening peak hour (1645 hrs)

| Vehicle <br> speed <br> $(\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Engine <br> Speed <br> $(\mathrm{rpm})$ | Driving <br> Time <br> $(\mathrm{sec})$ | CO <br> $(\%)$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ <br> $(\%)$ | HC <br> $(\mathrm{ppm})$ | AFR | Fuel <br> Cons. <br> $(\mathrm{ltr})$ | Fuel <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | Air <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | AF <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | CO <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ | CO2 <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ | HC <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | 712 | 4470 | 0.57 | 13.8 | 228 | 15.2 | 1.788 | 0.1212 | 1.8426 | 1963.9 | 11.194 | 271.014 | 0.448 |
| $\mathbf{0 - 5}$ | 1091 | 520 | 0.35 | 14.0 | 155 | 15.4 | 0.208 | 0.0141 | 0.2172 | 231.3 | 0.809 | 32.379 | 0.036 |
| $\mathbf{5 - 1 0}$ | 1277 | 510 | 0.32 | 13.9 | 129 | 15.6 | 0.204 | 0.0138 | 0.2158 | 229.6 | 0.735 | 31.914 | 0.030 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 - 1 5}$ | 1524 | 365 | 0.36 | 14.0 | 119 | 15.4 | 0.146 | 0.0099 | 0.1524 | 162.3 | 0.584 | 22.728 | 0.019 |
| $\mathbf{1 5 - 2 0}$ | 1761 | 245 | 0.44 | 14.1 | 121 | 15.2 | 0.098 | 0.0066 | 0.1010 | 107.6 | 0.474 | 15.177 | 0.013 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 5}$ | 2164 | 145 | 0.53 | 14.2 | 117 | 15.1 | 0.058 | 0.0039 | 0.0594 | 63.3 | 0.336 | 8.990 | 0.007 |
| $\mathbf{2 5 - 3 0}$ | 2221 | 65 | 0.57 | 14.2 | 115 | 15.1 | 0.026 | 0.0018 | 0.0266 | 28.4 | 0.162 | 4.030 | 0.003 |
| $\mathbf{3 0 - 3 5}$ | 2438 | 45 | 0.60 | 14.1 | 97 | 15.1 | 0.018 | 0.0012 | 0.0184 | 19.6 | 0.118 | 2.770 | 0.002 |
| $\mathbf{3 5 - 4 0}$ | 2632 | 55 | 0.62 | 14.1 | 90 | 15.0 | 0.022 | 0.0015 | 0.0224 | 23.9 | 0.148 | 3.365 | 0.002 |
| $\mathbf{4 0 - 4 5}$ | 2929 | 30 | 0.57 | 14.2 | 89 | 15.0 | 0.012 | 0.0008 | 0.0122 | 13.0 | 0.074 | 1.848 | 0.001 |
|  |  | 6450 |  |  |  |  | 2.580 | 0.1749 |  |  | 14.634 | 394.216 | 0.561 |

## Table - 25C Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. -3 driven on route no. $\mathbf{- 2}$ at morning peak hour (0900 hrs)

| Vehicle <br> speed <br> $(\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Engine <br> Speed <br> $(\mathrm{rpm})$ | Driving <br> Time <br> $(\mathbf{s e c})$ | CO <br> $(\%)$ | CO $_{2}$ <br> $(\%)$ | HC <br> $(\mathrm{ppm})$ | AFR | Fuel <br> Cons. <br> $(\mathrm{ltr})$ | Fuel <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | Air <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | AF <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | CO <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ | HC <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | 858 | 4750 | 4.05 | 10.7 | 1063 | 14.0 | 1.900 | 0.1288 | 1.8035 | 1932.3 | 78.258 | 206.75 | 2.054 |
| $\mathbf{0 - 5}$ | 1027 | 460 | 3.95 | 10.6 | 1329 | 14.0 | 0.184 | 0.0125 | 0.1747 | 187.1 | 7.392 | 19.84 | 0.249 |
| $5-10$ | 1231 | 535 | 4.39 | 10.3 | 1401 | 13.7 | 0.214 | 0.0145 | 0.1988 | 213.3 | 9.363 | 21.97 | 0.299 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 - 1 5}$ | 1554 | 310 | 6.78 | 9.0 | 1460 | 12.6 | 0.124 | 0.0084 | 0.1059 | 114.3 | 7.752 | 10.29 | 0.167 |
| $\mathbf{1 5 - 2 0}$ | 1728 | 230 | 7.21 | 9.2 | 1181 | 12.2 | 0.092 | 0.0062 | 0.0761 | 82.3 | 5.936 | 7.57 | 0.097 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 5}$ | 2198 | 120 | 6.94 | 9.0 | 1304 | 12.4 | 0.048 | 0.0033 | 0.0404 | 43.6 | 3.026 | 3.92 | 0.057 |
| $\mathbf{2 5 - 3 0}$ | 2454 | 75 | 7.10 | 9.1 | 1245 | 12.4 | 0.030 | 0.0020 | 0.0252 | 27.3 | 1.935 | 2.48 | 0.034 |
| $\mathbf{3 0 - 3 5}$ | 2691 | 25 | 7.32 | 9.0 | 1146 | 12.2 | 0.010 | 0.0007 | 0.0083 | 8.9 | 0.655 | 0.81 | 0.010 |
| $\mathbf{3 5 - 4 0}$ | 2790 | 65 | 7.50 | 9.0 | 1103 | 12.1 | 0.026 | 0.0018 | 0.0213 | 23.1 | 1.732 | 2.08 | 0.025 |
| $\mathbf{4 0 - 4 5}$ | 2889 | 25 | 7.93 | 9.0 | 1049 | 12.0 | 0.010 | 0.0007 | 0.0081 | 8.8 | 0.699 | 0.79 | 0.009 |
|  |  | 6595 |  |  |  |  | 2.638 | 0.1789 |  |  | 116.749 | 276.51 | 3.001 |

## Table - 26C Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. -3 driven on route no. $\mathbf{- 2}$ at noon off- peak hour ( 1245 hrs )

| Vehicle speed (km/hr) | Engine Speed (rpm) | Driving Time (sec) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CO } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ <br> (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { HC } \\ (p p m) \end{gathered}$ | AFR | Fuel Cons. <br> (ltr) | Fuel Mass <br> (kg) |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline A F \\ \text { Mass } \\ (\mathrm{kg}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} C O \\ (g m) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\mathrm{gm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline H C \\ (g m) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 858 | 1535 | 4.05 | 10.7 | 1063 | 14.0 | 0.614 | 0.0416 | 0.5828 | 624.4 | 25.290 | 66.815 | 0.664 |
| 0-5 | 1027 | 255 | 3.95 | 10.6 | 1329 | 14.0 | 0.102 | 0.0069 | 0.0968 | 103.7 | 4.097 | 10.996 | 0.138 |
| 5-10 | 1231 | 340 | 4.39 | 10.3 | 1401 | 13.7 | 0.136 | 0.0092 | 0.1263 | 135.5 | 5.950 | 13.961 | 0.190 |
| 10-15 | 1554 | 275 | 6.78 | 9.0 | 1460 | 12.6 | 0.110 | 0.0075 | 0.0940 | 101.4 | 6.877 | 9.129 | 0.148 |
| 15-20 | 1728 | 150 | 7.21 | 9.2 | 1181 | 12.2 | 0.060 | 0.0041 | 0.0496 | 53.7 | 3.872 | 4.940 | 0.063 |
| 20-25 | 2198 | 170 | 6.94 | 9.0 | 1304 | 12.4 | 0.068 | 0.0046 | 0.0572 | 61.8 | 4.287 | 5.560 | 0.081 |
| 25-30 | 2454 | 75 | 7.10 | 9.1 | 1245 | 12.4 | 0.030 | 0.0020 | 0.0252 | 27.3 | 1.935 | 2.480 | 0.034 |
| 30-35 | 2691 | 25 | 7.32 | 9.0 | 1146 | 12.2 | 0.010 | 0.0007 | 0.0083 | 8.9 | 0.655 | 0.805 | 0.010 |
| 35-40 | 2790 | 130 | 7.50 | 9.0 | 1103 | 12.1 | 0.052 | 0.0035 | 0.0427 | 46.2 | 3.464 | 4.157 | 0.051 |
| 40-45 | 2889 | 75 | 7.93 | 9.0 | 1049 | 12.0 | 0.030 | 0.0020 | 0.0244 | 26.4 | 2.097 | 2.380 | 0.028 |
| 45-50 | 3012 | 100 | 7.90 | 9.0 | 1040 | 12.0 | 0.040 | 0.0027 | 0.0325 | 35.3 | 2.785 | 3.173 | 0.037 |
|  |  | 3130 |  |  |  |  | 1.252 | 0.0849 |  |  | 61.310 | 124.396 | 1.443 |

Table - 27C Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. -3 driven on route no. -2 at evening peak hour (1645 hrs)

| Vehicle <br> speed <br> $(\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Engine <br> Speed <br> $(\mathrm{rpm})$ | Driving <br> Time <br> $(\mathbf{s e c})$ | CO <br> $(\%)$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ <br> $(\%)$ | HC <br> $(\mathrm{ppm})$ | AFR | Fuel <br> Cons. <br> $(\mathrm{ltr})$ | Fuel <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | Air <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | AF <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | CO <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ | HC <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | 713 | 4470 | 4.05 | 10.7 | 1063 | 14.0 | 1.788 | 0.121 | 1.697 | 1818.4 | 73.645 | 194.568 | 1.933 |
| $\mathbf{0 - 5}$ | 907 | 520 | 3.95 | 10.6 | 1329 | 14.0 | 0.208 | 0.014 | 0.197 | 211.5 | 8.356 | 22.423 | 0.281 |
| $\mathbf{5 - 1 0}$ | 1087 | 510 | 4.39 | 10.3 | 1401 | 13.7 | 0.204 | 0.014 | 0.189 | 203.3 | 8.926 | 20.942 | 0.285 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 - 1 5}$ | 1378 | 365 | 6.78 | 9.0 | 1460 | 12.6 | 0.146 | 0.010 | 0.125 | 134.6 | 9.127 | 12.116 | 0.197 |
| $\mathbf{1 5 - 2 0}$ | 1554 | 245 | 7.21 | 9.2 | 1181 | 12.2 | 0.098 | 0.007 | 0.081 | 87.7 | 6.324 | 8.069 | 0.104 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 5}$ | 1842 | 145 | 6.94 | 9.0 | 1304 | 12.4 | 0.058 | 0.004 | 0.049 | 52.7 | 3.657 | 4.742 | 0.069 |
| $\mathbf{2 5 - 3 0}$ | 2029 | 65 | 7.10 | 9.1 | 1245 | 12.4 | 0.026 | 0.002 | 0.022 | 23.6 | 1.677 | 2.150 | 0.029 |
| $\mathbf{3 0 - 3 5}$ | 2289 | 45 | 7.32 | 9.0 | 1146 | 12.2 | 0.018 | 0.001 | 0.015 | 16.1 | 1.179 | 1.450 | 0.018 |
| $\mathbf{3 5 - 4 0}$ | 2429 | 55 | 7.50 | 9.0 | 1103 | 12.1 | 0.022 | 0.001 | 0.018 | 19.5 | 1.465 | 1.759 | 0.022 |
| $\mathbf{4 0 - 4 5}$ | 2643 | 30 | 7.93 | 9.0 | 1049 | 12.0 | 0.012 | 0.001 | 0.010 | 10.6 | 0.839 | 0.952 | 0.011 |
|  |  | 6450 | 7.90 | 9.0 |  |  | 2.580 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 7 5}$ |  |  | 115.195 | 269.171 | 2.948 |

Table - 28C Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. -4 driven on the route no. $\mathbf{- 2}$ at morning peak hour (0900 hrs)

| Vehicle speed (km/hr) | Engine Speed (rpm) | Driving Time (sec) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CO } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HC} \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{gathered}$ | AFR | Fuel Cons. <br> (ltr) | Fuel Mass <br> (kg) | Air Mass <br> (kg) | $\begin{gathered} A F \\ \text { Mass } \end{gathered}$ (kg) | $\begin{gathered} C O \\ (g m) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\mathrm{gm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} H C \\ (\mathrm{gm}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 945 | 4750 | 6.10 | 10.6 | 408 | 12.2 | 1.900 | 0.129 | 1.572 | 1700.4 | 103.726 | 180.245 | 0.694 |
| 0-5 | 1025 | 460 | 5.51 | 10.4 | 407 | 12.8 | 0.184 | 0.012 | 0.160 | 172.2 | 9.486 | 17.904 | 0.070 |
| 5-10 | 1251 | 535 | 6.06 | 10.7 | 417 | 12.4 | 0.214 | 0.015 | 0.180 | 194.4 | 11.782 | 20.803 | 0.081 |
| 10-15 | 1551 | 310 | 5.93 | 10.8 | 428 | 12.4 | 0.124 | 0.008 | 0.104 | 112.7 | 6.681 | 12.167 | 0.048 |
| 15-20 | 1727 | 230 | 4.96 | 11.3 | 387 | 12.8 | 0.092 | 0.006 | 0.080 | 86.1 | 4.270 | 9.727 | 0.033 |
| 20-25 | 2059 | 120 | 4.47 | 11.5 | 335 | 13.1 | 0.048 | 0.003 | 0.043 | 45.9 | 2.051 | 5.277 | 0.015 |
| 25-30 | 2236 | 75 | 4.62 | 11.6 | 320 | 13.1 | 0.030 | 0.002 | 0.027 | 28.7 | 1.325 | 3.327 | 0.009 |
| 30-35 | 2425 | 25 | 5.02 | 11.4 | 307 | 12.9 | 0.010 | 0.001 | 0.009 | 9.4 | 0.473 | 1.074 | 0.003 |
| 35-40 | 2608 | 65 | 4.83 | 11.5 | 285 | 12.9 | 0.026 | 0.002 | 0.023 | 24.5 | 1.183 | 2.818 | 0.007 |
| 40-45 | 2845 | 25 | 5.17 | 11.5 | 257 | 12.8 | 0.010 | 0.001 | 0.009 | 9.4 | 0.484 | 1.076 | 0.002 |
|  |  | 6595 |  |  |  |  | 2.638 | 0.179 |  |  | 141.460 | 254.419 | 0.963 |

Table - 29C Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. -4 driven on the route no. -2 at noon off- peak hour (1245 hrs)

| Vehicle speed (km/hr) | Engine Speed (rpm) | Driving Time (sec) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CO } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HC} \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{gathered}$ | AFR | Fuel Cons. <br> (Itr) | Fuel <br> Mass <br> (kg) | Air Mass <br> (kg) | AF Mass | $\begin{gathered} C O \\ (g m) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\mathrm{gm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline H C \\ (\mathrm{gm}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 713 | 1535 | 6.10 | 10.6 | 408 | 12.2 | 0.614 | 0.042 | 0.508 | 549.5 | 33.520 | 58.248 | 0.224 |
| 0-5 | 907 | 255 | 5.51 | 10.4 | 407 | 12.8 | 0.102 | 0.007 | 0.089 | 95.4 | 5.258 | 9.925 | 0.039 |
| 5-10 | 1087 | 340 | 6.06 | 10.7 | 417 | 12.4 | 0.136 | 0.009 | 0.114 | 123.6 | 7.488 | 13.221 | 0.052 |
| 10-15 | 1378 | 275 | 5.93 | 10.8 | 428 | 12.4 | 0.110 | 0.007 | 0.092 | 99.9 | 5.926 | 10.793 | 0.043 |
| 15-20 | 1554 | 150 | 4.96 | 11.3 | 387 | 12.8 | 0.060 | 0.004 | 0.052 | 56.1 | 2.784 | 6.344 | 0.022 |
| 20-25 | 1842 | 170 | 4.47 | 11.5 | 335 | 13.1 | 0.068 | 0.005 | 0.060 | 65.0 | 2.906 | 7.476 | 0.022 |
| 25-30 | 2029 | 75 | 4.62 | 11.6 | 320 | 13.1 | 0.030 | 0.002 | 0.027 | 28.7 | 1.325 | 3.327 | 0.009 |
| 30-35 | 2289 | 25 | 5.02 | 11.4 | 307 | 12.9 | 0.010 | 0.001 | 0.009 | 9.4 | 0.473 | 1.074 | 0.003 |
| 35-40 | 2429 | 130 | 4.83 | 11.5 | 285 | 12.9 | 0.052 | 0.004 | 0.045 | 49.0 | 2.367 | 5.636 | 0.014 |
| 40-45 | 2643 | 75 | 5.17 | 11.5 | 257 | 12.8 | 0.030 | 0.002 | 0.026 | 28.1 | 1.451 | 3.228 | 0.007 |
| 45-50 | 2998 | 100 | 5.24 | 11.8 | 248 | 12.8 | 0.040 | 0.003 | 0.035 | 37.4 | 1.961 | 4.416 | 0.009 |
|  |  | 3130 |  |  |  |  | 1.252 | 0.085 |  |  | 65.460 | 123.687 | 0.443 |

Table - 30C Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. -4 driven on the route no. -2 at evening peak hour (1645 hrs)

| Vehicle speed (km/hr) | Engine Speed (rpm) | Driving Time (sec) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CO } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HC} \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{gathered}$ | AFR | Fuel Cons. <br> (ltr) | Fuel Mass <br> (kg) | Air Mass <br> (kg) | AF Mass <br> (kg) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { CO } \\ \text { (gm) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\mathrm{gm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline H C \\ (g m) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 713 | 4470 | 6.10 | 10.6 | 408 | 12.2 | 1.788 | 0.121 | 1.479 | 1600.2 | 97.612 | 169.620 | 0.653 |
| 0-5 | 907 | 520 | 5.51 | 10.4 | 407 | 12.8 | 0.208 | 0.014 | 0.181 | 194.6 | 10.723 | 20.240 | 0.079 |
| 5-10 | 1087 | 510 | 6.06 | 10.7 | 417 | 12.4 | 0.204 | 0.014 | 0.172 | 185.3 | 11.231 | 19.831 | 0.077 |
| 10-15 | 1378 | 365 | 5.93 | 10.8 | 428 | 12.4 | 0.146 | 0.010 | 0.123 | 132.6 | 7.866 | 14.326 | 0.057 |
| 15-20 | 1554 | 245 | 4.96 | 11.3 | 387 | 12.8 | 0.098 | 0.007 | 0.085 | 91.7 | 4.548 | 10.361 | 0.035 |
| 20-25 | 1842 | 145 | 4.47 | 11.5 | 335 | 13.1 | 0.058 | 0.004 | 0.052 | 55.4 | 2.478 | 6.376 | 0.019 |
| 25-30 | 2029 | 65 | 4.62 | 11.6 | 320 | 13.1 | 0.026 | 0.002 | 0.023 | 24.9 | 1.148 | 2.883 | 0.008 |
| 30-35 | 2289 | 45 | 5.02 | 11.4 | 307 | 12.9 | 0.018 | 0.001 | 0.016 | 17.0 | 0.852 | 1.934 | 0.005 |
| 35-40 | 2429 | 55 | 4.83 | 11.5 | 285 | 12.9 | 0.022 | 0.001 | 0.019 | 20.7 | 1.001 | 2.384 | 0.006 |
| 40-45 | 2643 | 30 | 5.17 | 11.5 | 257 | 12.8 | 0.012 | 0.001 | 0.010 | 11.2 | 0.580 | 1.291 | 0.003 |
|  |  | 6450 |  |  |  |  | 2.580 | 0.175 |  |  | 138.040 | 249.247 | 0.942 |

Table - 31C Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. -5 driven on the route no. $\mathbf{- 2}$ at morning peak hour ( 0900 hrs )

| Vehicle <br> speed <br> $(\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Engine <br> Speed <br> $(\mathrm{rpm})$ | Driving <br> Time <br> $(\mathbf{s e c})$ | CO <br> $(\%)$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ <br> $(\%)$ | HC <br> $(\mathrm{ppm})$ | AFR | Fuel <br> Cons. <br> $(\mathrm{ltr})$ | Fuel <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | Air <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | AF <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | CO <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ | HC <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | 656 | 4750 | 0.54 | 13.3 | 355 | 15.6 | 1.900 | 0.129 | 2.010 | 2138.4 | 11.547 | 284.409 | 0.759 |
| $\mathbf{0 - 5}$ | 1075 | 460 | 0.74 | 13.6 | 255 | 15.2 | 0.184 | 0.012 | 0.190 | 202.1 | 1.496 | 27.485 | 0.052 |
| $\mathbf{5 - 1 0}$ | 1201 | 535 | 0.88 | 13.6 | 202 | 15.1 | 0.214 | 0.015 | 0.219 | 233.6 | 2.056 | 31.769 | 0.047 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 - 1 5}$ | 1501 | 310 | 0.75 | 13.7 | 185 | 15.2 | 0.124 | 0.008 | 0.128 | 136.2 | 1.021 | 18.659 | 0.025 |
| $\mathbf{1 5 - 2 0}$ | 1723 | 230 | 0.68 | 13.7 | 159 | 15.1 | 0.092 | 0.006 | 0.094 | 100.4 | 0.683 | 13.758 | 0.016 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 5}$ | 2009 | 120 | 0.80 | 13.8 | 138 | 15.1 | 0.048 | 0.003 | 0.049 | 52.4 | 0.419 | 7.231 | 0.007 |
| $\mathbf{2 5 - 3 0}$ | 2221 | 75 | 0.81 | 13.8 | 132 | 15.1 | 0.030 | 0.002 | 0.031 | 32.7 | 0.265 | 4.519 | 0.004 |
| $\mathbf{3 0 - 3 5}$ | 2438 | 25 | 0.83 | 13.8 | 105 | 15.1 | 0.010 | 0.001 | 0.010 | 10.9 | 0.091 | 1.506 | 0.001 |
| $\mathbf{3 5 - 4 0}$ | 2632 | 65 | 0.80 | 13.8 | 98 | 15.1 | 0.026 | 0.002 | 0.027 | 28.4 | 0.227 | 3.917 | 0.003 |
| $\mathbf{4 0 - 4 5}$ | 2929 | 25 | 0.76 | 13.9 | 101 | 15.0 | 0.010 | 0.001 | 0.010 | 10.8 | 0.082 | 1.508 | 0.001 |
|  |  | 6595 |  |  |  |  | 2.638 | 0.179 |  |  | 17.888 | 394.761 | 0.916 |

Table - 32C Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. -5 driven on the route no. -2 at noon off- peak hour ( 1245 hrs )

| Vehicle <br> speed <br> $(\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Engine <br> Speed <br> $(\mathrm{rpm})$ | Driving <br> Time <br> $(\mathrm{sec})$ | CO <br> $(\%)$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ <br> $(\%)$ | HC <br> $(\mathrm{ppm})$ | AFR | Fuel <br> Cons. <br> $(\mathrm{ltr})$ | Fuel <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | Air <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | AF <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | CO <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ | HC <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | 656 | 1535 | 0.54 | 13.3 | 355 | 15.6 | 0.614 | 0.042 | 0.649 | 691.0 | 3.732 | 91.909 | 0.245 |
| $\mathbf{0 - 5}$ | 1075 | 255 | 0.74 | 13.6 | 255 | 15.2 | 0.102 | 0.007 | 0.105 | 112.0 | 0.829 | 15.236 | 0.029 |
| $\mathbf{5 - 1 0}$ | 1201 | 340 | 0.88 | 13.6 | 202 | 15.1 | 0.136 | 0.009 | 0.139 | 148.5 | 1.306 | 20.190 | 0.030 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 - 1 5}$ | 1501 | 275 | 0.75 | 13.7 | 185 | 15.2 | 0.110 | 0.007 | 0.113 | 120.8 | 0.906 | 16.552 | 0.022 |
| $\mathbf{1 5 - 2 0}$ | 1723 | 150 | 0.68 | 13.7 | 159 | 15.1 | 0.060 | 0.004 | 0.061 | 65.5 | 0.445 | 8.973 | 0.010 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 5}$ | 2009 | 170 | 0.80 | 13.8 | 138 | 15.1 | 0.068 | 0.005 | 0.070 | 74.2 | 0.594 | 10.243 | 0.010 |
| $\mathbf{2 5 - 3 0}$ | 2221 | 75 | 0.81 | 13.8 | 132 | 15.1 | 0.030 | 0.002 | 0.031 | 32.7 | 0.265 | 4.519 | 0.004 |
| $\mathbf{3 0 - 3 5}$ | 2438 | 25 | 0.83 | 13.8 | 105 | 15.1 | 0.010 | 0.001 | 0.010 | 10.9 | 0.091 | 1.506 | 0.001 |
| $\mathbf{3 5 - 4 0}$ | 2632 | 130 | 0.80 | 13.8 | 98 | 15.1 | 0.052 | 0.004 | 0.053 | 56.8 | 0.454 | 7.833 | 0.006 |
| $\mathbf{4 0 - 4 5}$ | 2929 | 75 | 0.76 | 13.9 | 101 | 15.0 | 0.030 | 0.002 | 0.031 | 32.5 | 0.247 | 4.524 | 0.003 |
| $\mathbf{4 5 - 5 0}$ | 3032 | 100 | 0.75 | 13.9 | 105 | 15.0 | 0.040 | 0.003 | 0.041 | 43.4 | 0.325 | 6.031 | 0.005 |
|  |  | 3130 |  |  |  |  | 1.252 | 0.085 |  |  | 9.195 | 187.518 | 0.366 |

Table - 33C Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. -5 driven on route no. $\mathbf{- 2}$ at evening peak hour (1645 hrs)

| Vehicle speed (km/hr) | Engine Speed (rpm) | Driving Time (sec) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CO } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HC} \\ (p p m) \end{gathered}$ | AFR | Fuel Cons. <br> (ltr) | Fuel Mass <br> (kg) | Air <br> Mass <br> (kg) | $\begin{gathered} A F \\ \text { Mass } \\ (\mathrm{kg}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{CO} \\ (\mathrm{gm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\mathrm{gm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { HC } \\ (g m) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 656 | 4470 | 0.54 | 13.3 | 355 | 15.6 | 1.788 | 0.121 | 1.891 | 2012.4 | 10.867 | 267.644 | 0.714 |
| 0-5 | 1075 | 520 | 0.74 | 13.6 | 255 | 15.2 | 0.208 | 0.014 | 0.214 | 228.5 | 1.691 | 31.070 | 0.058 |
| 5-10 | 1201 | 510 | 0.88 | 13.6 | 202 | 15.1 | 0.204 | 0.014 | 0.209 | 222.7 | 1.960 | 30.285 | 0.045 |
| 10-15 | 1501 | 365 | 0.75 | 13.7 | 185 | 15.2 | 0.146 | 0.010 | 0.150 | 160.4 | 1.203 | 21.969 | 0.030 |
| 15-20 | 1723 | 245 | 0.68 | 13.7 | 159 | 15.1 | 0.098 | 0.007 | 0.100 | 107.0 | 0.727 | 14.656 | 0.017 |
| 20-25 | 2009 | 145 | 0.80 | 13.8 | 138 | 15.1 | 0.058 | 0.004 | 0.059 | 63.3 | 0.506 | 8.737 | 0.009 |
| 25-30 | 2221 | 65 | 0.81 | 13.8 | 132 | 15.1 | 0.026 | 0.002 | 0.027 | 28.4 | 0.230 | 3.917 | 0.004 |
| 30-35 | 2438 | 45 | 0.83 | 13.8 | 105 | 15.1 | 0.018 | 0.001 | 0.018 | 19.6 | 0.163 | 2.711 | 0.002 |
| 35-40 | 2632 | 55 | 0.80 | 13.8 | 98 | 15.1 | 0.022 | 0.001 | 0.023 | 24.0 | 0.192 | 3.314 | 0.002 |
| 40-45 | 2929 | 30 | 0.76 | 13.9 | 101 | 15.0 | 0.012 | 0.001 | 0.012 | 13.0 | 0.099 | 1.809 | 0.001 |
|  |  | 6450 |  |  |  |  | 2.580 | 0.175 |  |  | 17.638 | 386.112 | 0.883 |

Table - 34C Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. -6 driven on the route no. $\mathbf{- 2}$ at morning peak hour (0900 hrs)

| Vehicle <br> speed <br> $(\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Engine <br> Speed <br> $(\mathrm{rpm})$ | Driving <br> Time <br> $(\mathbf{s e c})$ | CO <br> $(\%)$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ <br> $(\%)$ | HC <br> $(\mathrm{ppm})$ | AFR | Fuel <br> Cons. <br> $(\mathrm{ltr})$ | Fuel <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | Air <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | AF <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | CO <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ | HC <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | 697 | 4750 | 0.17 | 14.4 | 484 | 15.0 | 1.900 | 0.129 | 1.932 | 2061.1 | 3.504 | 296.801 | 0.998 |
| $0-5$ | 1042 | 460 | 0.15 | 14.4 | 468 | 15.0 | 0.184 | 0.012 | 0.187 | 199.6 | 0.299 | 28.743 | 0.093 |
| $5-10$ | 1250 | 535 | 0.23 | 14.4 | 392 | 15.0 | 0.214 | 0.015 | 0.218 | 232.1 | 0.534 | 33.429 | 0.091 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 - 1 5}$ | 1568 | 310 | 0.23 | 14.5 | 288 | 15.1 | 0.124 | 0.008 | 0.127 | 135.4 | 0.311 | 19.627 | 0.039 |
| $\mathbf{1 5 - 2 0}$ | 1778 | 230 | 0.24 | 14.5 | 257 | 15.1 | 0.092 | 0.006 | 0.094 | 100.4 | 0.241 | 14.562 | 0.026 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 5}$ | 2104 | 120 | 0.31 | 14.5 | 196 | 15.1 | 0.048 | 0.003 | 0.049 | 52.4 | 0.162 | 7.597 | 0.010 |
| $\mathbf{2 5 - 3 0}$ | 2454 | 75 | 0.35 | 14.5 | 188 | 15.1 | 0.030 | 0.002 | 0.031 | 32.7 | 0.115 | 4.748 | 0.006 |
| $\mathbf{3 0 - 3 5}$ | 2664 | 25 | 0.41 | 14.3 | 178 | 15.1 | 0.010 | 0.001 | 0.010 | 10.9 | 0.045 | 1.561 | 0.002 |
| $\mathbf{3 5 - 4 0}$ | 2790 | 65 | 0.43 | 14.3 | 171 | 15.0 | 0.026 | 0.002 | 0.026 | 28.2 | 0.121 | 4.033 | 0.005 |
| $\mathbf{4 0 - 4 5}$ | 2889 | 25 | 0.47 | 14.3 | 169 | 13.9 | 0.010 | 0.001 | 0.009 | 10.1 | 0.047 | 1.445 | 0.002 |
|  |  | 6595 |  |  |  |  | 2.638 | 0.179 |  | 2863.0 | 5.380 | 412.546 | 1.272 |

Table - 35C Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. -6 driven on the route no. $\mathbf{- 2}$ at noon off- peak hour ( 1245 hrs )

| Vehicle <br> speed <br> $(\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Engine <br> Speed <br> $(\mathrm{rpm})$ | Driving <br> Time <br> $(\mathbf{s e c})$ | CO <br> $(\%)$ | $\mathbf{C O}_{2}$ <br> $(\%)$ | HC <br> $(\mathrm{ppm})$ | AFR | Fuel <br> Cons. <br> $(\mathrm{ltr})$ | Fuel <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | Air <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | AF <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | CO <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ | HC <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | 697 | 1535 | 0.17 | 14.4 | 484 | 15.0 | 0.614 | 0.042 | 0.624 | 666.1 | 1.132 | 95.914 | 0.322 |
| $\mathbf{0 - 5}$ | 1042 | 255 | 0.15 | 14.4 | 468 | 15.0 | 0.102 | 0.007 | 0.104 | 110.6 | 0.166 | 15.934 | 0.052 |
| $\mathbf{5 - 1 0}$ | 1250 | 340 | 0.23 | 14.4 | 392 | 15.0 | 0.136 | 0.009 | 0.138 | 147.5 | 0.339 | 21.245 | 0.058 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 - 1 5}$ | 1568 | 275 | 0.23 | 14.5 | 288 | 15.1 | 0.110 | 0.007 | 0.113 | 120.1 | 0.276 | 17.411 | 0.035 |
| $\mathbf{1 5 - 2 0}$ | 1778 | 150 | 0.24 | 14.5 | 257 | 15.1 | 0.060 | 0.004 | 0.061 | 65.5 | 0.157 | 9.497 | 0.017 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 5}$ | 2104 | 170 | 0.31 | 14.5 | 196 | 15.1 | 0.068 | 0.005 | 0.070 | 74.2 | 0.230 | 10.763 | 0.015 |
| $\mathbf{2 5 - 3 0}$ | 2454 | 75 | 0.35 | 14.5 | 188 | 15.1 | 0.030 | 0.002 | 0.031 | 32.7 | 0.115 | 4.748 | 0.006 |
| $\mathbf{3 0 - 3 5}$ | 2664 | 25 | 0.41 | 14.3 | 178 | 15.1 | 0.010 | 0.001 | 0.010 | 10.9 | 0.045 | 1.561 | 0.002 |
| $\mathbf{3 5 - 4 0}$ | 2790 | 130 | 0.43 | 14.3 | 171 | 15.0 | 0.052 | 0.004 | 0.053 | 56.4 | 0.243 | 8.067 | 0.010 |
| $\mathbf{4 0 - 4 5}$ | 2889 | 75 | 0.47 | 14.3 | 169 | 13.9 | 0.030 | 0.002 | 0.028 | 30.3 | 0.142 | 4.334 | 0.005 |
| $\mathbf{4 5 - 5 0}$ | 3023 | 100 | 0.48 | 14.5 | 168 | 13.9 | 0.040 | 0.003 | 0.038 | 40.4 | 0.194 | 5.859 | 0.007 |
|  |  | 3130 |  |  |  |  | 1.252 | 0.085 |  |  | 3.039 | 195.331 | 0.528 |

Table - 36C Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. -6 driven on route no. -2 at evening peak hour ( 1645 hrs )

| Vehicle <br> speed <br> $(\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Engine <br> Speed <br> $(\mathrm{rpm})$ | Driving <br> Time <br> $(\mathrm{sec})$ | CO <br> $(\%)$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ <br> $(\%)$ | HC <br> $(\mathrm{ppm})$ | AFR | Fuel <br> Cons. <br> $(\mathrm{ltr})$ | Fuel <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | Air <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | AF <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | CO <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ | HC <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | 697 | 4470 | 0.17 | 14.4 | 484 | 15.0 | 1.788 | 0.121 | 1.818 | 1939.6 | 3.297 | 279.306 | 0.939 |
| $\mathbf{0 - 5}$ | 1042 | 520 | 0.15 | 14.4 | 468 | 15.0 | 0.208 | 0.014 | 0.212 | 225.6 | 0.338 | 32.492 | 0.106 |
| $\mathbf{5 - 1 0}$ | 1250 | 510 | 0.23 | 14.4 | 392 | 15.0 | 0.204 | 0.014 | 0.207 | 221.3 | 0.509 | 31.867 | 0.087 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 - 1 5}$ | 1568 | 365 | 0.23 | 14.5 | 288 | 15.1 | 0.146 | 0.010 | 0.149 | 159.4 | 0.367 | 23.109 | 0.046 |
| $\mathbf{1 5 - 2 0}$ | 1778 | 245 | 0.24 | 14.5 | 257 | 15.1 | 0.098 | 0.007 | 0.100 | 107.0 | 0.257 | 15.511 | 0.027 |
| $20-25$ | 2104 | 145 | 0.31 | 14.5 | 196 | 15.1 | 0.058 | 0.004 | 0.059 | 63.3 | 0.196 | 9.180 | 0.012 |
| $\mathbf{2 5 - 3 0}$ | 2454 | 65 | 0.35 | 14.5 | 188 | 15.1 | 0.026 | 0.002 | 0.027 | 28.4 | 0.099 | 4.115 | 0.005 |
| $\mathbf{3 0 - 3 5}$ | 2664 | 45 | 0.41 | 14.3 | 178 | 15.1 | 0.018 | 0.001 | 0.018 | 19.6 | 0.081 | 2.810 | 0.003 |
| $\mathbf{3 5 - 4 0}$ | 2790 | 55 | 0.43 | 14.3 | 171 | 15.0 | 0.022 | 0.001 | 0.022 | 23.9 | 0.103 | 3.413 | 0.004 |
| $\mathbf{4 0 - 4 5}$ | 2889 | 30 | 0.47 | 14.3 | 169 | 13.9 | 0.012 | 0.001 | 0.011 | 12.1 | 0.057 | 1.734 | 0.002 |
|  |  | 6450 |  |  |  |  | 2.580 | 0.175 |  |  | 5.304 | 403.536 | 1.232 |

Table - 37C Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. -1 driven on the route no. -3 at morning peak hour ( 0900 hrs )

| Vehicle speed (km/hr) | Engine Speed (rpm) | Driving Time (sec) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CO } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HC} \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{gathered}$ | AFR | Fuel Cons. <br> (ltr) | Fuel Mass <br> (kg) | Air Mass <br> (kg) | AF Mass <br> (kg) | $\begin{gathered} C O \\ (\mathrm{gm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\mathrm{gm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline H C \\ (g m) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 713 | 2110 | 0.19 | 12.3 | 387 | 15.1 | 0.844 | 0.057 | 0.864 | 921.3 | 1.750 | 113.319 | 0.357 |
| 0-5 | 907 | 320 | 0.19 | 12.3 | 413 | 14.6 | 0.128 | 0.009 | 0.127 | 135.4 | 0.257 | 16.652 | 0.056 |
| 5-10 | 1087 | 185 | 0.67 | 12.4 | 382 | 14.4 | 0.074 | 0.005 | 0.072 | 77.3 | 0.518 | 9.581 | 0.030 |
| 10-15 | 1378 | 145 | 0.41 | 12.6 | 285 | 14.6 | 0.058 | 0.004 | 0.057 | 61.3 | 0.252 | 7.730 | 0.017 |
| 15-20 | 1554 | 65 | 0.39 | 12.9 | 253 | 14.6 | 0.026 | 0.002 | 0.026 | 27.5 | 0.107 | 3.547 | 0.007 |
| 20-25 | 1842 | 155 | 0.59 | 13.0 | 252 | 14.7 | 0.062 | 0.004 | 0.062 | 66.0 | 0.389 | 8.580 | 0.017 |
| 25-30 | 2029 | 65 | 0.45 | 13.1 | 315 | 14.5 | 0.026 | 0.002 | 0.026 | 27.3 | 0.123 | 3.579 | 0.009 |
| 30-35 | 2289 | 80 | 0.35 | 12.9 | 332 | 14.4 | 0.032 | 0.002 | 0.031 | 33.4 | 0.117 | 4.310 | 0.011 |
| 35-40 | 2429 | 90 | 0.34 | 12.8 | 325 | 14.2 | 0.036 | 0.002 | 0.035 | 37.1 | 0.126 | 4.749 | 0.012 |
| 40-45 | 2643 | 65 | 0.35 | 12.8 | 282 | 13.9 | 0.026 | 0.002 | 0.025 | 26.3 | 0.092 | 3.362 | 0.007 |
|  |  | 3280 |  |  |  |  | 1.312 | 0.089 |  |  | 3.731 | 175.409 | 0.52 |

Table - 38C Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. -1 driven on the route no. -3 at noon off- peak hour ( 1245 hrs)

| Vehicle speed (km/hr) | Engine Speed (rpm) | Driving Time (sec) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CO } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HC} \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{gathered}$ | AFR | Fuel Cons. <br> (ltr) | Fuel Mass <br> (kg) | Air Mass <br> (kg) | $\begin{gathered} A F \\ \text { Mass } \end{gathered}$ $(\mathrm{kg})$ | $\begin{gathered} C O \\ (g m) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\mathrm{gm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline H C \\ (g m) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 713 | 840 | 0.19 | 12.3 | 387 | 15.1 | 0.336 | 0.023 | 0.344 | 366.8 | 0.697 | 45.113 | 0.142 |
| 0-5 | 907 | 50 | 0.19 | 12.3 | 413 | 14.6 | 0.020 | 0.001 | 0.020 | 21.2 | 0.040 | 2.602 | 0.009 |
| 5-10 | 1087 | 90 | 0.67 | 12.4 | 382 | 14.4 | 0.036 | 0.002 | 0.035 | 37.6 | 0.252 | 4.661 | 0.014 |
| 10-15 | 1378 | 240 | 0.41 | 12.6 | 285 | 14.6 | 0.096 | 0.007 | 0.095 | 101.5 | 0.416 | 12.794 | 0.029 |
| 15-20 | 1554 | 150 | 0.39 | 12.9 | 253 | 14.6 | 0.060 | 0.004 | 0.059 | 63.5 | 0.247 | 8.186 | 0.016 |
| 20-25 | 1842 | 120 | 0.59 | 13.0 | 252 | 14.7 | 0.048 | 0.003 | 0.048 | 51.1 | 0.301 | 6.642 | 0.013 |
| 25-30 | 2029 | 135 | 0.45 | 13.1 | 315 | 14.5 | 0.054 | 0.004 | 0.053 | 56.7 | 0.255 | 7.434 | 0.018 |
| 30-35 | 2289 | 50 | 0.35 | 12.9 | 332 | 14.4 | 0.020 | 0.001 | 0.020 | 20.9 | 0.073 | 2.694 | 0.007 |
| 35-40 | 2429 | 90 | 0.34 | 12.8 | 325 | 14.2 | 0.036 | 0.002 | 0.035 | 37.1 | 0.126 | 4.749 | 0.012 |
| 40-45 | 2643 | 30 | 0.35 | 12.8 | 282 | 13.9 | 0.012 | 0.001 | 0.011 | 12.1 | 0.042 | 1.552 | 0.003 |
| 45-50 | 2845 | 15 | 0.35 | 12.8 | 276 | 13.4 | 0.006 | 0.000 | 0.005 | 5.9 | 0.021 | 0.750 | 0.002 |
|  |  | 1810 |  |  |  |  | 0.724 | 0.049 |  |  | 2.472 | 97.176 | 0.265 |

Table - 39C Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. -1 driven on route no. -3 at evening peak hour ( 1645 hrs )

| Vehicle <br> speed <br> $(\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Engine <br> Speed <br> $(\mathrm{rpm})$ | Driving <br> Time <br> $(\mathbf{s e c})$ | CO <br> $(\%)$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ <br> $(\%)$ | HC <br> $(\mathrm{ppm})$ | AFR | Fuel <br> Cons. <br> $(\mathrm{ltr})$ | Fuel <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | Air <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | AF <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | CO <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ | HC <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | 713 | 2160 | 0.19 | 12.3 | 387 | 15.1 | 0.864 | 0.059 | 0.885 | 943.1 | 1.792 | 116.004 | 0.365 |
| $\mathbf{0 - 5}$ | 907 | 210 | 0.19 | 12.3 | 413 | 14.6 | 0.084 | 0.006 | 0.083 | 88.8 | 0.169 | 10.928 | 0.037 |
| $\mathbf{5 - 1 0}$ | 1087 | 190 | 0.67 | 12.4 | 382 | 14.4 | 0.076 | 0.005 | 0.074 | 79.4 | 0.532 | 9.840 | 0.030 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 - 1 5}$ | 1378 | 120 | 0.41 | 12.6 | 285 | 14.6 | 0.048 | 0.003 | 0.048 | 50.8 | 0.208 | 6.397 | 0.014 |
| $\mathbf{1 5 - 2 0}$ | 1554 | 90 | 0.39 | 12.9 | 253 | 14.6 | 0.036 | 0.002 | 0.036 | 38.1 | 0.148 | 4.912 | 0.010 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 5}$ | 1842 | 145 | 0.59 | 13.0 | 252 | 14.7 | 0.058 | 0.004 | 0.058 | 61.7 | 0.364 | 8.026 | 0.016 |
| $\mathbf{2 5 - 3 0}$ | 2029 | 65 | 0.45 | 13.1 | 315 | 14.5 | 0.026 | 0.002 | 0.026 | 27.3 | 0.123 | 3.579 | 0.009 |
| $\mathbf{3 0 - 3 5}$ | 2289 | 50 | 0.35 | 12.9 | 332 | 14.4 | 0.020 | 0.001 | 0.020 | 20.9 | 0.073 | 2.694 | 0.007 |
| $\mathbf{3 5 - 4 0}$ | 2429 | 80 | 0.34 | 12.8 | 325 | 14.2 | 0.032 | 0.002 | 0.031 | 33.0 | 0.112 | 4.221 | 0.011 |
| $\mathbf{4 0 - 4 5}$ | 2643 | 30 | 0.35 | 12.8 | 282 | 13.9 | 0.012 | 0.001 | 0.011 | 12.1 | 0.042 | 1.552 | 0.003 |
|  |  | 3140 |  |  |  |  | 1.256 | 0.085 |  |  | 3.564 | $\mathbf{1 6 8 . 1 5 3}$ | 0.501 |

Table - 40C Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. -2 driven on the route no. $\mathbf{- 3}$ at morning peak hour (0900 hrs)

| Vehicle speed (km/hr) | Engine Speed (rpm) | Driving Time (sec) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CO } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HC} \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{gathered}$ | AFR | Fuel Cons. <br> (Itr) | Fuel Mass <br> (kg) | Air Mass <br> (kg) | AF Mass <br> (kg) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { CO } \\ \text { (gm) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\mathrm{gm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { HC } \\ (g m) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 712 | 2110 | 0.57 | 13.8 | 228 | 15.2 | 0.844 | 0.057 | 0.870 | 927.0 | 5.284 | 127.928 | 0.211 |
| 0-5 | 1091 | 320 | 0.35 | 14.0 | 155 | 15.4 | 0.128 | 0.009 | 0.134 | 142.3 | 0.498 | 19.926 | 0.022 |
| 5-10 | 1277 | 185 | 0.32 | 13.9 | 129 | 15.6 | 0.074 | 0.005 | 0.078 | 83.3 | 0.267 | 11.577 | 0.011 |
| 10-15 | 1524 | 145 | 0.36 | 14.0 | 119 | 15.4 | 0.058 | 0.004 | 0.061 | 64.5 | 0.232 | 9.029 | 0.008 |
| 15-20 | 1761 | 65 | 0.44 | 14.1 | 121 | 15.2 | 0.026 | 0.002 | 0.027 | 28.6 | 0.126 | 4.027 | 0.003 |
| 20-25 | 2164 | 155 | 0.53 | 14.2 | 117 | 15.1 | 0.062 | 0.004 | 0.063 | 67.7 | 0.359 | 9.610 | 0.008 |
| 25-30 | 2221 | 65 | 0.57 | 14.2 | 115 | 15.1 | 0.026 | 0.002 | 0.027 | 28.4 | 0.162 | 4.030 | 0.003 |
| 30-35 | 2438 | 80 | 0.60 | 14.1 | 97 | 15.1 | 0.032 | 0.002 | 0.033 | 34.9 | 0.210 | 4.925 | 0.003 |
| 35-40 | 2632 | 90 | 0.62 | 14.1 | 90 | 15.0 | 0.036 | 0.002 | 0.037 | 39.1 | 0.242 | 5.506 | 0.004 |
| 40-45 | 2929 | 65 | 0.57 | 14.2 | 89 | 15.0 | 0.026 | 0.002 | 0.026 | 28.2 | 0.161 | 4.005 | 0.003 |
|  |  | 3280 |  |  |  |  | 1.312 | 0.089 |  |  | 7.539 | 200.563 | 0.276 |

Table -41C Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. -2 driven on the route no. -3 at noon off- peak hour ( 1245 hrs )

| Vehicle speed (km/hr) | Engine Speed (rpm) | Driving Time (sec) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CO } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HC} \\ (p p m) \end{gathered}$ | AFR | Fuel Cons. <br> (Itr) | Fuel Mass <br> (kg) | Air Mass <br> (kg) | AF Mass <br> (kg) | $\begin{gathered} C O \\ (g m) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\mathrm{gm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline H C \\ (g m) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 712 | 840 | 0.57 | 13.8 | 228 | 15.2 | 0.336 | 0.023 | 0.346 | 369.0 | 2.104 | 50.929 | 0.084 |
| 0-5 | 1091 | 50 | 0.35 | 14.0 | 155 | 15.4 | 0.020 | 0.001 | 0.021 | 22.2 | 0.078 | 3.113 | 0.003 |
| 5-10 | 1277 | 90 | 0.32 | 13.9 | 129 | 15.6 | 0.036 | 0.002 | 0.038 | 40.5 | 0.130 | 5.632 | 0.005 |
| 10-15 | 1524 | 240 | 0.36 | 14.0 | 119 | 15.4 | 0.096 | 0.007 | 0.100 | 106.7 | 0.384 | 14.944 | 0.013 |
| 15-20 | 1761 | 150 | 0.44 | 14.1 | 121 | 15.2 | 0.060 | 0.004 | 0.062 | 65.9 | 0.290 | 9.292 | 0.008 |
| 20-25 | 2164 | 120 | 0.53 | 14.2 | 117 | 15.1 | 0.048 | 0.003 | 0.049 | 52.4 | 0.278 | 7.440 | 0.006 |
| 25-30 | 2221 | 135 | 0.57 | 14.2 | 115 | 15.1 | 0.054 | 0.004 | 0.055 | 58.9 | 0.336 | 8.370 | 0.007 |
| 30-35 | 2438 | 50 | 0.60 | 14.1 | 97 | 15.1 | 0.020 | 0.001 | 0.020 | 21.8 | 0.131 | 3.078 | 0.002 |
| 35-40 | 2632 | 90 | 0.62 | 14.1 | 90 | 15.0 | 0.036 | 0.002 | 0.037 | 39.1 | 0.242 | 5.506 | 0.004 |
| 40-45 | 2929 | 30 | 0.57 | 14.2 | 89 | 15.0 | 0.012 | 0.001 | 0.012 | 13.0 | 0.074 | 1.848 | 0.001 |
| 45-50 | 3023 | 15 | 0.54 | 14.4 | 87 | 14.9 | 0.006 | 0.000 | 0.006 | 6.5 | 0.035 | 0.931 | 0.001 |
|  |  | 1810 |  |  |  |  | 0.724 | 0.049 |  |  | 4.081 | 111.085 | 0.134 |

Table - 42C Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. -2 driven on the route no. -3 at evening peak hour ( 1645 hrs )

| Vehicle <br> speed <br> $(\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Engine <br> Speed <br> $(\mathrm{rpm})$ | Driving <br> Time <br> $(\mathbf{s e c})$ | CO <br> $(\%)$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ <br> $(\%)$ | HC <br> $(\mathrm{ppm})$ | AFR | Fuel <br> Cons. <br> $(\mathrm{ltr})$ | Fuel <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | Air <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | AF <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | CO <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ | HC <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | 712 | 2160 | 0.57 | 13.8 | 228 | 15.2 | 0.864 | 0.059 | 0.890 | 949.0 | 5.409 | 130.960 | 0.216 |
| $\mathbf{0 - 5}$ | 1091 | 210 | 0.35 | 14.0 | 155 | 15.4 | 0.084 | 0.006 | 0.088 | 93.4 | 0.327 | 13.076 | 0.014 |
| $\mathbf{5 - 1 0}$ | 1277 | 190 | 0.32 | 13.9 | 129 | 15.6 | 0.076 | 0.005 | 0.080 | 85.5 | 0.274 | 11.890 | 0.011 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 - 1 5}$ | 1524 | 120 | 0.36 | 14.0 | 119 | 15.4 | 0.048 | 0.003 | 0.050 | 53.4 | 0.192 | 7.472 | 0.006 |
| $\mathbf{1 5 - 2 0}$ | 1761 | 90 | 0.44 | 14.1 | 121 | 15.2 | 0.036 | 0.002 | 0.037 | 39.5 | 0.174 | 5.575 | 0.005 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 5}$ | 2164 | 145 | 0.53 | 14.2 | 117 | 15.1 | 0.058 | 0.004 | 0.059 | 63.3 | 0.336 | 8.990 | 0.007 |
| $\mathbf{2 5 - 3 0}$ | 2221 | 65 | 0.57 | 14.2 | 115 | 15.1 | 0.026 | 0.002 | 0.027 | 28.4 | 0.162 | 4.030 | 0.003 |
| $\mathbf{3 0 - 3 5}$ | 2438 | 50 | 0.60 | 14.1 | 97 | 15.1 | 0.020 | 0.001 | 0.020 | 21.8 | 0.131 | 3.078 | 0.002 |
| $\mathbf{3 5 - 4 0}$ | 2632 | 80 | 0.62 | 14.1 | 90 | 15.0 | 0.032 | 0.002 | 0.033 | 34.7 | 0.215 | 4.895 | 0.003 |
| $\mathbf{4 0 - 4 5}$ | 2929 | 30 | 0.57 | 14.2 | 89 | 15.0 | 0.012 | 0.001 | 0.012 | 13.0 | 0.074 | 1.848 | 0.001 |
|  |  | 3140 |  |  |  |  | 1.256 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 8 5}$ |  |  | 7.294 | $\mathbf{1 9 1 . 8 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 7 0}$ |

Table - 43C Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. -3 driven on the route no. -3 at morning peak hour (0900 hrs)

| Vehicle <br> speed <br> $(\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Engine <br> Speed <br> $(\mathrm{rpm})$ | Driving <br> Time <br> $(\mathrm{sec})$ | CO <br> $(\%)$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ <br> $(\%)$ | HC <br> $(\mathrm{ppm})$ | AFR | Fuel <br> Cons. <br> $(\mathrm{ltr})$ | Fuel <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | Air <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | AF <br> Mass <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | CO <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ | HC <br> $(\mathrm{gm})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | 858 | 2110 | 4.05 | 10.7 | 1063 | 14.0 | 0.844 | 0.057 | 0.801 | 858.3 | 34.763 | 91.843 | 0.912 |
| $\mathbf{0 - 5}$ | 1027 | 320 | 3.95 | 10.6 | 1329 | 14.0 | 0.128 | 0.009 | 0.121 | 130.2 | 5.142 | 13.799 | 0.173 |
| $\mathbf{5 - 1 0}$ | 1231 | 185 | 4.39 | 10.3 | 1401 | 13.7 | 0.074 | 0.005 | 0.069 | 73.8 | 3.238 | 7.597 | 0.103 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 - 1 5}$ | 1554 | 145 | 6.78 | 9.0 | 1460 | 12.6 | 0.058 | 0.004 | 0.050 | 53.5 | 3.626 | 4.813 | 0.078 |
| $\mathbf{1 5 - 2 0}$ | 1728 | 65 | 7.21 | 9.2 | 1181 | 12.2 | 0.026 | 0.002 | 0.022 | 23.3 | 1.678 | 2.141 | 0.027 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 5}$ | 2198 | 155 | 6.94 | 9.0 | 1304 | 12.4 | 0.062 | 0.004 | 0.052 | 56.3 | 3.909 | 5.070 | 0.073 |
| $\mathbf{2 5 - 3 0}$ | 2454 | 65 | 7.10 | 9.1 | 1245 | 12.4 | 0.026 | 0.002 | 0.022 | 23.6 | 1.677 | 2.150 | 0.029 |
| $\mathbf{3 0 - 3 5}$ | 2691 | 80 | 7.32 | 9.0 | 1146 | 12.2 | 0.032 | 0.002 | 0.026 | 28.6 | 2.096 | 2.577 | 0.033 |
| $\mathbf{3 5 - 4 0}$ | 2790 | 90 | 7.50 | 9.0 | 1103 | 12.1 | 0.036 | 0.002 | 0.030 | 32.0 | 2.398 | 2.878 | 0.035 |
| $\mathbf{4 0 - 4 5}$ | 2889 | 65 | 7.93 | 9.0 | 1049 | 12.0 | 0.026 | 0.002 | 0.021 | 22.9 | 1.817 | 2.062 | 0.024 |
|  |  | 3280 |  |  |  |  | 1.312 | 0.089 |  |  | 60.344 | 134.929 | 1.489 |

Table - 44C Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. -3 driven on the route no. -3 at noon off- peak hour ( 1245 hrs )

| Vehicle speed (km/hr) | Engine Speed (rpm) | Driving Time (sec) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CO } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HC} \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{gathered}$ | AFR | Fuel Cons. <br> (Itr) | Fuel <br> Mass <br> (kg) | Air <br> Mass <br> (kg) | AF Mass <br> Mass (kg) | $\begin{gathered} C O \\ (\mathrm{gm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & \text { (gm) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline H C \\ (g m) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 858 | 840 | 4.05 | 10.7 | 1063 | 14.0 | 0.336 | 0.023 | 0.319 | 341.7 | 13.839 | 36.563 | 0.363 |
| 0-5 | 1027 | 50 | 3.95 | 10.6 | 1329 | 14.0 | 0.020 | 0.001 | 0.019 | 20.3 | 0.803 | 2.156 | 0.027 |
| 5-10 | 1231 | 90 | 4.39 | 10.3 | 1401 | 13.7 | 0.036 | 0.002 | 0.033 | 35.9 | 1.575 | 3.696 | 0.050 |
| 10-15 | 1554 | 240 | 6.78 | 9.0 | 1460 | 12.6 | 0.096 | 0.007 | 0.082 | 88.5 | 6.002 | 7.967 | 0.129 |
| 15-20 | 1728 | 150 | 7.21 | 9.2 | 1181 | 12.2 | 0.060 | 0.004 | 0.050 | 53.7 | 3.872 | 4.940 | 0.063 |
| 20-25 | 2198 | 120 | 6.94 | 9.0 | 1304 | 12.4 | 0.048 | 0.003 | 0.040 | 43.6 | 3.026 | 3.925 | 0.057 |
| 25-30 | 2454 | 135 | 7.10 | 9.1 | 1245 | 12.4 | 0.054 | 0.004 | 0.045 | 49.1 | 3.483 | 4.464 | 0.061 |
| 30-35 | 2691 | 50 | 7.32 | 9.0 | 1146 | 12.2 | 0.020 | 0.002 | 0.017 | 17.9 | 1.310 | 1.611 | 0.021 |
| 35-40 | 2790 | 90 | 7.50 | 9.0 | 1103 | 12.1 | 0.036 | 0.002 | 0.030 | 32.0 | 2.398 | 2.878 | 0.035 |
| 40-45 | 2889 | 30 | 7.93 | 9.0 | 1049 | 12.0 | 0.012 | 0.001 | 0.010 | 10.6 | 0.839 | 0.952 | 0.011 |
| 45-50 | 3012 | 15 | 7.90 | 9.0 | 1040 | 12.0 | 0.006 | 0.000 | 0.005 | 5.3 | 0.418 | 0.476 | 0.006 |
|  |  | 1810 |  |  |  |  | 0.724 | 0.049 |  |  | 37.566 | 69.628 | 0.824 |

Table - 45C Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. -3 driven on the route no. -3 at evening peak hour (1645hrs ).

| Vehicle speed (km/hr) | Engine Speed (rpm) | Driving Time ( sec ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CO } \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { HC } \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{gathered}$ | AFR | Fuel Cons. <br> (ltr) | Fuel Mass <br> (kg) | Air <br> Mass <br> (kg) | AF <br> Mass <br> (kg) | $\begin{gathered} \hline C O \\ (g m) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & \text { (gm) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} H C \\ \text { (gm) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 858 | 2160 | 4.05 | 10.7 | 1063 | 14.0 | 0.864 | 0.059 | 0.820 | 878.7 | 35.587 | 94.020 | 0.934 |
| 0-5 | 1027 | 210 | 3.95 | 10.6 | 1329 | 14.0 | 0.084 | 0.006 | 0.080 | 85.4 | 3.374 | 9.055 | 0.114 |
| 5-10 | 1231 | 190 | 4.39 | 10.3 | 1401 | 13.7 | 0.076 | 0.005 | 0.071 | 75.7 | 3.325 | 7.802 | 0.106 |
| 10-15 | 1554 | 120 | 6.78 | 9.0 | 1460 | 12.6 | 0.048 | 0.003 | 0.041 | 44.3 | 3.001 | 3.983 | 0.065 |
| 15-20 | 1728 | 90 | 7.21 | 9.2 | 1181 | 12.2 | 0.036 | 0.002 | 0.030 | 32.2 | 2.323 | 2.964 | 0.038 |
| 20-25 | 2198 | 145 | 6.94 | 9.0 | 1304 | 12.4 | 0.058 | 0.004 | 0.049 | 52.7 | 3.657 | 4.742 | 0.069 |
| 25-30 | 2454 | 65 | 7.10 | 9.1 | 1245 | 12.4 | 0.026 | 0.002 | 0.022 | 23.6 | 1.677 | 2.150 | 0.029 |
| 30-35 | 2691 | 50 | 7.32 | 9.0 | 1146 | 12.2 | 0.020 | 0.001 | 0.017 | 17.9 | 1.310 | 1.611 | 0.021 |
| 35-40 | 2790 | 80 | 7.50 | 9.0 | 1103 | 12.1 | 0.032 | 0.002 | 0.026 | 28.4 | 2.132 | 2.558 | 0.031 |
| 40-45 | 2889 | 30 | 7.93 | 9.0 | 1049 | 12.0 | 0.012 | 0.001 | 0.010 | 10.6 | 0.839 | 0.952 | 0.011 |
|  |  | 3140 | 7.90 | 9.0 |  |  | 1.256 | 0.085 |  |  | 57.225 | 129.837 | 1.417 |

Table - 46C Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. -4 driven on the route no. -3 at morning peak hour (0900 hrs)

| Vehicle speed (km/hr) | Engine Speed (rpm) | Driving Time (sec) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CO } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HC} \\ (p p m) \end{gathered}$ | AFR | Fuel Cons. <br> (ltr) | Fuel Mass <br> (kg) | Air Mass <br> (kg) | AF Mass <br> (kg) | $\begin{gathered} C O \\ (g m) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\mathrm{gm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { HC } \\ (\mathrm{gm}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 945 | 2110 | 6.10 | 10.6 | 408 | 12.2 | 0.844 | 0.057 | 0.698 | 755.3 | 46.076 | 80.067 | 0.308 |
| 0-5 | 1025 | 320 | 5.51 | 10.4 | 407 | 12.8 | 0.128 | 0.009 | 0.111 | 119.8 | 6.599 | 12.455 | 0.049 |
| 5-10 | 1251 | 185 | 6.06 | 10.7 | 417 | 12.4 | 0.074 | 0.005 | 0.062 | 67.2 | 4.074 | 7.194 | 0.028 |
| 10-15 | 1551 | 145 | 5.93 | 10.8 | 428 | 12.4 | 0.058 | 0.004 | 0.049 | 52.7 | 3.125 | 5.691 | 0.023 |
| 15-20 | 1727 | 65 | 4.96 | 11.3 | 387 | 12.8 | 0.026 | 0.002 | 0.023 | 24.3 | 1.207 | 2.749 | 0.009 |
| 20-25 | 2059 | 155 | 4.47 | 11.5 | 335 | 13.1 | 0.062 | 0.004 | 0.055 | 59.3 | 2.649 | 6.816 | 0.020 |
| 25-30 | 2236 | 65 | 4.62 | 11.6 | 320 | 13.1 | 0.026 | 0.002 | 0.023 | 24.9 | 1.148 | 2.883 | 0.008 |
| 30-35 | 2425 | 80 | 5.02 | 11.4 | 307 | 12.9 | 0.032 | 0.002 | 0.028 | 30.2 | 1.514 | 3.438 | 0.009 |
| 35-40 | 2608 | 90 | 4.83 | 11.5 | 285 | 12.9 | 0.036 | 0.002 | 0.031 | 33.9 | 1.639 | 3.902 | 0.010 |
| 40-45 | 2845 | 65 | 5.17 | 11.5 | 257 | 12.8 | 0.026 | 0.002 | 0.023 | 24.3 | 1.258 | 2.798 | 0.006 |
|  |  | 3280 |  |  |  |  | 1.312 | 0.089 |  |  | 69.289 | 127.992 | 0.470 |

Table -47C Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. -4 driven on the route no. -3 at noon off- peak hour (1245 hrs)

| Vehicle speed (km/hr) | Engine Speed (rpm) | Driving Time (sec) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CO } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HC} \\ (p p m) \end{gathered}$ | AFR | Fuel Cons. <br> (Itr) | Fuel Mass <br> (kg) | Air Mass <br> (kg) | $\begin{gathered} A F \\ \text { Mass } \end{gathered}$ $(\mathrm{kg})$ | $\begin{gathered} C O \\ (g m) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\mathrm{gm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} H C \\ (\mathrm{gm}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 712 | 2160 | 0.57 | 13.8 | 228 | 15.2 | 0.864 | 0.059 | 0.890 | 949.0 | 5.409 | 130.960 | 0.216 |
| 0-5 | 1091 | 210 | 0.35 | 14.0 | 155 | 15.4 | 0.084 | 0.006 | 0.088 | 93.4 | 0.327 | 13.076 | 0.014 |
| 5-10 | 1277 | 190 | 0.32 | 13.9 | 129 | 15.6 | 0.076 | 0.005 | 0.080 | 85.5 | 0.274 | 11.890 | 0.011 |
| 10-15 | 1524 | 120 | 0.36 | 14.0 | 119 | 15.4 | 0.048 | 0.003 | 0.050 | 53.4 | 0.192 | 7.472 | 0.006 |
| 15-20 | 1761 | 90 | 0.44 | 14.1 | 121 | 15.2 | 0.036 | 0.002 | 0.037 | 39.5 | 0.174 | 5.575 | 0.005 |
| 20-25 | 2164 | 145 | 0.53 | 14.2 | 117 | 15.1 | 0.058 | 0.004 | 0.059 | 63.3 | 0.336 | 8.990 | 0.007 |
| 25-30 | 2221 | 65 | 0.57 | 14.2 | 115 | 15.1 | 0.026 | 0.002 | 0.027 | 28.4 | 0.162 | 4.030 | 0.003 |
| 30-35 | 2438 | 50 | 0.60 | 14.1 | 97 | 15.1 | 0.020 | 0.001 | 0.020 | 21.8 | 0.131 | 3.078 | 0.002 |
| 35-40 | 2632 | 80 | 0.62 | 14.1 | 90 | 15.0 | 0.032 | 0.002 | 0.033 | 34.7 | 0.215 | 4.895 | 0.003 |
| 40-45 | 2929 | 30 | 0.57 | 14.2 | 89 | 15.0 | 0.012 | 0.001 | 0.012 | 13.0 | 0.074 | 1.848 | 0.001 |
|  |  | 3140 |  |  |  |  | 1.256 | 0.085 |  |  | 7.294 | 191.815 | 0.270 |

Table -48C Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. -4 driven on the route no. -3 at evening peak hour ( 1645 hrs )

| Vehicle speed (km/hr) | Engine Speed (rpm) | Driving Time (sec) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CO } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HC} \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{gathered}$ | AFR | Fuel Cons. <br> (ltr) | Fuel Mass <br> (kg) | Air Mass <br> (kg) | $\begin{gathered} \hline A F \\ \text { Mass } \end{gathered}$ $(\mathrm{kg})$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline C O \\ \text { (gm) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\mathrm{gm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline H C \\ (\mathrm{gm}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 656 | 2110 | 0.54 | 13.3 | 355 | 15.6 | 0.844 | 0.057 | 0.893 | 949.9 | 5.129 | 126.337 | 0.337 |
| 0-5 | 1075 | 320 | 0.74 | 13.6 | 255 | 15.2 | 0.128 | 0.009 | 0.132 | 140.6 | 1.040 | 19.120 | 0.036 |
| 5-10 | 1201 | 185 | 0.88 | 13.6 | 202 | 15.1 | 0.074 | 0.005 | 0.076 | 80.8 | 0.711 | 10.986 | 0.016 |
| 10-15 | 1501 | 145 | 0.75 | 13.7 | 185 | 15.2 | 0.058 | 0.004 | 0.060 | 63.7 | 0.478 | 8.728 | 0.012 |
| 15-20 | 1723 | 65 | 0.68 | 13.7 | 159 | 15.1 | 0.026 | 0.002 | 0.027 | 28.4 | 0.193 | 3.888 | 0.005 |
| 20-25 | 2009 | 155 | 0.80 | 13.8 | 138 | 15.1 | 0.062 | 0.004 | 0.063 | 67.7 | 0.541 | 9.340 | 0.009 |
| 25-30 | 2221 | 65 | 0.81 | 13.8 | 132 | 15.1 | 0.026 | 0.002 | 0.027 | 28.4 | 0.230 | 3.917 | 0.004 |
| 30-35 | 2438 | 80 | 0.83 | 13.8 | 105 | 15.1 | 0.032 | 0.002 | 0.033 | 34.9 | 0.290 | 4.820 | 0.004 |
| 35-40 | 2632 | 90 | 0.80 | 13.8 | 98 | 15.1 | 0.036 | 0.002 | 0.037 | 39.3 | 0.314 | 5.423 | 0.004 |
| 40-45 | 2929 | 65 | 0.76 | 13.9 | 101 | 15.0 | 0.026 | 0.002 | 0.026 | 28.2 | 0.214 | 3.920 | 0.003 |
|  |  | 3280 |  |  |  |  | 1.312 | 0.089 |  |  | 9.141 | 196.479 | 0.429 |

Table -49C Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. -5 driven on the route no. -3 at morning peak hour (0900 hrs)

| Vehicle speed (km/hr) | Engine Speed (rpm) | Driving Time (sec) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CO } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HC} \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{gathered}$ | AFR | Fuel Cons. <br> (Itr) | Fuel Mass <br> (kg) | Air Mass <br> (kg) | AF Mass <br> (kg) | $\begin{gathered} C O \\ \text { (gm) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\mathrm{gm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { HC } \\ (\mathrm{gm}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 656 | 2160 | 0.54 | 13.3 | 355 | 15.6 | 0.864 | 0.059 | 0.914 | 972.4 | 5.251 | 129.331 | 0.345 |
| 0-5 | 1075 | 210 | 0.74 | 13.6 | 255 | 15.2 | 0.084 | 0.006 | 0.087 | 92.3 | 0.683 | 12.548 | 0.024 |
| 5-10 | 1201 | 190 | 0.88 | 13.6 | 202 | 15.1 | 0.076 | 0.005 | 0.078 | 83.0 | 0.730 | 11.283 | 0.017 |
| 10-15 | 1501 | 120 | 0.75 | 13.7 | 185 | 15.2 | 0.048 | 0.003 | 0.049 | 52.7 | 0.395 | 7.223 | 0.010 |
| 15-20 | 1723 | 90 | 0.68 | 13.7 | 159 | 15.1 | 0.036 | 0.002 | 0.037 | 39.3 | 0.267 | 5.384 | 0.006 |
| 20-25 | 2009 | 145 | 0.80 | 13.8 | 138 | 15.1 | 0.058 | 0.004 | 0.059 | 63.3 | 0.506 | 8.737 | 0.009 |
| 25-30 | 2221 | 65 | 0.81 | 13.8 | 132 | 15.1 | 0.026 | 0.002 | 0.027 | 28.4 | 0.230 | 3.917 | 0.004 |
| 30-35 | 2438 | 50 | 0.83 | 13.8 | 105 | 15.1 | 0.020 | 0.001 | 0.020 | 21.8 | 0.181 | 3.013 | 0.002 |
| 35-40 | 2632 | 80 | 0.80 | 13.8 | 98 | 15.1 | 0.032 | 0.002 | 0.033 | 34.9 | 0.279 | 4.820 | 0.003 |
| 40-45 | 2929 | 30 | 0.76 | 13.9 | 101 | 15.0 | 0.012 | 0.001 | 0.012 | 13.0 | 0.099 | 1.809 | 0.001 |
|  |  | 3140 |  |  |  |  | 1.256 | 0.085 |  |  | 8.622 | 188.064 | 0.421 |

Table -50C Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. -5 driven on the route no. -3 at noon off- peak hour ( 1245 hrs)

| Vehicle speed (km/hr) | Engine Speed (rpm) | Driving Time (sec) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CO } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HC} \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{gathered}$ | AFR | Fuel Cons. <br> (Itr) | Fuel Mass <br> (kg) | Air Mass <br> (kg) | AF Mass | $\begin{gathered} C O \\ (g m) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\mathrm{gm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline H C \\ (g m) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 656 | 840 | 0.54 | 13.3 | 355 | 15.6 | 0.336 | 0.023 | 0.355 | 378.2 | 2.042 | 50.295 | 0.134 |
| 0-5 | 1075 | 50 | 0.74 | 13.6 | 255 | 15.2 | 0.020 | 0.001 | 0.021 | 22.0 | 0.163 | 2.988 | 0.006 |
| 5-10 | 1201 | 90 | 0.88 | 13.6 | 202 | 15.1 | 0.036 | 0.002 | 0.037 | 39.3 | 0.346 | 5.344 | 0.008 |
| 10-15 | 1501 | 240 | 0.75 | 13.7 | 185 | 15.2 | 0.096 | 0.007 | 0.099 | 105.4 | 0.791 | 14.446 | 0.020 |
| 15-20 | 1723 | 150 | 0.68 | 13.7 | 159 | 15.1 | 0.060 | 0.004 | 0.061 | 65.5 | 0.445 | 8.973 | 0.010 |
| 20-25 | 2009 | 120 | 0.80 | 13.8 | 138 | 15.1 | 0.048 | 0.003 | 0.049 | 52.4 | 0.419 | 7.231 | 0.007 |
| 25-30 | 2221 | 135 | 0.81 | 13.8 | 132 | 15.1 | 0.054 | 0.004 | 0.055 | 58.9 | 0.477 | 8.134 | 0.008 |
| 30-35 | 2438 | 50 | 0.83 | 13.8 | 105 | 15.1 | 0.020 | 0.001 | 0.020 | 21.8 | 0.181 | 3.013 | 0.002 |
| 35-40 | 2632 | 90 | 0.80 | 13.8 | 98 | 15.1 | 0.036 | 0.002 | 0.037 | 39.3 | 0.314 | 5.423 | 0.004 |
| 40-45 | 2929 | 30 | 0.76 | 13.9 | 101 | 15.0 | 0.012 | 0.001 | 0.012 | 13.0 | 0.099 | 1.809 | 0.001 |
| 45-50 | 3032 | 15 | 0.75 | 13.9 | 105 | 15.0 | 0.006 | 0.000 | 0.006 | 6.5 | 0.049 | 0.905 | 0.001 |
|  |  | 1810 |  |  |  |  | 0.724 | 0.049 |  |  | 5.327 | 108.561 | 0.201 |

Table -51C Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. -5 driven on the route no. -3 at evening peak hour (1645 hrs)

| Vehicle speed (km/hr) | Engine Speed (rpm) | Driving Time ( sec ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CO } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HC} \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{gathered}$ | AFR | Fuel Cons. <br> (Itr) | Fuel Mass <br> (kg) | Air Mass <br> (kg) | AF Mass <br> (kg) | $\begin{gathered} C O \\ \text { (gm) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\mathrm{gm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} H C \\ (g m) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 713 | 2160 | 0.19 | 12.3 | 387 | 15.1 | 0.864 | 0.059 | 0.885 | 943.1 | 1.792 | 116.004 | 0.365 |
| 0-5 | 907 | 210 | 0.19 | 12.3 | 413 | 14.6 | 0.084 | 0.006 | 0.083 | 88.8 | 0.169 | 10.928 | 0.037 |
| 5-10 | 1087 | 190 | 0.67 | 12.4 | 382 | 14.4 | 0.076 | 0.005 | 0.074 | 79.4 | 0.532 | 9.840 | 0.030 |
| 10-15 | 1378 | 120 | 0.41 | 12.6 | 285 | 14.6 | 0.048 | 0.003 | 0.048 | 50.8 | 0.208 | 6.397 | 0.014 |
| 15-20 | 1554 | 90 | 0.39 | 12.9 | 253 | 14.6 | 0.036 | 0.002 | 0.036 | 38.1 | 0.148 | 4.912 | 0.010 |
| 20-25 | 1842 | 145 | 0.59 | 13.0 | 252 | 14.7 | 0.058 | 0.004 | 0.058 | 61.7 | 0.364 | 8.026 | 0.016 |
| 25-30 | 2029 | 65 | 0.45 | 13.1 | 315 | 14.5 | 0.026 | 0.002 | 0.026 | 27.3 | 0.123 | 3.579 | 0.009 |
| 30-35 | 2289 | 50 | 0.35 | 12.9 | 332 | 14.4 | 0.020 | 0.001 | 0.020 | 20.9 | 0.073 | 2.694 | 0.007 |
| 35-40 | 2429 | 80 | 0.34 | 12.8 | 325 | 14.2 | 0.032 | 0.002 | 0.031 | 33.0 | 0.112 | 4.221 | 0.011 |
| 40-45 | 2643 | 30 | 0.35 | 12.8 | 282 | 13.9 | 0.012 | 0.001 | 0.011 | 12.1 | 0.042 | 1.552 | 0.003 |
|  |  | 3140 |  |  |  |  | 1.256 | 0.085 |  |  | 3.564 | 168.153 | 0.501 |

Table -52C Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. -6 driven on the route no. -3 at morning peak hour (0900 hrs)

| Vehicle speed (km/hr) | Engine Speed (rpm) | Driving Time (sec) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CO } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HC} \\ (p p m) \end{gathered}$ | AFR | Fuel <br> Cons. <br> (ltr) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fuel } \\ \text { Mass } \\ (\mathrm{kg}) \end{gathered}$ | Air <br> Mass <br> (kg) | AF <br> Mass <br> (kg) | $\begin{gathered} C O \\ (g m) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\mathrm{gm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} H C \\ (\mathrm{gm}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 697 | 2110 | 0.17 | 14.4 | 484 | 15.0 | 0.844 | 0.057 | 0.858 | 915.6 | 1.556 | 131.842 | 0.443 |
| 0-5 | 1042 | 320 | 0.15 | 14.4 | 468 | 15.0 | 0.128 | 0.009 | 0.130 | 138.9 | 0.208 | 19.995 | 0.065 |
| 5-10 | 1250 | 185 | 0.23 | 14.4 | 392 | 15.0 | 0.074 | 0.005 | 0.075 | 80.3 | 0.185 | 11.560 | 0.031 |
| 10-15 | 1568 | 145 | 0.23 | 14.5 | 288 | 15.1 | 0.058 | 0.004 | 0.059 | 63.3 | 0.146 | 9.180 | 0.018 |
| 15-20 | 1778 | 65 | 0.24 | 14.5 | 257 | 15.1 | 0.026 | 0.002 | 0.027 | 28.4 | 0.068 | 4.115 | 0.007 |
| 20-25 | 2104 | 155 | 0.31 | 14.5 | 196 | 15.1 | 0.062 | 0.004 | 0.063 | 67.7 | 0.210 | 9.813 | 0.013 |
| 25-30 | 2454 | 65 | 0.35 | 14.5 | 188 | 15.1 | 0.026 | 0.002 | 0.027 | 28.4 | 0.099 | 4.115 | 0.005 |
| 30-35 | 2664 | 80 | 0.41 | 14.3 | 178 | 15.1 | 0.032 | 0.002 | 0.033 | 34.9 | 0.143 | 4.995 | 0.006 |
| 35-40 | 2790 | 90 | 0.43 | 14.3 | 171 | 15.0 | 0.036 | 0.002 | 0.037 | 39.1 | 0.168 | 5.585 | 0.007 |
| 40-45 | 2889 | 65 | 0.47 | 14.3 | 169 | 13.9 | 0.026 | 0.002 | 0.025 | 26.3 | 0.123 | 3.756 | 0.004 |
|  |  | 3280 |  |  |  |  | 1.312 | 0.089 |  |  | 2.907 | 204.957 | 0.601 |

Table -53C Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. -6 driven on route no. -3 at noon off- peak hour (1245 hrs)

| Vehicle speed (km/hr) | Engine Speed (rpm) | Driving Time (sec) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CO } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HC} \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{gathered}$ | AFR | Fuel Cons. <br> (ltr) | Fuel Mass <br> (kg) | Air Mass | AF Mass | $\begin{gathered} C O \\ (g m) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & \text { (gm) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { HC } \\ (g m) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 697 | 840 | 0.17 | 14.4 | 484 | 15.0 | 0.336 | 0.023 | 0.342 | 364.5 | 0.620 | 52.487 | 0.176 |
| 0-5 | 1042 | 50 | 0.15 | 14.4 | 468 | 15.0 | 0.020 | 0.001 | 0.020 | 21.7 | 0.033 | 3.124 | 0.010 |
| 5-10 | 1250 | 90 | 0.23 | 14.4 | 392 | 15.0 | 0.036 | 0.002 | 0.037 | 39.1 | 0.090 | 5.624 | 0.015 |
| 10-15 | 1568 | 240 | 0.23 | 14.5 | 288 | 15.1 | 0.096 | 0.007 | 0.098 | 104.8 | 0.241 | 15.195 | 0.030 |
| 15-20 | 1778 | 150 | 0.24 | 14.5 | 257 | 15.1 | 0.060 | 0.004 | 0.061 | 65.5 | 0.157 | 9.497 | 0.017 |
| 20-25 | 2104 | 120 | 0.31 | 14.5 | 196 | 15.1 | 0.048 | 0.003 | 0.049 | 52.4 | 0.162 | 7.597 | 0.010 |
| 25-30 | 2454 | 135 | 0.35 | 14.5 | 188 | 15.1 | 0.054 | 0.004 | 0.055 | 58.9 | 0.206 | 8.547 | 0.011 |
| 30-35 | 2664 | 50 | 0.41 | 14.3 | 178 | 15.1 | 0.020 | 0.001 | 0.020 | 21.8 | 0.090 | 3.122 | 0.004 |
| 35-40 | 2790 | 90 | 0.43 | 14.3 | 171 | 15.0 | 0.036 | 0.002 | 0.037 | 39.1 | 0.168 | 5.585 | 0.007 |
| 40-45 | 2889 | 30 | 0.47 | 14.3 | 169 | 13.9 | 0.012 | 0.001 | 0.011 | 12.1 | 0.057 | 1.734 | 0.002 |
| 45-50 | 3023 | 15 | 0.48 | 14.5 | 168 | 13.9 | 0.006 | 0.000 | 0.006 | 6.1 | 0.029 | 0.879 | 0.001 |
|  |  | 1810 |  |  |  |  | 0.724 | 0.049 |  |  | 1.852 | 113.390 | 0.284 |

Table -54C Measured characteristics data of vehicle no. $\mathbf{- 6}$ driven on route no. -3 at evening peak hour ( 1645 hrs)

| Vehicle speed (km/hr) | Engine Speed (rpm) | Driving Time (sec) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CO } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HC} \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{gathered}$ | AFR | Fuel Cons. <br> (Itr) | Fuel Mass <br> (kg) | Air Mass <br> (kg) | $\begin{gathered} A F \\ \text { Mass } \\ (\mathrm{kg}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} C O \\ (g m) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\mathrm{gm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline H C \\ (\mathrm{gm}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 697 | 2160 | 0.17 | 14.4 | 484 | 15.0 | 0.864 | 0.059 | 0.879 | 937.3 | 1.593 | 134.967 | 0.454 |
| 0-5 | 1042 | 210 | 0.15 | 14.4 | 468 | 15.0 | 0.084 | 0.006 | 0.085 | 91.1 | 0.137 | 13.122 | 0.043 |
| 5-10 | 1250 | 190 | 0.23 | 14.4 | 392 | 15.0 | 0.076 | 0.005 | 0.077 | 82.4 | 0.190 | 11.872 | 0.032 |
| 10-15 | 1568 | 120 | 0.23 | 14.5 | 288 | 15.1 | 0.048 | 0.003 | 0.049 | 52.4 | 0.121 | 7.597 | 0.015 |
| 15-20 | 1778 | 90 | 0.24 | 14.5 | 257 | 15.1 | 0.036 | 0.002 | 0.037 | 39.3 | 0.094 | 5.698 | 0.010 |
| 20-25 | 2104 | 145 | 0.31 | 14.5 | 196 | 15.1 | 0.058 | 0.004 | 0.059 | 63.3 | 0.196 | 9.180 | 0.012 |
| 25-30 | 2454 | 65 | 0.35 | 14.5 | 188 | 15.1 | 0.026 | 0.002 | 0.027 | 28.4 | 0.099 | 4.115 | 0.005 |
| 30-35 | 2664 | 50 | 0.41 | 14.3 | 178 | 15.1 | 0.020 | 0.001 | 0.020 | 21.8 | 0.090 | 3.122 | 0.004 |
| 35-40 | 2790 | 80 | 0.43 | 14.3 | 171 | 15.0 | 0.032 | 0.002 | 0.033 | 34.7 | 0.149 | 4.964 | 0.006 |
| 40-45 | 2889 | 30 | 0.47 | 14.3 | 169 | 13.9 | 0.012 | 0.001 | 0.011 | 12.1 | 0.057 | 1.734 | 0.002 |
|  |  | 3140 |  |  |  |  | 1.256 | 0.085 |  |  | 2.726 | 196.371 | 0.583 |




[^0]:    ${ }^{a}$ Proposed in-service vehicle emission standard

